

MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2002, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

OCTOBER 30, 2001.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. CALLAHAN, from the committee of conference,  
submitted the following

CONFERENCE REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 2311]

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2311) “making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, and for other purposes”, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment, insert:

*That the following sums are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, for energy and water development, and for other purposes, namely:*

*TITLE I*

*DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL*

*DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY*

*CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL*

*The following appropriations shall be expended under the direction of the Secretary of the Army and the supervision of the Chief of Engineers for authorized civil functions of the Department of the Army pertaining to rivers and harbors, flood control, beach erosion, and related purposes.*

## GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

*For expenses necessary for the collection and study of basic information pertaining to river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects, restudy of authorized projects, miscellaneous investigations, and, when authorized by laws, surveys and detailed studies and plans and specifications of projects prior to construction, \$154,350,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use funds appropriated herein to continue preconstruction engineering and design of the Murrieta Creek, California, flood protection and environmental enhancement project and is further directed to continue with the project in accordance with cost sharing established for the Murrieta Creek project in Public Law 106-377: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use the feasibility report prepared under the authority of section 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948, as amended, as the basis for the Rock Creek-Keefer Slough Flood Control Project, Butte County, California, and is further directed to use funds appropriated herein for preconstruction engineering and design of the project: Provided further, That in conducting the Southwest Valley Flood Damage Reduction Study, Albuquerque, New Mexico, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, shall include an evaluation of flood damage reduction measures that would otherwise be excluded from the feasibility analysis based on policies regarding the frequency of flooding, the drainage areas, and the amount of runoff: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to conduct studies for flood damage reduction, environmental protection, environmental restoration, water supply, water quality, and other purposes in Tuscaloosa County, Alabama, and shall provide a comprehensive plan for the development, conservation, disposal, and utilization of water and related land resources, for flood damage reduction and allied purposes, including the determination of the need for a reservoir to satisfy municipal and industrial water supply needs: Provided further, That using \$1,000,000 of the funds provided herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to conduct a comprehensive watershed study at full Federal expense to provide a framework for implementing activities to improve environmental quality of the Lake Tahoe Basin and the Secretary shall submit a feasibility level report within 30 months of enactment of this Act: Provided further, That Appendix D, Chapter 5 of Public Law 106-554 is amended in the last sentence under the subheading titled "General Investigations" by striking "a cost shared feasibility study of" and inserting "planning, engineering and design activities for".*

## CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

*For the prosecution of river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects authorized by laws; and detailed studies, and plans and specifications, of projects (including those for development with participation or under consideration for participation by States, local governments, or private groups) authorized or made eligible for selection by law (but such studies shall not con-*

stitute a commitment of the Government to construction), \$1,715,951,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as are necessary for the Federal share of construction costs for facilities under the Dredged Material Disposal Facilities program shall be derived from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, as authorized by Public Law 104-303; and of which such sums as are necessary pursuant to Public Law 99-662 shall be derived from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund, for one-half of the costs of construction and rehabilitation of inland waterways projects, including rehabilitation costs for the Lock and Dam 11, Mississippi River, Iowa; Lock and Dam 12, Mississippi River, Iowa; Lock and Dam 24, Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri; Lock and Dam 3, Mississippi River, Minnesota; and London Locks and Dam, Kanawha River, West Virginia, projects; and of which funds are provided for the following projects in the amounts specified:

San Timoteo Creek (Santa Ana River Mainstem), California, \$8,000,000;

Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana, \$9,000,000;

Southern and Eastern Kentucky, Kentucky, \$4,000,000;

Clover Fork, City of Cumberland, Town of Martin, Pike County (including Levisa Fork and Tug Fork Tributaries), Bell County, Floyd County, Martin County, and Harlan County, Kentucky, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River, Kentucky, \$15,450,000; and

Lower Mingo County (Kermit), Upper Mingo County (including County Tributaries), Wayne County, and McDowell County, West Virginia, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project, \$5,900,000:

Provided, That using \$1,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to modify the Carr Creek Lake, Kentucky, project at full Federal expense to provide additional water supply storage for the Upper Kentucky River Basin: Provided further, That with \$1,200,000 of the funds appropriated herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to undertake design deficiency repairs to the Bois Brule Drainage and Levee District, Missouri, project, authorized and constructed under the authority of the Flood Control Act of 1936 with cost sharing consistent with the original project authorization: Provided further, That in accordance with section 332 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999, the Secretary of the Army is directed to increase the authorized level of protection of the Bois Brule Drainage and Levee District, Missouri, project from 50 years to 100 years using \$700,000 of the funds appropriated herein, and the project costs allocated to the incremental increase in the level of protection shall be cost shared consistent with section 103(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, notwithstanding section 202(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996: Provided further, That using \$200,000 of the funds provided herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to conduct, at full Federal expense, technical studies of individual ditch systems identified by the State of Hawaii, and to assist the State in diversification by helping to define the cost of repairing and maintaining se-

lected ditch systems: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use \$1,300,000 of the funds appropriated herein to continue construction of the navigation project at Kaunapali Harbor, Hawaii: Provided further, That with \$800,000 of the funds provided herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to continue preparation of a General Reevaluation Report of the Oak Island, Caswell Beach, and Holden Beach segments of the Brunswick County Beaches project in North Carolina: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use \$500,000 to undertake the Bowie County Levee Project, which is defined as Alternative B Local Sponsor Option, in the Corps of Engineers document entitled Bowie County Local Flood Protection, Red River, Texas, Project Design Memorandum No. 1, Bowie County Levee, dated April 1997: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army is directed to use \$4,000,000 of the funds provided herein for the Dam Safety and Seepage/Stability Correction Program to continue construction of seepage control features at Waterbury Dam, Vermont: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, using up to \$200,000 of the funds provided herein, is directed to complete the Aloha-Rigolette, Louisiana, project at full Federal expense: Provided further, That using \$500,000 of the funds provided herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to proceed with the Shoalwater Bay Shoreline, Washington, project: Provided further, That all studies for the Shoalwater Bay Shoreline project shall be cost shared in the same proportion as the construction implementation costs: Provided further, That using \$2,500,000 of the funds provided herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to proceed with a final design and initiate construction for the repair and replacement of the Jicarilla Municipal Water System in the town of Dulce, New Mexico: Provided further, That using \$750,000 of the funds provided herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to proceed with the Missouri River Restoration Project and that erosion control measures implemented shall be primarily through nonstructural means such as planting of native vegetation, buffer strips, conservation easements, setbacks, and agricultural best management practices: Provided further, That with \$10,000,000 of the funds provided herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to construct the Dallas Floodway Extension, Texas, project, including the Cadillac Heights feature, generally in accordance with the Chief of Engineers report dated December 7, 1999: Provided further, That the deadline for the report required under section 154(g) of Public Law 106-554 is extended to December 31, 2002: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use unexpended funds appropriated in Public Law 105-62, under the heading Construction, General for Salyersville, Kentucky, to construct additional recreation improvements at the Buckhorn Lake, Kentucky, project: Provided further, That using \$1,000,000 of the funds provided herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to initiate construction on the Seward Harbor, Alaska, project in accordance with the Report of the Chief of Engineers dated June 8, 1999 and the economic

*justification contained therein: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use up to \$900,000 of funds previously appropriated to reimburse the City of Venice, Florida, for the costs incurred by the City prior to October 1998 for work accomplished by the City related to the relocation of the stormwater outfalls and the construction of the artificial reef that comprises an integral part of the project for beach nourishment, in Sarasota County, Florida: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use funds appropriated herein, for emergency bank stabilization measures at Lakeshore Park in Knoxville, Tennessee: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to continue the Dickenson County Detailed Project Report as generally defined in Plan 4 of the Huntington District Engineer's Draft Supplement to the Section 202 General Plan for Flood Damage Reduction dated April 1997, including all Russell Fork tributary streams within the County and special considerations as may be appropriate to address the unique relocations and resettlement needs for the flood prone communities within the County: Provided further, That, with respect to the environmental infrastructure project in Lebanon, New Hampshire, for which funds are made available under this heading, the non-Federal interest shall receive credit toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project for work performed before the date of execution of the project cooperation agreement, if the Secretary determines the work is integral to the project: Provided further, That, for the Raritan River Basin, Green Brook Sub-Basin, New Jersey, project, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to implement the locally preferred plan for the element in the western portion of Middlesex Borough, New Jersey, which includes the buyout of up to 22 homes, the flood proofing of four commercial buildings along Prospect Place and Union Avenue, and the buyout of up to three commercial buildings along Raritan and Lincoln Avenues, at a total estimated cost of \$15,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$11,500,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$3,500,000.*

**FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ARKANSAS, ILLINOIS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, AND TENNESSEE**

*For expenses necessary for prosecuting work of flood control, rescue work, repair, restoration, or maintenance of flood control projects threatened or destroyed by flood, as authorized by law (33 U.S.C. 702a and 702g-1), \$345,992,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to convey to the Board of Mississippi Levee Commissioners any and all fee owned real property interests deemed excess to Army needs for disposal by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers at its Casting Plant and its Bank Grading and Mat Loading Fleeting Area located in Greenville, Mississippi. This real property shall be used by the Board of Mississippi Levee Commissioners for the operation and maintenance of the Mississippi River and Tributaries Project as it deems necessary.*

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL

*For expenses necessary for the preservation, operation, maintenance, and care of existing river and harbor, flood control, and related works, including such sums as may be necessary for the maintenance of harbor channels provided by a State, municipality or other public agency, outside of harbor lines, and serving essential needs of general commerce and navigation; surveys and charting of northern and northwestern lakes and connecting waters; clearing and straightening channels; and removal of obstructions to navigation, \$1,874,803,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as become available in the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, pursuant to Public Law 99-662, may be derived from that Fund, and of which such sums as become available from the special account established by the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965, as amended (16 U.S.C. 460l), may be derived from that account for construction, operation, and maintenance of outdoor recreation facilities: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed, within funds available for the Mobile Harbor, Alabama, project, to remove, transport, dispose, and remediate contaminated sediments in and adjacent to the Federal navigation projects for the Arlington Channel and the Garrows Bend Channel at Federal expense, and a non-Federal sponsor shall provide all necessary lands, easements, rights-of-way, and relocations that may be required for the disposal of dredged material: Provided further, That using funds appropriated herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to perform cultural resource mitigation and recreation improvements at Waco Lake, Texas, at full Federal expense notwithstanding the provisions of the Water Supply Act of 1958: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use funds appropriated herein to grade the basin within the Hansen Dam feature of the Los Angeles County Drainage Area, California, project to enhance and maintain flood capacity and to provide for future use of the basin for compatible purposes consistent with the Master Plan, including recreation and environmental restoration: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use funds appropriated herein to fully investigate the development of an upland disposal site recycling program on the Black Warrior and Tombigbee Rivers, Alabama-Coosa Rivers, and the Mobile River projects: Provided further, That of funds appropriated herein for the Intracoastal Waterway, Delaware River to Chesapeake Bay, Delaware and Maryland, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to reimburse the State of Delaware for normal operation and maintenance costs incurred by the State of Delaware for the SR1 Bridge from station 58+00 to station 293+00 between May 12, 1997 and September 30, 2002. Reimbursement costs shall not exceed \$1,277,000: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use funds appropriated herein to remove and reinstall the docks and causeway, in kind, and continue breakwater repairs at Astoria East Boat Basin, Oregon: Provided further, That using funds appropriated herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to dredge a channel from*

*the mouth of Wheeling Creek to Tunnel Green Park in Wheeling, West Virginia: Provided further, That the project for the Apalachicola, Chattahoochee, and Flint Rivers Navigation, authorized by section 2 of the River and Harbor Act of March 2, 1945 (Public Law 79-14) and modified by the first section of the River and Harbor Act of 1946 (60 Stat. 635, chapter 595), is modified to authorize the Secretary, as part of navigation maintenance activities, to develop and implement a plan to be integrated into the long-term dredged material management plan being developed for the Corley Slough reach, as required by conditions of the State of Florida water quality certification, for periodically removing sandy dredged material from the disposal area known as Site 40, located at mile 36.5 of the Apalachicola River, and from other disposal sites that the Secretary may determine to be needed for the purpose of reuse of the disposal areas, by transporting and depositing the sand for environmentally acceptable beneficial uses in coastal areas of Florida to be determined in coordination with the State of Florida: Provided further, That the Secretary is authorized to acquire all lands, easements, and rights-of-way that may be determined by the Secretary, in consultation with the affected State, to be required for dredged material disposal areas to implement a long-term dredge material management plan: Provided further, That the long-term management plan shall be developed in coordination with the State of Florida no later than 2 years from the date of enactment of this Act: Provided further, That, of the funds provided herein, \$4,900,000 shall be made available for these purposes and \$8,000,000 shall be made available for normal operation and maintenance of the Apalachicola, Chattahoochee, and Flint Rivers navigation project.*

#### FLOOD CONTROL AND COASTAL EMERGENCIES

##### (RESCISSION)

*Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 107-20, \$25,000,000 are hereby rescinded.*

#### REGULATORY PROGRAM

*For expenses necessary for administration of laws pertaining to regulation of navigable waters and wetlands, \$127,000,000, to remain available until expended.*

#### FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM

*For expenses necessary to clean up contamination from sites throughout the United States resulting from work performed as part of the Nation's early atomic energy program, \$140,000,000, to remain available until expended.*

#### GENERAL EXPENSES

*For expenses necessary for general administration and related functions in the Office of the Chief of Engineers and offices of the Division Engineers, activities of the Humphreys Engineer Center Support Activity, the Institute for Water Resources, and headquarters support functions at the USACE Finance Center, \$153,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That no part of any other appropriation provided in title I of this Act*

*shall be available to fund the activities of the Office of the Chief of Engineers or the executive direction and management activities of the division offices: Provided further, That none of these funds shall be available to support an office of congressional affairs within the executive office of the Chief of Engineers.*

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

*Appropriations in this title shall be available for official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$5,000); and during the current fiscal year the Revolving Fund, Corps of Engineers, shall be available for purchase (not to exceed 100 for replacement only) and hire of passenger motor vehicles.*

#### GENERAL PROVISIONS

##### CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

*SEC. 101. (a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Army shall convey to the Blue Township Fire District, Blue Township, Kansas, by quitclaim deed and without consideration, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of land consisting of approximately 4.35 acres located in Pottawatomie County, Tuttle Creek Lake, Kansas.*

*(b) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary.*

*(c) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines that the property conveyed under subsection (a) ceases to be held in public ownership or to be used as a site for a fire station, all right, title, and interest in and to the property shall revert to the United States, at the option of the United States.*

*SEC. 102. For those shore protection projects funded in this Act which have Project Cooperation Agreements in place, the Secretary of the Army is directed to proceed with those projects in accordance with the cost sharing specified in the Project Cooperation Agreement: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army shall not accept or solicit non-Federal voluntary contributions for shore protection work in excess of the minimum requirements established by law; except that, when voluntary contributions are tendered by a non-Federal sponsor for the prosecution of work outside the authorized scope of the Federal project at full non-Federal expense, the Secretary is authorized to accept said contributions.*

*SEC. 103. Agreements proposed for execution by the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works or the United States Army Corps of Engineers after the date of the enactment of this Act pursuant to section 4 of the Rivers and Harbor Act of 1915, Public Law 64–291; section 11 of the River and Harbor Act of 1925, Public Law 68–585; the Civil Functions Appropriations Act, 1936, Public Law 75–208; section 215 of the Flood Control Act of 1968, as amended, Public Law 90–483; sections 104, 203, and 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, as amended, Public Law 99–662; section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992, as amended, Public Law 102–580; section 211 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, Public Law 104–303; and any other specific project authority, shall be limited to credits and reimburse-*



ments per project not to exceed \$10,000,000 in each fiscal year, and total credits and reimbursements for all applicable projects not to exceed \$50,000,000 in each fiscal year.

SEC. 104. ST. GEORGES BRIDGE, DELAWARE. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to carry out any activity relating to closure or removal of the St. Georges Bridge across the Intracoastal Waterway, Delaware River to Chesapeake Bay, Delaware and Maryland, including a hearing or any other activity relating to preparation of an environmental impact statement concerning the closure or removal.

SEC. 105. The non-Federal interest shall receive credit towards the lands, easements, relocations, rights-of-way, and disposal areas required for the Lava Hot Springs restoration project in Idaho, and acquired by the non-Federal interest before execution of the project cooperation agreement: Provided, That the Secretary shall provide credit for work only if the Secretary determines such work to be integral to the project.

SEC. 106. GUADALUPE RIVER, CALIFORNIA. The project for flood control, Guadalupe River, California, authorized by section 401 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, and the Energy and Water Development Appropriation Acts of 1990 and 1992, is modified to authorize the Secretary to construct the project substantially in accordance with the General Reevaluation and Environmental Report for Proposed Project Modifications, dated February 2001, at a total cost of \$226,800,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$128,700,000, and estimated non-Federal cost of \$98,100,000.

SEC. 107. DESIGNATION OF NONNAVIGABILITY FOR PORTIONS OF GLOUCESTER COUNTY, NEW JERSEY. (a) DESIGNATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Army (referred to in section as the “Secretary”) shall designate as nonnavigable the areas described in paragraph (3) unless the Secretary, after consultation with local and regional public officials (including local and regional planning organizations), makes a determination that 1 or more projects proposed to be carried out in 1 or more areas described in paragraph (2) are not in the public interest.

(2) DESCRIPTION OF AREAS.—The areas referred to in paragraph (1) are certain parcels of property situated in the West Deptford Township, Gloucester County, New Jersey, as depicted on Tax Assessment Map #26, Block #328, Lots #1, 1.03, 1.08, and 1.09, more fully described as follows:

(A) Beginning at the point in the easterly line of Church Street (49.50 feet wide), said beginning point being the following 2 courses from the intersection of the centerline of Church Street with the curved northerly right-of-way line of Pennsylvania-Reading Seashore Lines Railroad (66.00 feet wide)—

(i) along said centerline of Church Street N. 11°28'50" E. 38.56 feet; thence

(ii) along the same N. 61°28'35" E. 32.31 feet to the point of beginning.

(B) Said beginning point also being the end of the thirteenth course and from said beginning point runs; thence, along the aforementioned Easterly line of Church Street—

(i) N. 11°28'50" E. 1052.14 feet; thence

(ii) crossing Church Street, N. 34°19'51" W. 1590.16 feet; thence

(iii) N. 27°56'37" W. 3674.36 feet; thence

(iv) N. 35°33'54" W. 975.59 feet; thence

(v) N. 57°04'39" W. 481.04 feet; thence

(vi) N. 36°22'55" W. 870.00 feet to a point in the Pierhead and Bulkhead Line along the Southeasterly shore of the Delaware River; thence

(vii) along the same line N. 53°37'05" E. 1256.19 feet; thence

(viii) still along the same, N. 86°10'29" E. 1692.61 feet; thence, still along the same the following thirteenth courses

(ix) S. 67°44'20" E. 1090.00 feet to a point in the Pierhead and Bulkhead Line along the Southwesterly shore of Woodbury Creek; thence

(x) S. 39°44'20" E. 507.10 feet; thence

(xi) S. 31°01'38" E. 1062.95 feet; thence

(xii) S. 34°34'20" E. 475.00 feet; thence

(xiii) S. 32°20'28" E. 254.18 feet; thence

(xiv) S. 52°55'49" E. 964.95 feet; thence

(xv) S. 56°24'40" E. 366.60 feet; thence

(xvi) S. 80°31'50" E. 100.51 feet; thence

(xvii) N. 75°30'00" E. 120.00 feet; thence

(xviii) N. 53°09'00" E. 486.50 feet; thence

(xix) N. 81°18'00" E. 132.00 feet; thence

(xx) S. 56°35'00" E. 115.11 feet; thence

(xxi) S. 42°00'00" E. 271.00 feet; thence

(xxii) S. 48°30'00" E. 287.13 feet to a point in the Northwesterly line of Grove Avenue (59.75 feet wide); thence

(xxiii) S. 23°09'50" W. 4120.49 feet; thence

(xxiv) N. 66°50'10" W. 251.78 feet; thence

(xxv) S. 36°05'20" E. 228.64 feet; thence

(xxvi) S. 58°53'00" W. 1158.36 feet to a point in the Southwesterly line of said River Lane; thence

(xxvii) S. 41°31'35" E. 113.50 feet; thence

(xxviii) S. 61°28'35" W. 863.52 feet to the point of beginning.

(C)(i) Except as provided in clause (ii), beginning at a point in the centerline of Church Street (49.50 feet wide) where the same is intersected by the curved northerly line of Pennsylvania-Reading Seashore Lines Railroad right-of-way (66.00 feet wide), along that Railroad, on a curve to the left, having a radius of 1465.69 feet, an arc distance of 1132.14 feet—

(I) N. 88°45'47" W. 1104.21 feet; thence

(II) S. 69°06'30" W. 1758.95 feet; thence

(III) N. 23°04'43" W. 600.19 feet; thence

(IV) N. 19°15'32" W. 3004.57 feet; thence

(V) N. 44°52'41" W. 897.74 feet; thence

(VI) N. 32°26'05" W. 2765.99 feet to a point in the Pierhead and Bulkhead Line along the Southeasterly shore of the Delaware River; thence

(VII) N. 53°37'05" E. 2770.00 feet; thence

(VIII) S. 36°22'55" E. 870.00 feet; thence  
 (IX) S. 57°04'39" E. 481.04 feet; thence  
 (X) S. 35°33'54" E. 975.59 feet; thence  
 (XI) S. 27°56'37" E. 3674.36 feet; thence  
 (XII) crossing Church Street, S. 34°19'51" E.  
 1590.16 feet to a point in the easterly line of Church  
 Street; thence  
 (XIII) S. 11°28'50" W. 1052.14 feet; thence  
 (XIV) S. 61°28'35" W. 32.31 feet; thence  
 (XV) S. 11°28'50" W. 38.56 feet to the point of be-  
 ginning.

(ii) The parcel described in clause (i) does not include  
 the parcel beginning at the point in the centerline of  
 Church Street (49.50 feet wide), that point being N.  
 11°28'50" E. 796.36 feet, measured along the centerline,  
 from its intersection with the curved northerly right-of-way  
 line of Pennsylvania-Reading Seashore Lines Railroad  
 (66.00 feet wide)—

(I) N. 78°27'40" W. 118.47 feet; thence  
 (II) N. 15°48'40" W. 120.51 feet; thence  
 (III) N. 77°53'00" E. 189.58 feet to a point in the  
 centerline of Church Street; thence  
 (IV) S. 11°28'50" W. 183.10 feet to the point of be-  
 ginning.

(b) LIMITS ON APPLICABILITY; REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The designation under subsection (a)(1)  
 shall apply to those parts of the areas described in subsection  
 (a) that are or will be bulkheaded and filled or otherwise occu-  
 pied by permanent structures, including marina facilities.

(2) APPLICABLE LAW.—All activities described in paragraph  
 (1) shall be subject to all applicable Federal law, including—

- (A) the Act of March 3, 1899 (30 Stat. 1121, chapter  
 425);  
 (B) section 404 of the Federal Water Pollution Control  
 Act (33 U.S.C. 1344); and  
 (C) the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42  
 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

(c) TERMINATION OF DESIGNATION.—If, on the date that is 20  
 years after the date of enactment of this Act, any area or portion of  
 an area described in subsection (a)(3) is not bulkheaded, filled, or  
 otherwise occupied by permanent structures (including marina fa-  
 cilities) in accordance with subsection (b), or if work in connection  
 with any activity authorized under subsection (b) is not commenced  
 by the date that is 5 years after the date on which permits for the  
 work are issued, the designation of nonnavigability under sub-  
 section (a)(1) for that area or portion of an area shall terminate.

SEC. 108. NOME HARBOR TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS. Section  
 101(a)(1) of Public Law 106-53 (the Water Resources Development  
 Act of 1999) is amended by—

- (1) striking "\$25,651,000" and inserting in its place  
 "\$39,000,000"; and  
 (2) striking "\$20,192,000" and inserting in its place  
 "\$33,541,000".

SEC. 109. Section 211 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000, Public Law 106-541, is amended by adding the following language at the end of subsection (d):

“(e) ENGINEERING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER.—The Engineering Research and Development Center is exempt from the requirements of this section.”.

SEC. 110. Section 514(g) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999, Public Law 106-53, is amended by striking “fiscal years 2000 and 2001” and inserting in lieu thereof “fiscal years 2000 through 2002”.

SEC. 111. The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to modify the pump station intake structure and discharge line to preclude ice from interfering with pump operations at Fort Fairfield, Maine, flood control project: Provided, That all design and construction costs associated with the modifications of the Fort Fairfield, Maine, project shall be at Federal expense.

SEC. 112. CERRILLOS DAM, PUERTO RICO. The Secretary of the Army shall reassess the allocation of Federal and non-Federal costs for construction of the Cerrillos Dam, carried out as part of the project for flood control, Portugues and Bucana Rivers, Puerto Rico.

SEC. 113. STUDY OF CORPS CAPABILITY TO CONSERVE FISH AND WILDLIFE. Section 704(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2263(b)) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1), (2), (3), and (4) as subparagraphs (A), (B), (C), and (D), respectively;

(2) by striking “(b) The Secretary” and inserting the following:

“(b) PROJECTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary”; and

(3) by striking “The non-Federal share of the cost of any project under this section shall be 25 percent.” and inserting the following:

“(2) COST SHARING.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The non-Federal share of the cost of any project under this subsection shall be 25 percent.

“(B) FORM.—The non-Federal share may be provided through in-kind services, including the provision by the non-Federal interest of shell stock material that is determined by the Chief of Engineers to be suitable for use in carrying out the project.

“(C) APPLICABILITY.—The non-Federal interest shall be credited with the value of in-kind services provided on or after October 1, 2000, for a project described in paragraph (1) completed on or after that date, if the Secretary determines that the work is integral to the project.”.

SEC. 114. The flood control project for the Ramapo River at Oakland, New Jersey, authorized by section 401(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, Public Law 99-662, as amended by section 301(a)(9) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, Public Law 104-33, is modified to authorize the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to construct the project at a total cost of \$18,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$13,500,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$4,500,000 less any credits allowed under applicable laws.

*SEC. 115. Except for the historic scheduled maintenance dredging in the Delaware River, none of the funds appropriated in this Act shall be used to operate the dredge MCFARLAND other than for urgent dredging, emergencies and in support of national defense.*

*SEC. 116. The Secretary may not expend funds to accelerate the schedule to finalize the Record of Decision for the revision of the Missouri River Master Water Control Manual and any associated changes to the Missouri River Annual Operating Plan. During consideration of revisions to the manual in fiscal year 2002, the Secretary may consider and propose alternatives for achieving species recovery other than the alternatives specifically prescribed by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service in the biological opinion of the Service. The Secretary shall consider the views of other Federal agencies, non-Federal agencies, and individuals to ensure that other congressionally authorized purposes are maintained.*

## TITLE II

### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

#### CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT

##### CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

*For carrying out activities authorized by the Central Utah Project Completion Act, \$34,918,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$10,749,000 shall be deposited into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account for use by the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission.*

*In addition, for necessary expenses incurred in carrying out related responsibilities of the Secretary of the Interior, \$1,310,000, to remain available until expended.*

#### BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

*The following appropriations shall be expended to execute authorized functions of the Bureau of Reclamation:*

##### WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*For management, development, and restoration of water and related natural resources and for related activities, including the operation, maintenance, and rehabilitation of reclamation and other facilities, participation in fulfilling related Federal responsibilities to Native Americans, and related grants to, and cooperative and other agreements with, State and local governments, Indian tribes, and others, \$762,531,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$14,649,000 shall be available for transfer to the Upper Colorado River Basin Fund and \$31,442,000 shall be available for transfer to the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund; of which such amounts as may be necessary may be advanced to the Colorado River Dam Fund; of which \$8,000,000 shall be for on-reservation water development, feasibility studies, and related administrative costs under Public Law 106-163; and of which not more than \$500,000 is for high priority projects which shall be carried out by the Youth Conservation Corps, as authorized by 16 U.S.C.*

*1706: Provided, That such transfers may be increased or decreased within the overall appropriation under this heading: Provided further, That of the total appropriated, the amount for program activities that can be financed by the Reclamation Fund or the Bureau of Reclamation special fee account established by 16 U.S.C. 460l-6a(i) shall be derived from that Fund or account: Provided further, That funds contributed under 43 U.S.C. 395 are available until expended for the purposes for which contributed: Provided further, That funds advanced under 43 U.S.C. 397a shall be credited to this account and are available until expended for the same purposes as the sums appropriated under this heading: Provided further, That \$12,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein shall be deposited in the San Gabriel Basin Restoration Fund established by section 110 of division B, title I of Public Law 106-554, of which \$1,000,000 shall be for remediation in the Central Basin Municipal Water District: Provided further, That funds available for expenditure for the Departmental Irrigation Drainage Program may be expended by the Bureau of Reclamation for site remediation on a non-reimbursable basis: Provided further, That section 301 of Public Law 102-250, Reclamation States Emergency Drought Relief Act of 1991, as amended, is amended further by inserting "2001, and 2002" in lieu of "and 2001": Provided further, That of such funds, not more than \$1,500,000 shall be available to the Secretary for completion of a feasibility study for the Santa Fe-Pojoaque Regional Water System, New Mexico: Provided further, That the study shall be completed by September 30, 2002.*

#### BUREAU OF RECLAMATION LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

*For the cost of direct loans and/or grants, \$7,215,000, to remain available until expended, as authorized by the Small Reclamation Projects Act of August 6, 1956, as amended (43 U.S.C. 422a-422l): Provided, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans not to exceed \$26,000,000.*

*In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the program for direct loans and/or grants, \$280,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the total sums appropriated, the amount of program activities that can be financed by the Reclamation Fund shall be derived from that Fund.*

#### CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

*For carrying out the programs, projects, plans, and habitat restoration, improvement, and acquisition provisions of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, \$55,039,000, to be derived from such sums as may be collected in the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund pursuant to sections 3407(d), 3404(c)(3), 3405(f), and 3406(c)(1) of Public Law 102-575, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Bureau of Reclamation is directed to assess and collect the full amount of the additional mitigation and restoration payments authorized by section 3407(d) of Public Law 102-575.*

## POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

*For necessary expenses of policy, administration, and related functions in the office of the Commissioner, the Denver office, and offices in the five regions of the Bureau of Reclamation, to remain available until expended, \$52,968,000, to be derived from the Reclamation Fund and be nonreimbursable as provided in 43 U.S.C. 377: Provided, That no part of any other appropriation in this Act shall be available for activities or functions budgeted as policy and administration expenses.*

## ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

*Appropriations for the Bureau of Reclamation shall be available for purchase of not to exceed four passenger motor vehicles for replacement only.*

## GENERAL PROVISIONS

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

*SEC. 201. In order to increase opportunities for Indian tribes to develop, manage, and protect their water resources, the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Commissioner of the Bureau of Reclamation, is authorized to enter into grants and cooperative agreements with any Indian tribe, institution of higher education, national Indian organization, or tribal organization pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 6301–6308. Nothing in this Act is intended to modify or limit the provisions of the Indian Self Determination Act (25 U.S.C. 45 et seq.).*

*SEC. 202. SAN GABRIEL BASIN, CALIFORNIA. (a) ADMINISTRATION OF RESTORATION FUND.—Section 110(a)(2) of the Miscellaneous Appropriations Act, 2001 (as enacted into law by section 1(a)(4) of Public Law 106–554) is amended by striking “the Secretary of the Army” and inserting “the Secretary of the Interior”.*

*(b) PURPOSES OF RESTORATION FUND.—Section 110(a)(3)(A) of such Act is amended by striking clauses (i) and (ii) and inserting the following:*

*“(i) to provide grants to the San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority and the Central Basin Municipal Water District to reimburse such agencies for the Federal share of the costs associated with designing and constructing water quality projects to be administered by such agencies; and*

*“(ii) to provide grants to reimburse the San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority and the Central Basin Municipal Water District for the Federal share of the costs required to operate any project constructed under this section for a period not to exceed 10 years, following the initial date of operation of the project.”.*

*(c) COST-SHARING LIMITATION.—Section 110(a)(3)(B) of such Act (114 Stat. 2763A–223) is amended by adding at the end the following:*

*“(iii) CREDITS TOWARD NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—For purposes of clause (i), the Secretary shall credit the San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority with the value of all prior expenditures by non-Federal interests*

made after February 11, 1993, that are compatible with the purposes of this section, including—

“(I) all expenditures made by non-Federal interests to design and construct water quality projects, including expenditures associated with environmental analyses and public involvement activities that were required to implement the water quality projects in compliance with applicable Federal and State laws; and

“(II) all expenditures made by non-Federal interests to acquire lands, easements, rights-of-way, relocations, disposal areas, and water rights that were required to implement a water quality project.”.

SEC. 203. The Secretary of the Interior is authorized and directed to use not to exceed \$1,000,000 of the funds appropriated under title II to refund amounts received by the United States as payments for charges assessed by the Secretary prior to January 1, 1994 for failure to file certain certification or reporting forms prior to the receipt of irrigation water, pursuant to sections 206 and 224(c) of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982 (43 U.S.C. 390ff, 390ww(c)), including the amount of associated interest assessed by the Secretary and paid to the United States pursuant to section 224(i) of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982 (43 U.S.C. 390ww(i)).

SEC. 204. LOWER COLORADO RIVER BASIN DEVELOPMENT FUND. (a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 403(f) of the Colorado River Basin Project Act (43 U.S.C. 1543(f)), no amount from the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund shall be paid to the general fund of the Treasury until each provision of the Stipulation Regarding a Stay and for Ultimate Judgment Upon the Satisfaction of Conditions, filed in United States district court on May 3, 2000, in *Central Arizona Water Conservation District v. United States* (No. CIV 95-625-TUC-WDB (EHC), No. CIV 95-1720-OHX-EHC (Consolidated Action)) is met.

(b) PAYMENT TO GENERAL FUND.—If any of the provisions of the stipulation referred to in subsection (a) are not met by the date that is 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act, payments to the general fund of the Treasury shall resume in accordance with section 403(f) of the Colorado River Basin Project Act (43 U.S.C. 1543(f)).

(c) AUTHORIZATION.—Amounts in the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund that but for this section would be returned to the general fund of the Treasury shall not be expended until further Act of Congress.

SEC. 205. (a) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used to determine the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for the San Luis Unit until development by the Secretary of the Interior and the State of California of a plan, which shall conform to the water quality standards of the State of California as approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, to minimize any detrimental effect of the San Luis drainage waters.

(b) The costs of the Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and the costs of the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program shall be classified by the Secretary of the Interior as reimbursable or non-



reimbursable and collected until fully repaid pursuant to the "Cleanup Program—Alternative Repayment Plan" and the "SJVDP—Alternative Repayment Plan" described in the report entitled "Repayment Report, Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program, February 1995", prepared by the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation. Any future obligations of funds by the United States relating to, or providing for, drainage service or drainage studies for the San Luis Unit shall be fully reimbursable by San Luis Unit beneficiaries of such service or studies pursuant to Federal reclamation law.

SEC. 206. The Secretary of the Interior, in accepting payments for the reimbursable expenses incurred for the replacement, repair, and extraordinary maintenance with regard to the Valve Rehabilitation Project at the Arrowrock Dam on the Arrowrock Division of the Boise Project in Idaho, shall recover no more than \$6,900,000 of such expenses according to the application of the current formula for charging users for reimbursable operation and maintenance expenses at Bureau of Reclamation facilities on the Boise Project, and shall recover this portion of such expenses over a period of 15 years.

SEC. 207. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this or any other Act may be used to pay the salaries and expenses of personnel to purchase or lease water in the Middle Rio Grande or the Carlsbad Projects in New Mexico unless said purchase or lease is in compliance with the purchase requirements of section 202 of Public Law 106–60.

SEC. 208. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used by the Bureau of Reclamation (either directly or by making the funds available to an entity under a contract) for the issuance of permits for, or any other activity related to the management of, commercial rafting activities within the Auburn State Recreation Area, California, until the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 12151 et seq.) are met with respect to such commercial rafting activities.

SEC. 209. (a) Section 101(a)(6)(C) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999, Public Law 106–53, is amended to read as follows:

“(C) MAKEUP OF WATER SHORTAGES CAUSED BY FLOOD CONTROL OPERATION.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Interior shall enter into, or modify, such agreements with the Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency regarding the operation of Folsom Dam and Reservoir as may be necessary in order that, notwithstanding any prior agreement or provision of law, 100 percent of the water needed to make up for any water shortage caused by variable flood control operation during any year at Folsom Dam, and resulting in a significant impact on recreation at Folsom Reservoir shall be replaced, to the extent the water is available for purchase, by the Secretary of the Interior.

“(ii) COST SHARING.—Seventy-five percent of the costs of the replacement water provided under clause (i) shall be paid for on a non-reimbursable basis by the Secretary of the Interior at Federal expense. The re-

*maintaining 25 percent of such costs shall be provided by the Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency.*

*“(iii) LIMITATION.—To the extent that any funds in excess of the non-Federal share are provided by the Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency, the Secretary shall reimburse such non-Federal interests for such excess funds. Costs for replacement water may not exceed 125 percent of the current average market price for raw water, as determined by the Secretary of the Interior.”.*

*(b) CONFORMING CHANGE.—Section 101(a)(1)(D)(ii) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, Public Law 104–303, is amended by striking “during” and all that follows through “thereafter”.*

### TITLE III

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

##### ENERGY PROGRAMS

##### ENERGY SUPPLY

*For Department of Energy expenses including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment, and other expenses necessary for energy supply activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of not to exceed 17 passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, \$666,726,000, to remain available until expended.*

##### NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

*For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for non-defense environmental management activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, \$236,372,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That funding for the West Valley Demonstration Project shall be reduced in subsequent fiscal years to the minimum necessary to maintain the project in a safe and stable condition, unless, not later than September 30, 2002, the Secretary: (1) provides written notification to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate that agreement has been reached with the State of New York on the final scope of Federal activities at the West Valley site and on the respective Federal and State cost shares for those activities; (2) submits a written copy of that agreement to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate; and (3) provides a written certification that the Federal actions proposed in the agreement will be in full compliance with all relevant Federal statutes and are in the best interest of the Federal government.*

*URANIUM FACILITIES MAINTENANCE AND REMEDIATION*

*For necessary expenses to maintain, decontaminate, decommission, and otherwise remediate uranium processing facilities, \$418,425,000, of which \$299,641,000 shall be derived from the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund, all of which shall remain available until expended.*

*SCIENCE*

*For Department of Energy expenses including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment, and other expenses necessary for science activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, and purchase of not to exceed 25 passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, \$3,233,100,000, to remain available until expended.*

*NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL*

*For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97-425, as amended, including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, \$95,000,000, to remain available until expended and to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund: Provided, That not to exceed \$2,500,000 shall be provided to the State of Nevada solely for expenditures, other than salaries and expenses of State employees, to conduct scientific oversight responsibilities pursuant to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, Public Law 97-425, as amended: Provided further, That \$6,000,000 shall be provided to affected units of local governments, as defined in Public Law 97-425, to conduct appropriate activities pursuant to the Act: Provided further, That the distribution of the funds as determined by the units of local government shall be approved by the Department of Energy: Provided further, That the funds for the State of Nevada shall be made available solely to the Nevada Division of Emergency Management by direct payment and units of local government by direct payment: Provided further, That within 90 days of the completion of each Federal fiscal year, the Nevada Division of Emergency Management and the Governor of the State of Nevada and each local entity shall provide certification to the Department of Energy that all funds expended from such payments have been expended for activities authorized by Public Law 97-425 and this Act. Failure to provide such certification shall cause such entity to be prohibited from any further funding provided for similar activities: Provided further, That none of the funds herein appropriated may be: (1) used directly or indirectly to influence legislative action on any matter pending before Congress or a State legislature or for lobbying activity as provided in 18 U.S.C. 1913; (2) used for litigation expenses; or (3) used to support multi-State efforts or other coalition building activities inconsistent with the restrictions contained in this Act: Provided further, That all proceeds and recoveries realized by the Secretary in carrying out activities authorized by the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, Public Law 97-425, as amended, including but not limited to, any proceeds from*

*the sale of assets, shall be available without further appropriation and shall remain available until expended.*

*DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION*

*(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)*

*For salaries and expenses of the Department of Energy necessary for departmental administration in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the hire of passenger motor vehicles and official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$35,000), \$210,853,000, to remain available until expended, plus such additional amounts as necessary to cover increases in the estimated amount of cost of work for others notwithstanding the provisions of the Anti-Deficiency Act (31 U.S.C. 1511 et seq.): Provided, That such increases in cost of work are offset by revenue increases of the same or greater amount, to remain available until expended: Provided further, That moneys received by the Department for miscellaneous revenues estimated to total \$137,810,000 in fiscal year 2002 may be retained and used for operating expenses within this account, and may remain available until expended, as authorized by section 201 of Public Law 95-238, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of miscellaneous revenues received during fiscal year 2002 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2002 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than \$73,043,000.*

*OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL*

*For necessary expenses of the Office of the Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$32,430,000, to remain available until expended.*

*ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES*

*NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION*

*WEAPONS ACTIVITIES*

*For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other incidental expenses necessary for atomic energy defense weapons activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of not to exceed 11 passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, \$5,429,238,000, to remain available until expended.*

*DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION*

*For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other incidental expenses necessary for atomic energy defense, defense nuclear nonproliferation activities, in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C.*

7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, \$803,586,000, to remain available until expended.

#### NAVAL REACTORS

For Department of Energy expenses necessary for naval reactors activities to carry out the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition (by purchase, condemnation, construction, or otherwise) of real property, plant, and capital equipment, facilities, and facility expansion, \$688,045,000, to remain available until expended.

#### OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration, including official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$12,000), \$312,596,000, to remain available until expended.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

##### DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense environmental restoration and waste management activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of not to exceed 30 passenger motor vehicles, of which 27 shall be for replacement only, \$5,234,576,000, to remain available until expended.

##### DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS

For expenses of the Department of Energy to accelerate the closure of defense environmental management sites, including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other necessary expenses, \$1,092,878,000, to remain available until expended.

##### DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION

For Department of Energy expenses for privatization projects necessary for atomic energy defense environmental management activities authorized by the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), \$153,537,000, to remain available until expended.

#### OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense, other defense activities, in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition

or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, \$544,044,000, to remain available until expended.

#### DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97-425, as amended, including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, \$280,000,000, to remain available until expended.

#### POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

##### BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION FUND

Expenditures from the Bonneville Power Administration Fund, established pursuant to Public Law 93-454, are approved for official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$1,500.

During fiscal year 2002, no new direct loan obligations may be made.

##### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy, including transmission wheeling and ancillary services, pursuant to the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the southeastern power area, \$4,891,000, to remain available until expended; in addition, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302, up to \$8,000,000 collected by the Southeastern Power Administration pursuant to the Flood Control Act to recover purchase power and wheeling expenses shall be credited to this account as offsetting collections, to remain available until expended for the sole purpose of making purchase power and wheeling expenditures.

##### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy, and for construction and acquisition of transmission lines, substations and appurtenant facilities, and for administrative expenses, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$1,500 in carrying out the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the southwestern power area, \$28,038,000, to remain available until expended; in addition, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302, not to exceed \$5,200,000 in reimbursements, to remain available until expended: Provided, That up to \$1,512,000 collected by the Southwestern Power Administration pursuant to the Flood Control Act to recover purchase power and wheeling expenses shall be credited to this account as offsetting collections, to remain available until expended for the sole purpose of making purchase power and wheeling expenditures.

*CONSTRUCTION, REHABILITATION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE,  
WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION*

*For carrying out the functions authorized by title III, section 302(a)(1)(E) of the Act of August 4, 1977 (42 U.S.C. 7152), and other related activities including conservation and renewable resources programs as authorized, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$1,500, \$171,938,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$166,651,000 shall be derived from the Department of the Interior Reclamation Fund: Provided, That of the amount herein appropriated, \$6,000,000 is for deposit into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account pursuant to title IV of the Reclamation Projects Authorization and Adjustment Act of 1992: Provided further, That up to \$152,624,000 collected by the Western Area Power Administration pursuant to the Flood Control Act of 1944 and the Reclamation Project Act of 1939 to recover purchase power and wheeling expenses shall be credited to this account as offsetting collections, to remain available until expended for the sole purpose of making purchase power and wheeling expenditures.*

*FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND*

*For operation, maintenance, and emergency costs for the hydroelectric facilities at the Falcon and Amistad Dams, \$2,663,000, to remain available until expended, and to be derived from the Falcon and Amistad Operating and Maintenance Fund of the Western Area Power Administration, as provided in section 423 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1994 and 1995.*

*FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION*

*SALARIES AND EXPENSES*

*For necessary expenses of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to carry out the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, the hire of passenger motor vehicles, and official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$3,000), \$184,155,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, not to exceed \$184,155,000 of revenues from fees and annual charges, and other services and collections in fiscal year 2002 shall be retained and used for necessary expenses in this account, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated from the General Fund shall be reduced as revenues are received during fiscal year 2002 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2002 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than \$0: Provided further, That the Commission is authorized an additional 5 senior executive service positions.*

*GENERAL PROVISIONS*

*DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY*

*SEC. 301. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to award a management and operating contract, or award a significant extension or expansion to an existing management and*

operating contract, unless such contract is awarded using competitive procedures or the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. The Secretary may not delegate the authority to grant such a waiver.

(b) At least 60 days before a contract award for which the Secretary intends to grant such a waiver, the Secretary shall submit to the Subcommittees on Energy and Water Development of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report notifying the Subcommittees of the waiver and setting forth, in specificity, the substantive reasons why the Secretary believes the requirement for competition should be waived for this particular award.

SEC. 302. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to—

(1) develop or implement a workforce restructuring plan that covers employees of the Department of Energy; or

(2) provide enhanced severance payments or other benefits for employees of the Department of Energy, under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 42 U.S.C. 7274h).

SEC. 303. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to augment the \$20,000,000 made available for obligation by this Act for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 42 U.S.C. 7274h) unless the Department of Energy submits a reprogramming request subject to approval by the appropriate Congressional committees.

SEC. 304. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to prepare or initiate Requests For Proposals (RFPs) for a program if the program has not been funded by Congress.

(TRANSFERS OF UNEXPENDED BALANCES)

SEC. 305. The unexpended balances of prior appropriations provided for activities in this Act may be transferred to appropriation accounts for such activities established pursuant to this title. Balances so transferred may be merged with funds in the applicable established accounts and thereafter may be accounted for as one fund for the same time period as originally enacted.

SEC. 306. None of the funds in this or any other Act for the Administrator of the Bonneville Power Administration may be used to enter into any agreement to perform energy efficiency services outside the legally defined Bonneville service territory, with the exception of services provided internationally, including services provided on a reimbursable basis, unless the Administrator certifies in advance that such services are not available from private sector businesses.

SEC. 307. When the Department of Energy makes a user facility available to universities and other potential users, or seeks input from universities and other potential users regarding significant characteristics or equipment in a user facility or a proposed user facility, the Department shall ensure broad public notice of such availability or such need for input to universities and other potential users. When the Department of Energy considers the participation of a university or other potential user as a formal partner in



the establishment or operation of a user facility, the Department shall employ full and open competition in selecting such a partner. For purposes of this section, the term "user facility" includes, but is not limited to: (1) a user facility as described in section 2203(a)(2) of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (42 U.S.C. 13503(a)(2)); (2) a National Nuclear Security Administration Defense Programs Technology Deployment Center/User Facility; and (3) any other Departmental facility designated by the Department as a user facility.

SEC. 308. None of the funds in this Act may be used to dispose of transuranic waste in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant which contains concentrations of plutonium in excess of 20 percent by weight for the aggregate of any material category on the date of enactment of this Act, or is generated after such date. For the purposes of this section, the material categories of transuranic waste at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site include: (1) ash residues; (2) salt residues; (3) wet residues; (4) direct repackaging residues; and (5) scrub alloy as referenced in the "Final Environmental Impact Statement on Management of Certain Plutonium Residues and Scrub Alloy Stored at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site".

SEC. 309. The Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration may authorize the plant manager of a covered nuclear weapons production plant to engage in research, development, and demonstration activities with respect to the engineering and manufacturing capabilities at such plant in order to maintain and enhance such capabilities at such plant: Provided, That of the amount allocated to a covered nuclear weapons production plant each fiscal year from amounts available to the Department of Energy for such fiscal year for national security programs, not more than an amount equal to 2 percent of such amount may be used for these activities: Provided further, That for purposes of this section, the term "covered nuclear weapons production plant" means the following:

- (1) the Kansas City Plant, Kansas City, Missouri;
- (2) the Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee;
- (3) the Pantex Plant, Amarillo, Texas; and
- (4) the Savannah River Plant, South Carolina.

SEC. 310. The Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration may authorize the manager of the Nevada Operations Office to engage in research, development, and demonstration activities with respect to the development, test, and evaluation capabilities necessary for operations and readiness of the Nevada Test Site: Provided, That of the amount allocated to the Nevada Operations Office each fiscal year from amounts available to the Department of Energy for such fiscal year for national security programs at the Nevada Test Site, not more than an amount equal to 2 percent of such amount may be used for these activities.

SEC. 311. DEPLETED URANIUM HEXAFLUORIDE. Section 1 of Public Law 105-204 is amended in subsection (b)—

- (1) by inserting "except as provided in subsection (c)," after "1321-349,"; and
- (2) by striking "fiscal year 2002" and inserting "fiscal year 2005".

SEC. 312. PROHIBITION OF OIL AND GAS DRILLING IN THE FINGER LAKES NATIONAL FOREST, NEW YORK. No Federal permit or

lease shall be issued for oil or gas drilling in the Finger Lakes National Forest, New York, during fiscal year 2002.

#### TITLE IV

#### INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

##### APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

*For expenses necessary to carry out the programs authorized by the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965, as amended, notwithstanding section 405 of said Act, and, for necessary expenses for the Federal Co-Chairman and the alternate on the Appalachian Regional Commission, for payment of the Federal share of the administrative expenses of the Commission, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, and hire of passenger motor vehicles, \$71,290,000, to remain available until expended.*

##### DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

*For necessary expenses of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board in carrying out activities authorized by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended by Public Law 100-456, section 1441, \$18,500,000, to remain available until expended.*

##### DELTA REGIONAL AUTHORITY

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

*For necessary expenses of the Delta Regional Authority and to carry out its activities, as authorized by the Delta Regional Authority Act of 2000, \$10,000,000, to remain available until expended.*

##### DENALI COMMISSION

*For expenses of the Denali Commission including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment as necessary and other expenses, \$38,000,000, to remain available until expended.*

##### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

*For necessary expenses of the Commission in carrying out the purposes of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended, and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, including official representation expenses (not to exceed \$15,000), and purchase of promotional items for use in the recruitment of individuals for employment, \$516,900,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the amount appropriated herein, \$23,650,000 shall be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund: Provided further, That revenues from licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections estimated at \$473,520,000 in fiscal year 2002 shall be retained and used for necessary salaries and expenses in this account, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year*

2002 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2002 appropriation estimated at not more than \$43,380,000: Provided further, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, no funds made available under this or any other Act may be expended by the Commission to implement or enforce any part of 10 C.F.R. Part 35, as adopted by the Commission on October 23, 2000, with respect to diagnostic nuclear medicine, except those parts which establish training and experience requirements for persons seeking licensing as authorized users, until such time as the Commission has reexamined 10 C.F.R. Part 35 and provided a report to the Congress which explains why the burden imposed by 10 C.F.R. Part 35 could not be further reduced.

#### OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$6,180,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That revenues from licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections estimated at \$5,933,000 in fiscal year 2002 shall be retained and be available until expended, for necessary salaries and expenses in this account notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 2002 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2002 appropriation estimated at not more than \$247,000.

#### NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, as authorized by Public Law 100-203, section 5051, \$3,100,000, to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund, and to remain available until expended.

#### TITLE V

##### GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 501. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 U.S.C. 1913.

SEC. 502. (a) PURCHASE OF AMERICAN-MADE EQUIPMENT AND PRODUCTS.—It is the sense of the Congress that, to the greatest extent practicable, all equipment and products purchased with funds made available in this Act should be American-made.

(b) NOTICE REQUIREMENT.—In providing financial assistance to, or entering into any contract with, any entity using funds made available in this Act, the head of each Federal agency, to the greatest extent practicable, shall provide to such entity a notice describing the statement made in subsection (a) by the Congress.

(c) PROHIBITION OF CONTRACTS WITH PERSONS FALSELY LABELING PRODUCTS AS MADE IN AMERICA.—If it has been finally determined by a court or Federal agency that any person intentionally

*affixed a label bearing a "Made in America" inscription, or any inscription with the same meaning, to any product sold in or shipped to the United States that is not made in the United States, the person shall be ineligible to receive any contract or subcontract made with funds made available in this Act, pursuant to the debarment, suspension, and ineligibility procedures described in sections 9.400 through 9.409 of title 48, Code of Federal Regulations.*

*SEC. 503. The Secretary of the Army shall conduct and submit to Congress a study that examines the known and potential environmental effects of oil and gas drilling activity in the Great Lakes (including effects on the shorelines and water of the Great Lakes): Provided, That during the fiscal years 2002 and 2003, no Federal or State permit or lease shall be issued for new oil and gas slant, directional, or offshore drilling in or under one or more of the Great Lakes.*

*This Act may be cited as the "Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2002".*

And the Senate agree to the same.

SONNY CALLAHAN,  
HAROLD ROGERS,  
RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN,  
TOM LATHAM,  
ROGER F. WICKER,  
ZACH WAMP,  
JO ANN EMERSON,  
JOHN T. DOOLITTLE,  
BILL YOUNG,  
PETER J. VISCLOSKY,  
ED PASTOR,  
JAMES E. CLYBURN,  
LUCILLE ROYBAL-ALLARD,

*Managers on the Part of the House.*

PETE V. DOMENICI,  
THAD COCHRAN,  
MITCH MCCONNELL,  
ROBERT F. BENNETT,  
CONRAD BURNS,  
LARRY CRAIG,  
TED STEVENS,  
HARRY REID,  
ROBERT C. BYRD,  
FRITZ HOLLINGS,  
PATTY MURRAY,  
BYRON L. DORGAN,  
DIANNE FEINSTEIN,  
TOM HARKIN,  
DANIEL K. INOUYE,

*Managers on the Part of the Senate.*

## JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2311) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effects of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report.

The language and allocations set forth in House Report 107-112 and Senate Report 107-39 should be complied with unless specifically addressed to the contrary in the conference report and statement of the managers. Report language included by the House which is not contradicted by the report of the Senate or the statement of the managers, and Senate report language which is not contradicted by the report of the House or the statement of the managers is approved by the committee of conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein. In cases where both the House report and Senate report address a particular issue not specifically addressed in the conference report or joint statement of managers, the conferees have determined that the House and Senate reports are not inconsistent and are to be interpreted accordingly. In cases in which the House or Senate have directed the submission of a report, such report is to be submitted to both House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

Senate amendment: The Senate deleted the entire House bill after the enacting clause and inserted the Senate bill. The conference agreement includes a revised bill.

### INTRODUCTION

#### RESPONSE TO TERRORISM

The conferees commend the personnel of the agencies funded in this bill for their dedication and professionalism in their response to the heinous and cowardly terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon on September 11, 2001.

The Army Corps of Engineers had a very prominent role in crisis response, engineering assessment, and recovery at the attack sites. The conferees believe that this disaster has again shown the wisdom of the current structure and alignment of the Corps of Engineers within the Department of Defense. The conferees continue to expect the Congress to be fully consulted before any proposed changes affecting the Corps or the unique role of the Chief of Engineers are implemented.

The Department of Energy redoubled efforts to maximize and ensure absolute security of our Nation's nuclear weapons, nuclear materials, and critical scientific and weapons infrastructure. In a quiet, unheralded manner the professionals throughout the country at the Army Corps of Engineers and the Department of the Interior's Bureau of Reclamation have spent much time and personal effort to ensure the safety of many of the Nation's critical water resources. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission remains vigilant about security at the nation's commercial nuclear power reactors. The conferees note that both Federal and contractor employees have made significant contributions at sometimes great personal sacrifice on behalf of our Nation, and we are grateful for their efforts.

The conferees are aware that a number of requirements have surfaced since the terrorist attacks to address the cost of improved security at facilities funded in this bill. These requirements are evolving and are expected to be addressed within the \$40 billion emergency supplemental appropriation that the Congress provided immediately following the terrorist attack. If additional requirements are identified during the year, the conferees expect each agency to follow normal reprogramming procedures to address those requirements. For the Corps of Engineers Operation and Maintenance, General, account, the Corps of Engineers shall submit to the House and Senate Committees for approval, any reprogramming of funds directly related to enhanced security at its projects. If all known enhanced security requirements cannot be fully met through fiscal year 2002 appropriations, the conferees direct that each agency in this bill budget for any such remaining costs in the fiscal year 2003 budget submission to Congress. The conferees direct the Secretaries of the Army, Energy, and Interior to each submit a report to the Appropriations Committees of Congress by February 15, 2002 which specifically identifies in detail all known physical security requirements that have surfaced since the terrorist attacks, and the degree to which each has been met through fiscal year 2002 appropriations and the fiscal year 2003 budget request.

## TITLE I

### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

##### CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Corps of Engineers. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

##### GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

The conference agreement appropriates \$154,350,000 for General Investigations instead of \$163,260,000 as proposed by the House and \$152,402,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees have agreed to provide \$350,000 for the Corps of Engineers to initiate and complete a reconnaissance study to evaluate environmental restoration, recreation, and related purposes for the Middle Rio Grande, Bosque, New Mexico. The conferees are aware of the unique nature of this study and encourage the Corps of Engineers to establish a regional inter-agency and inter-state steering committee to leverage lessons learned from the Rio Salado, Phoenix and Tempe Reaches, Arizona, and Tres Rio, Arizona, environmental restoration projects as well as experience from within the agency.

The conference agreement includes \$1,200,000 for the Upper Trinity River Basin, Texas, project as proposed by the House and the Senate. The additional amount provided will allow for completion of the Dallas Floodway and Stemmons North Industrial Corridor studies, for continuation of studies on the Clear and West Forks of the Trinity River including the evaluation of existing flood control improvements and the identification of additional measures at their confluence needed to protect the urban center of Fort Worth, and the Big Fossil Creek Watershed, and for initiation of a new study.

The conferees have provided \$100,000 for the Corps of Engineers to address the historic flooding problem at the Sparks Arroyo Colonia in El Paso County, Texas.

The conferees have provided \$100,000 for the Nueces River and Tributaries, Texas, project for a reconnaissance study of recharge structures located on the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone in the Nueces River Basin.

Within the amount provided for Flood Plain Management Services, \$100,000 is to update a flood plain study for Tripps Run in the City of Falls Church, Virginia. In addition, the amount provided for Flood Plain Management Services includes \$1,300,000 for the development of a Foundational Floodplain Management Geographic Information System for East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana, containing essential graphic and non-graphic detailed databases.

Within the amount provided for the Planning Assistance to States Program, \$50,000 is for the preparation of a Comprehensive Drainage Basin Plan for Francis Bland Floodway Ditch (Eight Mile Creek) and tributaries in the vicinity of Paragould, Arkansas, and \$100,000 is for the Corps of Engineers to provide planning assistance to develop a master plan for Elk Creek Lake in Fleming County, Kentucky. In addition, the conferees urge the Corps of Engineers to initiate an investigation of the streambank erosion problems in the East Baton Rouge Parish Canal in Baker, Louisiana, and desalinization efforts at Tularosa Basin in Alamogordo, New Mexico. The amount provided for the Planning Assistance to States program also includes \$150,000 for the Corps of Engineers to provide planning assistance to the Choctawhatchee, Pea, and Yellow Rivers Watershed Management Authority. The conferees have also included \$400,000 for the Corps of Engineers to conduct, at full Federal expense as required by section 1156 of Public Law 99-662, a review of plans developed by the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands for improvements to its water infrastructure in order to prepare a report for transmission to Congress that could

be used as the basis for an authorization for the Federal government to assist the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands with those improvements.

The conference agreement includes \$29,300,000 for Research and Development. Within the amount provided, \$4,100,000 is to continue the National Shoreline Erosion Control Development and Demonstration Program authorized by section 227 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, including \$1,300,000 for the Corps of Engineers to demonstrate the effectiveness of erosion control systems consisting of permeable groins installed perpendicular to the shoreline which reduce wave and current energy allowing a portion of the sediment load to fall out of suspension at Gulf State Park in Gulf Shores, Alabama, and \$800,000 to continue the research being conducted at Allegan County, Michigan, in cooperation with Western Michigan University. In addition, the conferees encourage the Corps of Engineers to fully investigate the use of electro-osmotic-pulse technologies at facilities where chronic water seepage and floods are problematic. The conferees urge the Corps of Engineers to test the effectiveness of the Aqua Levee Emergency Flood Control System, and report back to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on the feasibility of deploying this emergency flood control system for use in fighting floods. The amount provided for Research and Development also includes \$300,000 for the Corps of Engineers to prepare an implementation plan and complete a detailed project design for the Seabrook Harbor, New Hampshire, Demonstration Project under the authority of section 227 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which directs the Corps of Engineers to continue preconstruction engineering and design of the Murrieta Creek, California, project in accordance with the cost sharing established in Public Law 106-377. The language has been amended to delete the dollar amount; however, the conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for the project as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which directs the Corps of Engineers to use the feasibility report prepared under the authority of section 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948, as amended, as the basis for the Rock Creek-Keefer Slough Flood Control Project in Butte County, California. The language has been amended to delete the dollar amount; however, the conference agreement includes \$200,000 for the project as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House regarding the Southwest Valley Flood Damage Reduction Study in New Mexico which directs the Corps of Engineers to include in the study an evaluation of flood reduction measures that would otherwise be excluded based on policies regarding the frequency of flooding, the drainage area, and the amount of runoff.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which directs the Corps of Engineers to conduct studies for flood damage reduction, environmental protection, environmental restoration, water supply, water quality, and other purposes in Tuscaloosa County, Alabama. The language has been amended to



delete the dollar amount; however, the conference agreement includes \$100,000 for the study as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees have included language in the bill which directs the Corps of Engineers to conduct a comprehensive watershed study to provide a framework for implementing activities to improve the environmental quality of the Lake Tahoe Basin in Nevada and California.

The conference agreement includes language which amends the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2001, to provide that funds for the Lower St. Anthony Falls, Minnesota, project may be used for planning, engineering and design activities.

The conference agreement deletes bill language proposed by the Senate providing \$500,000 for the Port of Iberia, Louisiana, study. Funds for this project have been included in the overall amount appropriated for General Investigations.

The conference agreement deletes bill language proposed by the Senate providing \$100,000 for a Chesapeake Bay shoreline erosion study, including an examination of management measures that could be undertaken to address the sediments behind the dams on the Lower Susquehanna River. Funds for this project have been included in the overall amount appropriated for General Investigations.

The conference agreement deletes bill language proposed by the Senate providing \$300,000 for the North Georgia Water Planning District Watershed study in Georgia. Funds for this project have been included in the overall amount appropriated for General Investigations.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate regarding drilling for oil or gas in the Great Lakes. This matter has been addressed in Title V, General Provisions.

#### CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates \$1,715,951,000 for Construction, General instead of \$1,671,854,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,570,798,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$2,000,000 for the St. Johns County, Florida, project. The conferees are aware that additional funds may be required in fiscal year 2002 to complete this project. Therefore, the Corps of Engineers is urged to transfer up to an additional \$9,000,000 from available funds as necessary to complete this project. The conferees approve of this procedure and direct the Corps of Engineers to take all steps necessary to complete this project.

The conference agreement includes \$40,000,000 for the Olmsted Locks and Dam project. The conferees agree that none of the funds are to be used to reimburse the Claims and Judgment Fund.

The conferees have provided \$13,000,000 for the Inner Harbor Navigation Canal Lock project in Louisiana. While the conferees continue to support the renovation of the 80-year old locks in the Inner Harbor Navigation Canal, they are aware of recent allegations regarding potential adverse impacts of the project on vehicular traffic crossing the canal and direct the Corps of Engineers to work with the Old Arabi Neighborhood Association, Regional Plan-

ning Commission, St. Bernard Parish, the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development, and the U.S. Coast Guard to determine if the project will cause vehicular traffic problems and on solutions to any confirmed problems.

The conference agreement includes \$950,000 for the Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration and Protection program, including \$200,000 for the Taylors Island marsh creation and shoreline protection project, and \$750,000 for upgrades to the Smith Island wastewater treatment plant.

The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 for the Northeastern Minnesota Environmental Infrastructure program, including \$250,000 to assist the City of Biwabik, Minnesota, with its sewer and water utility reconstruction along 7th and 8th avenues.

The conference agreement includes \$500,000 for the Rural Montana project. Within the funds provided, the Corps of Engineers is directed to give consideration to projects at Helena, Laurel, and Conrad, Montana.

The conferees are aware of the urgent need to facilitate efficient construction of improvements for New York and New Jersey Harbor to meet the needs of navigation interests and save significant Federal and non-Federal resources. Therefore, the conferees direct the Secretary of the Army to combine the previously authorized Arthur Kill Channel, Howland Hook Marine Terminal, New York and New Jersey, project; the Kill Van Kull and Newark Bay Channel, New York and New Jersey, project; the New York and Adjacent Channels, Port Jersey Channel, New Jersey, project; and the New York and New Jersey Harbor, New York and New Jersey, project into a single project designated the New York and New Jersey Harbor, New York and New Jersey, project. The conferees have combined the Construction, General and General Investigations budget amounts for these projects and provided \$88,500,000 for the New York and New Jersey Harbor project. The Secretary of the Army is directed to use these funds to continue construction of the combined New York and New Jersey Harbor project to the depths authorized in the Water Resources Development Act of 2000.

The conferees have provided \$8,000,000 to continue the Rural Nevada project. Within the funds provided, the Corps of Engineers is directed to give consideration to projects at Mesquite, Silver Springs, Lawton-Verdi, Moapa, Elko County, McGill, and Boulder City, Nevada.

The conference agreement includes \$3,000,000 for the Mill Creek, Ohio, project as proposed by the House and the Senate. The additional funds provided above the budget request are to be used to accelerate completion of the General Reevaluation Report and develop an early warning system to alert businesses and residents in the watershed of possible floods.

The conference agreement includes \$3,000,000 for the Ohio Environmental Infrastructure program. The amount provided includes \$1,500,000 to assist the City of Springfield, Ohio, with its wastewater treatment and sewer improvement needs.

The conference agreement includes \$10,000,000 for the South Central Pennsylvania Environmental Improvement Program. These funds are available to carry out improvements in Armstrong,

Cambria, Indiana, Fayette, Somerset, and Westmoreland Counties in Pennsylvania.

The conference agreement includes \$500,000 for the Corps of Engineers to complete preconstruction engineering and design of the Goshen Dam, Virginia, project. The conferees agree that upon completion of preconstruction engineering and design, the Corps of Engineers may initiate construction of the project using available funds.

The conferees have provided an additional \$500,000 for the Mud Mountain Dam, White River, Washington, project for the design of fish passage facilities.

The conference agreement includes a total of \$41,100,000 for the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project. The amount provided includes funds for the individual project elements as described in the House and Senate reports.

The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 for the Aquatic Plant Control Program. With the funds provided, the Corps of Engineers is directed to undertake the projects listed in the House and Senate reports. The amount provided for the removal of aquatic weeds in the Lavaca and Navidad Rivers in Texas is \$300,000.

The conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to undertake the projects listed in the House and Senate reports and any additional projects described below for the various continuing authorities programs. For those projects in the continuing authorities program that are named in both the House and Senate reports, the conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to use the higher of the two reports funding recommendation for that project. The recommended funding levels for these programs are as follows: Section 206—\$20,000,000; Section 204—\$1,500,000; Section 14—\$9,000,000; Section 205—\$40,000,000; Section 111—\$1,470,000; Section 107—\$15,000,000; Section 1135—\$20,400,000; Section 103—\$5,000,000; and Section 208—\$1,000,000. The conferees are aware that there are funding requirements for ongoing continuing authorities projects that may not be accommodated within the funds provided for each program. It is not the intent of the conferees that ongoing projects be terminated. If additional funds are needed during the year to keep ongoing work in any program on schedule, the conferees urge the Corps of Engineers to reprogram funds into the program.

The amount provided for the Section 1135 program does not include funds for the Garrows Bend Restoration project in Mobile, Alabama. That project has been funded in the Operation and Maintenance account. The amount provided for the Section 1135 program includes \$250,000 for a feasibility study of restoration activities at Horseshoe Lake, Arkansas, and \$400,000 for the Tunica Lake Weir, Mississippi, project.

The amount provided for the Section 206 program includes \$100,000 for the Milford Pond restoration project in Massachusetts; \$10,000 for the Borough of Fair Haven, Monmouth County, New Jersey, project; and \$10,000 for the Grover's Mill Pond, Township of West Windsor, Mercer County, New Jersey, project. Funds are not included for the Lake Weamaconk, New York, project and the Oak Orchard Creek and Tonawanda Creek Watersheds, New York,

project. As part of the fiscal year 2001 appropriations process, the Secretary of the Army was directed to reimburse the East Bay Municipal Utility District for expenses at Penn Mine located in Calaveras County, California. The conferees have learned that reimbursement has not occurred as required. The conferees direct the Secretary to reimburse the East Bay Municipal Utility District \$4,100,000 from funds previously appropriated under the Section 206 program for costs incurred at Penn Mine for work carried out by East Bay Municipal Utility District for the project. Such amounts shall be made available to the East Bay Municipal Utility District not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

The amount provided for the Section 205 program includes \$424,000 for the Sumava, Indiana, project and \$1,000,000 for the Deer Creek, Illinois, project. In addition, the conferees urge the Corps of Engineers to proceed with design of the Mad Creek flood control project in Iowa.

The amount provided for the Section 111 program includes \$170,000 for the Dauphin Island, Alabama, project.

The amount provided for the Section 107 program includes \$3,000,000 for the Lake Shore State Park, Wisconsin, project.

The conferees have included language in the bill earmarking funds for the following projects in the amounts specified: San Timoteo Creek (Santa Ana River Mainstem), California, \$8,000,000; Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana, \$9,000,000; Southern and Eastern Kentucky, \$4,000,000; Clover Fork, City of Cumberland, Town of Martin, Pike County (including Levisa Fork and Tug Fork Tributaries), Bell County, Floyd County, Martin County, and Harlan County, Kentucky, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project, \$15,450,000; and the Lower Mingo County (Kermit), Upper Mingo County (including County Tributaries), Wayne County, and McDowell County, West Virginia, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project, \$5,900,000.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House regarding the San Gabriel Basin Restoration Fund project. Funds for this project are included in the Bureau of Reclamation's Water and Related Resources account.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which directs the Corps of Engineers to modify the Carr Creek Lake, Kentucky, project to provide additional water supply storage for the Upper Kentucky River Basin.

The conferees have included language proposed by the House directing the Corps of Engineers to undertake design deficiency repairs to the Bois Brule Drainage and Levee District, Missouri, project with cost sharing consistent with the original project authorization and to increase the authorized level of protection of the Bois Brule Drainage and Levee District, Missouri, project from 50 to 100 years.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which directs the Corps of Engineers to conduct technical studies of individual ditch systems identified by the State of Hawaii and to assist the State in diversification by helping define the

cost of repairing and maintaining selected ditch systems. The conference agreement also includes language proposed by the Senate which directs the Corps of Engineers to use \$1,300,000 to continue construction of the Kaunalapau Harbor, Hawaii, project.

The conferees have agreed to include language proposed by the Senate regarding the Brunswick County Beaches, North Carolina, project. The language has been amended to direct the Corps of Engineers to continue preparation of a General Reevaluation Report for the Oak Island, Caswell Beach, and Holden Beach segments of the project.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate directing the Corps of Engineers to undertake the Bowie County Levee, Texas, project.

The conferees have included language proposed by the Senate directing the Corps of Engineers to use \$4,000,000 of the funds provided for the Dam Safety and Seepage/Stability Correction program to continue construction of seepage control features at Waterbury Dam, Vermont.

The conference agreement includes language directing the Corps of Engineers to complete the Aloha-Rigolette, Louisiana, project.

The conference agreement includes language directing the Corps of Engineers to proceed with the Shoalwater Bay Shoreline, Washington, project.

The conferees have agreed to include language in the bill directing the Corps of Engineers to proceed with a final design and initiate construction for the repair and replacement of the Jicarilla Municipal Water System in Dulce, New Mexico.

The conference agreement includes language which directs the Corps of Engineers to proceed with the Missouri River Restoration project and which provides that erosion control measures implemented shall be primarily through nonstructural means such as planting of native vegetation, bugger strips, conservation easements, setbacks, and agricultural best management practices.

The conference agreement includes language directing the Corps of Engineers to construct the Dallas Floodway Extension, Texas, project in accordance with the Chief of Engineers report dated December 7, 1999.

The conferees have included language in the bill extending by one year the due date for a progress report required by the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2001, on implementing a program of environmental infrastructure improvements in northern Wisconsin.

The conference agreement includes language directing the Corps of Engineers to use funds previously appropriated for the Salyersville, Kentucky, project to construct additional recreation improvements at the Buckhorn Lake, Kentucky, project.

The conference agreement includes language directing the Corps of Engineers to initiate construction of the Seward Harbor, Alaska, project in accordance with the Report of the Chief of Engineers dated June 8, 1999.

The conferees have included language directing the Corps of Engineers to use previously appropriated funds to reimburse the City of Venice, Florida, for work accomplished by the City as part of the Sarasota County, Florida, project.

The conference agreement includes language directing the Corps of Engineers to undertake emergency bank protection measures at Lakeshore Park in Knoxville, Tennessee.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which directs the Corps of Engineers to continue the Dickenson County, Virginia, Detailed Project Report.

The conferees have included language proposed by the Senate providing that the non-Federal sponsor for the Lebanon, New Hampshire, project shall receive credit toward the non-Federal cost of the project for work performed before execution of the project cooperation agreement.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House under Operation and Maintenance regarding the Raritan River Basin, Green Brook Sub-Basin, New Jersey, project. The Senate had proposed similar language under General Provisions, Corps of Engineers—Civil.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate regarding the Horseshoe Lake, Arkansas, project. Funds for this project have been included within the amount provided for the Section 1135 program.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funds for the Red River Emergency Bank Protection, Arkansas, project. The amount appropriated for Construction, General includes \$3,000,000 for this project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate regarding the Embrey Dam, Virginia, project. Funds for this project have been included in the amount appropriated for Construction, General.

The conferees direct that \$2,000,000 of the funds provided in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2001, for the Abandoned and Inactive Noncoal Mine Restoration Program shall be provided for clean-up activities in Nevada.

FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ARKANSAS, ILLINOIS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, AND TENNESSEE

The conference agreement appropriates \$345,992,000 for Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries, instead of \$347,655,000 as proposed by the House and \$328,011,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$45,000,000 for the Channel Improvement construction program. The amount provided includes \$500,000 to initiate dike construction at Keyes Point, Arkansas; Kate Aubrey, Arkansas; and Ashport-Goldust, Arkansas and Tennessee.

The conference agreement includes \$49,547,000 for the Mississippi River Levees construction program. The amount provided includes \$4,100,000 to construct improvements in the vicinity of New Madrid, Missouri, as described in the House Report. In addition, the conferees have included \$600,000 for the Corps of Engineers to prepare a design and cost estimate for the Lower Mississippi River Museum and Riverfront Interpretive Site at Vicksburg, Mississippi, generally in accordance with the conceptual plan prepared by the City of Vicksburg, as authorized by the Water Re-

resources Development Act of 1992, and amended by the Water Resources Development Act of 2000.

The conference agreement includes \$12,000,000 to continue construction of the Grand Prairie project in Arkansas, including construction of features to withdraw water from the White River. The conferees are aware that the irrigation district that would be the local sponsor for this project has not yet been formed. Formation of the district would be a significant step in advancing this project.

The conferees have provided \$25,400,000 for the Atchafalaya Basin project and direct the Corps of Engineers to use these funds for the Bayou Yokely pumping station and other projects within the basin. Further, the conferees restrict funds from being used on any action that would decrease the water quality on Bayou Lafourche until water quality experts responsible for municipal water supplies from the bayou support these project elements.

The conferees recognize that the realization of benefits derived from the Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System project is dependent upon the continuation of construction engineering and design work for water management and recreational features of the Myette Point, Buffalo Cove, and Flat Lake elements. The Corps of Engineers is directed to continue work on these components.

The conference agreement includes language directing the Corps of Engineers to convey certain real property to the Board of Mississippi Levee Commissioners.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates \$1,874,803,000 for Operation and Maintenance, General instead of \$1,864,464,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,833,263,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$29,600,000 for the Mobile Harbor, Alabama, project. The amount provided includes \$5,000,000 for the Corps of Engineers to remove, transport, dispose, and remediate sediments in the Arlington Channel and in the Garrows Bend Channel in Mobile Harbor, Alabama, and in areas adjacent to these Federal navigation channels. The conferees have included language in the bill directing the Corps of Engineers to proceed with this work.

The conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 above the budget request for the St. Mary's River, Michigan, project for additional dredging of the lower St. Mary's River.

The conferees have provided \$9,911,000 for the Garrison Dam, Lake Sakakawea, North Dakota, project, an increase of \$800,000 over the budget request. The additional funds are provided for maintenance and upgrading of recreational facilities and for mosquito control in Williston, North Dakota.

Of the amount provided for the Delaware River, Philadelphia to the Sea, project, \$2,000,000 is for the Corps of Engineers to continue construction of facilities to control erosion of the shoreline in the vicinity of Pea Patch Island located in the Delaware River east of Delaware City, Delaware.

The conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to use the funds provided above the budget request for the Francis E. Walter Dam,

Pennsylvania, project to conduct a road relocation study at the dam.

The amounts provided above the budget request for the Little Goose Lock and Dam, Washington; The Dalles Lock and Dam, Oregon and Washington; Bonneville Lock and Dam, Oregon and Washington; and John Day Lock and Dam, Oregon and Washington, projects are to fund new requirements implementing the Federal Columbia River Power System biological opinion.

Pursuant to Public Law 105-104 and Public Law 105-105, the States of Alabama, Florida, and Georgia have been engaged in negotiations since 1997 over the reallocation of water storage in Federal reservoirs operated by the Corps of Engineers in the Apalachicola-Chattahoochee-Flint and Alabama-Coosa-Tallaposa River Basins. The conferees understand that the States may be close to reaching an agreement on new allocation formulas that will reallocate storage at the Federal reservoirs located on these river basins. The conferees recognize that these projects were constructed pursuant to Acts of Congress which prescribed how the reservoirs shall operate. The conferees therefore request that the Corps report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on how the Corps will account for hydropower benefits lost as a result of the new allocation formulas.

The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000 for the transfer of the Fox River project in Wisconsin to the State of Wisconsin. The conferees are aware that additional funds will be required to complete the transfer, and urge the Corps of Engineers to reprogram the necessary funds in fiscal year 2002. If the transfer cannot be completed in fiscal year 2002, it is the intent of the conferees to provide the additional funds in fiscal year 2003 for this effort.

The conferees are aware of the lead-time required to repair and rehabilitate recreational facilities for the upcoming Lewis and Clark Bicentennial Commemoration. Therefore, the Corps of Engineers may, within available funds, perform maintenance and repair of these facilities as is considered necessary to accommodate the anticipated visitor population.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House directing the Corps of Engineers to perform cultural resource mitigation and recreation improvements at Waco Lake, Texas. The language has been amended to delete the dollar amount; however, the conference agreement includes \$1,500,000 for this project as proposed by the House.

The conferees have included language proposed by the House which directs the Corps of Engineers to grade the basin within the Hansen Dam feature of the Los Angeles County Drainage Area, California, project to enhance and maintain flood control and provide for future use of the basin for compatible purposes consistent with the Master Plan. The language has been amended to delete the dollar amount; however, the conference agreement includes \$2,000,000 for this work as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which directs the Corps of Engineers to investigate the development of an upland disposal site recycling program. The language has been amended so that the following projects are to be included in this program: Black Warrior and Tombigbee Rivers;



Alabama-Coosa Rivers; and Mobile River. The language has been amended to delete the dollar amount; however, the conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for the work as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which directs the Corps of Engineers to reimburse the State of Delaware for operation and maintenance costs incurred by the State for the SR1 Bridge over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal.

The conferees have included language proposed by the Senate directing the Corps of Engineers to remove and reinstall the docks and causeway at Astoria East Boat Basin in Oregon. The language has been amended to also direct the Corps of Engineers to continue the breakwater repairs at the project. The language has also been amended to delete the dollar amount; however, the conference agreement includes \$3,000,000 for this work.

The conferees have included language proposed by the Senate directing the Corps of Engineers to dredge a channel from the mouth of Wheeling Creek to Tunnel Green Park in Wheeling, West Virginia. The language has been amended to delete the dollar amount; however, the conference agreement includes \$2,000,000 for this project as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which provides for the development of a long-term dredged material management plan for the Apalachicola, Chattahoochee, and Flint Rivers project. The language has been amended to provide that \$4,900,000 shall be available for the dredged material management plan and the \$8,000,000 shall be available for operation and maintenance of the project. The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House regarding the Raritan River Basin, Green Brook Sub-Basin, New Jersey, project. This language has been included under the Construction, General account.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funds for a study of the best use of sand dredged from Morehead City Harbor, North Carolina, and providing funds for dredging of the Sagamore Creek Channel in New Hampshire. Funds for these projects have been provided in the amount appropriated for Operation and Maintenance, General.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funds for activities related to selection of a permanent disposal site for environmentally sound dredged material from projects in the State of Rhode Island. Funds for this work are included in the amount provided for the Providence River and Harbor project.

The conferees agree that centralized management of project funds is efficient and is allowed under current guidelines for certain activities. These activities include but are not limited to the program development system known as the Automated Budget System; the National Recreation Reservation System; the provision of uniforms for those required to wear them; the Volunteer Clearinghouse; the Water Safety Program; the transition from government-owned/contractor-operated to private ownership and operation of the SHOALS system; and the Sign Standards Program. The conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to disclose the costs of these activities in its budget justifications.

## FLOOD CONTROL AND COASTAL EMERGENCIES

## (RESCISSION)

The conferees have agreed to rescind \$25,000,000 of the \$50,000,000 appropriated in Public Law 107-20 for Flood Control and Coastal Emergencies. Corps of Engineers requirements under this program have been less than anticipated.

## REGULATORY PROGRAM

The conference agreement appropriates \$127,000,000 for the Regulatory Program instead of \$128,000,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate.

## FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM

The conference agreement appropriates \$140,000,000 for the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program as proposed by the House and the Senate.

## REVOLVING FUND

The conferees have learned that the Corps of Engineers is considering a proposal to finance a major new software development from the assets of the Revolving Fund. This Fund was established in 1953 to acquire plant and equipment that would be utilized by more than one project. The conferees have noted that in recent years the Fund has been used to acquire and develop automation systems and have from time to time expressed concern with this use of the Fund. Before the conferees will concur in further use of the Fund in this manner, the Corps is directed to present appropriate justification to the House and Senate Appropriations Subcommittees on Energy and Water Development. This justification must include an appropriate and complete economic analysis.

## GENERAL EXPENSES

The conference agreement appropriates \$153,000,000 for General Expenses as proposed by the House and the Senate. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which prohibits the use of funds to support a congressional affairs office within the executive office of the Chief of Engineers.

## GENERAL PROVISIONS

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

Section 101. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House directing the Secretary of the Army to transfer property at Tuttle Creek Lake, Kansas, to the Blue Township Fire District, Blue Township, Kansas.

Section 102. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which directs the Secretary of the Army to carry out shore protection projects in accordance with the cost sharing provisions contained in existing project cooperation agreements with an amendment to include the text of section 111 of the Senate bill which provides that the Secretary of the Army may not

accept or solicit non-Federal contributions for shore protection projects in excess of the minimum requirements established by law.

Section 103. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which places a limit on credits and reimbursements allowable per project and annually.

Section 104. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which directs that none of the funds made available in fiscal year 2002 may be used to carry out any activity related to closure or removal of the St. Georges Bridge across the Intracoastal Waterway, Delaware River to Chesapeake Bay.

Section 105. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which provides that the non-Federal sponsor for the Lava Hot Springs Restoration project in Idaho shall receive credit for lands, easements, relocations, rights-of-way, and disposal areas acquired before execution of the project cooperation agreement.

Section 106. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate amending the authorization for the Guadalupe River, California, project.

Section 107. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate regarding a designation of nonnavigability for portions of Gloucester County, New Jersey.

Section 108. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate making technical corrections to the authorization for the Nome Harbor, Alaska, project.

Section 109. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which amends section 211 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000. The language has been amended to make a technical correction.

Section 110. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which extends the authorization for appropriations for the Missouri and Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement Project by one year.

Section 111. The conference agreement amends language proposed by the Senate regarding the correction of a design deficiency for the Fort Fairfield, Maine, project.

Section 112. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate directing the Secretary of the Army to reassess the allocation of Federal and non-Federal costs for construction of the Cerrillos Dam project in Puerto Rico.

Section 113. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate amending the cost sharing provisions of section 704 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986.

Section 114. The conference agreement includes language amending the authorization for the Ramapo River at Oakland, New Jersey, project.

Section 115. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House regarding the use of the dredge McFARLAND. The provision has been amended by deleting the reference to placing the dredge in the active ready reserve. The conferees agree that this limitation on the use of the McFARLAND should not be considered a precedent for any other Corps of Engineers dredge, especially any dredge operating in the ports and harbors of the Northwest, where fewer commercial dredges are available and travel

times to move dredges to that part of country are longer than on the east and gulf coasts. The conferees direct the General Accounting Office to conduct an economic and technical study to evaluate the benefits and impacts of the minimum dredge fleet. The study shall include an assessment on the capability and capacity of the private dredging industry to effectively respond to and accomplish the unique work the dredge McFARLAND has historically performed, with the viewpoints of all stakeholders included. The conferees expect the study to be completed within 180 days and the results transmitted to the authorization and appropriations committees.

Section 116. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate regarding revisions to the Missouri River Master Water Control Manual.

*Provisions not included in the conference agreement.*—The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the House regarding the San Gabriel Basin Restoration Project in California. This matter has been addressed in Title II.

The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the House regarding revisions to the Missouri River Master Water Control Manual.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate regarding funding for the Demonstration Erosion Control project in Mississippi, and the Perry Lake, Kansas, project. Funding for those projects is included in the amounts appropriated for Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries, and Operation and Maintenance, General, respectively.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate regarding the Mad Creek flood control project, which has been funded within the amount provided for the section 205 program under Construction, General.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate regarding dredging of the McClellan-Kerr Arkansas River Navigation Project. The conferees agree that the Corps of Engineers should undertake advance maintenance of the project when appropriate to facilitate the movement of commercial navigation traffic.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate regarding the Raritan River Basin, Green Brook Sub-Basin, New Jersey, project. This matter has been addressed under Construction, General.



CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
ALABAMA				
ALABAMA RIVER BELOW CLAIBORNE LOCK AND DAM, AL.....	300	---	300	---
BALDWIN COUNTY SHORE PROTECTION, AL.....	100	---	100	---
BALDWIN COUNTY WATERSHEDS, AL.....	50	---	50	---
BAYOU LA BATRE, AL.....	50	---	50	---
BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, AL.....	300	---	---	---
BREWTON AND EAST BREWTON, AL.....	50	---	50	---
CAHABA RIVER WATERSHED, AL.....	160	---	160	---
DOG RIVER, AL.....	250	---	250	---
LUBBUB CREEK, AL.....	50	---	50	---
SPRING CREEK, TUSCUMBIA, AL.....	---	---	100	---
TUSCALOOSA, AL.....	---	---	100	---
VILLAGE CREEK, JEFFERSON COUNTY (BIRMINGHAM WATERSHED)	250	---	250	---
ALASKA				
AKUTAN HARBOR, AK.....	---	100	---	100
ANIAK HARBOR, AK.....	---	---	100	---
ANCHOR POINT HARBOR, AK.....	50	---	50	---
ANCHORAGE HARBOR DEEPENING, AK.....	100	---	500	---
BARROW COASTAL STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION, AK.....	100	---	250	---
CHANDALAR RIVER WATERSHED, VENETIE INDIAN, AK.....	50	---	50	---
CHENA RIVER WATERSHED, AK.....	100	---	100	100
CRAIG HARBOR, AK.....	50	---	50	---
DELONG MOUNTAIN HARBOR, AK.....	200	---	500	---
DOUGLAS HARBOR EXPANSION, AK.....	---	100	---	100
FALSE PASS HARBOR, AK.....	---	100	---	313
FIRE ISLAND CAUSEWAY, AK.....	---	---	100	---
HAINES HARBOR, AK.....	150	---	---	165
HARDING LAKE WATERSHED, AK.....	50	---	---	---

KENAI RIVER BLUFF EROSION, AK.....	---	---	---	---	---
KETCHIKAN HARBOR, AK.....	50	---	---	---	100
KOTZEBUE SMALL BOAT HARBOR, AK.....	50	---	---	---	100
LITTLE DIOMEDE HARBOR, AK.....	50	---	---	---	150
MEKORYUK HARBOR, AK.....	50	---	---	---	100
MATANUSKA REOSION CONTROL, AK.....	---	---	---	---	100
PERRYVILLE HARBOR, AK.....	40	---	---	---	150
PORT LIONS HARBOR, AK.....	96	---	---	---	150
QUINHAGAK HARBOR, AK.....	50	---	---	---	50
SAINT GEORGE NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENTS, AK.....	50	---	---	---	150
SHIP CREEK WATERSHED, AK.....	50	---	---	---	100
SITKA HARBOR, AK.....	50	---	---	---	200
SKAGWAY HARBOR MODIFICATION, AK.....	138	---	---	---	138
SKAGWAY RIVER FLOOD CONTROL.....	---	---	---	---	---
UNALAKLEET HARBOR, AK.....	50	---	---	---	50
UNALASKA HARBOR, AK.....	---	226	---	---	226
VALDEZ HARBOR EXPANSION, AK.....	---	150	---	---	150
WHITTIER BREAKWATER, AK.....	150	---	---	---	---
TUTUILA HARBOR, AS.....	124	---	---	---	124
AMERICAN SAMOA					
ARTIZONA					
AGUA FRIA RIVER, AZ.....	---	---	---	---	100
COLONIAS ALONG THE US - MEXICO BORDER, AZ.....	---	---	---	---	100
GILA RIVER, NORTHEAST PHOENIX DRAINAGE AREA, AZ.....	143	---	---	---	---
LITTLE COLORADO RIVER, AZ.....	100	---	---	---	---
PIMA COUNTY, AZ.....	400	---	---	---	---
RILLITO RIVER, PIMA COUNTY, AZ.....	200	---	---	---	---
RIO DE FLAG, FLAGSTAFF, AZ.....	---	230	---	---	750
RIO SALADO ESTE, AZ.....	100	---	---	---	---
RIO SALADO OESTE, SALT RIVER, AZ.....	300	---	---	---	---
SANTA CRUZ RIVER, GRANT RD TO FT LOWELL RD, AZ.....	100	---	---	---	---
SANTA CRUZ RIVER, PASEO DE LAS IGLESIAS, AZ.....	300	---	---	---	---
TRES RIOS, AZ.....	---	270	---	---	1,500

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
TUCSON DRAINAGE AREA, AZ.....	---	208	---	410
VA SHLY-AY AKIMEL SALT RIVER RESTORATION PROJECT, AZ..	100	---	400	---
ARKANSAS				
ARKANSAS RIVER LEVEES, AR.....	---	187	---	187
ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION STUDY, AR & OK.....	1,200	---	1,200	---
MAY BRANCH, FORT SMITH, AR.....	---	200	---	200
NORTH LITTLE ROCK, DARK HOLLOW, AR.....	---	400	---	500
PINE MOUNTAIN DAM, AR.....	---	---	---	200
RED RIVER WATERWAY, AR, LA, OK, TX.....	---	---	100	---
RED RIVER NAVIGATION STUDY, SOUTHWEST ARKANSAS, AR.....	450	---	500	---
WHITE RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, AR & MO.....	581	---	581	---
WHITE RIVER MINIMUM FLOWS, AR.....	213	---	363	---
WHITE RIVER NAVIGATION, AR.....	---	---	169	---
CALIFORNIA				
ALISO CREEK MAINSTEM, CA.....	50	---	200	---
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA.....	---	2,000	---	2,600
ARAMA GULCH WATERSHED, CA.....	---	---	100	---
ARROYO PASAJERO, CA.....	---	20	---	20
ARROYO PASAJERO, CA.....	318	---	480	---
ARROYO SECO WATERSHED, CA.....	---	---	100	---
BALLONA CREEK ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA.....	---	---	100	---
BOLINAS LAGOON ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA.....	---	300	---	750
COYOTE DAM, CA.....	---	---	100	---
CITY OF SAN BERNARDINO, CA.....	---	---	250	---
CITY OF SANTA CLARITA, CA.....	---	---	100	---
CITY OF WESTMINSTER FLOOD CONTROL DRAINAGE STUDY, CA..	100	---	100	---
COAST OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CA.....	---	---	400	---
GRAYSON AND MURDERER'S CREEK, WALNUT CREEK, CA.....	---	---	100	---





CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
RUSSIAN RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA.....	300	---	300	---
SACRAMENTO - SAN JOAQUIN DELTA, CA.....	300	---	300	---
SACRAMENTO AND SAN JOAQUIN COMPREHENSIVE BASIN STUDY, ..	4,479	---	5,500	---
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CA.....	200	---	200	---
SAN CLEMENTE SHORELINE, CA.....	100	---	400	---
SAN DIEGO COUNTY SPECIAL AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN, CA.....	---	---	1,000	---
SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHORELINE, CA.....	---	---	1,000	---
SAN FRANCISCO BAY, CA.....	300	---	300	---
SAN GABRIEL RIVER TO NEWPORT BAY, CA.....	---	---	400	---
SAN JACINTO RIVER, CA.....	300	---	300	---
SAN JOAQUIN R BASIN, STOCKTON METRO AREA, FARMINGTON D	---	200	---	200
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, CONSUMNES & MOKELUMNE RIVERS,	350	---	350	---
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, FRAZIER CREEK, CA.....	25	---	100	---
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, STOCKTON METROPOLITAN AREA, C	---	100	---	100
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, TUOLUMNE RIVER, CA.....	50	---	50	---
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, STOCKTON METROPOLITAN AREA, C	200	---	350	---
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, WEST STANISLAUS COUNTY, CA...	160	---	500	---
SAN JUAN CREEK, SOUTH ORANGE COUNTY, CA.....	100	---	100	---
SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA.....	100	---	100	---
SAN PABLO BAY WATERSHED, CA.....	300	---	300	---
SANTA ANA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, BIG BEAR LAKE, CA.....	---	---	100	---
SANTA BARBARA AND VENTURA COUNTY SHORELINE, CA.....	---	---	100	---
SANTA CLARA RIVER, CITY OF SANTA CLARITA, CA.....	---	---	100	---
SANTA CRUZ PORT, CA.....	---	---	100	---
SANTA ROSA CREEK WATERSHED, CA.....	300	---	100	---
SANTA YNEZ RIVER, CA.....	100	---	100	---
SOLOMO BEACH - ENCINITAS, CA.....	---	---	500	---
SONOMA CREEK & TRIBUTARIES, CA.....	300	---	300	---
SOUTH SACRAMENTO COUNTY STREAMS, CA.....	---	100	---	---
STRONG AND CHICKEN RANCH SLOUGHS, CA.....	75	---	75	---
SUTTER COUNTY, CA.....	300	---	300	---

TIJUANA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, CA.....	200	---	---	200	---
TULE RIVER, CA.....	---	400	---	---	---
UPPER GUADALUPE RIVER, CA.....	---	300	---	---	300
UPPER PENITENCIA CREEK, CA.....	300	---	---	400	---
UPPER SANTA ANA RIVER WATERSHED, CA.....	200	---	---	200	---
VENTURA HARBOR SAND BYPASS, CA.....	250	---	---	400	---
WESTMINSTER, CA.....	---	---	---	300	---
WESTSIDE TRIBUTARIES TO YOLO BYPASS, CA.....	---	50	---	---	50
WHITE RIVER AND DEER CREEK, CA.....	25	---	---	25	---
WHITewater RIVER BASIN, CA.....	---	---	---	---	1,000
WILDCAT & SAN PABLO CREEKS, CA.....	---	---	---	100	---
YUBA RIVER BASIN, CA.....	---	780	---	---	780
COLORADO					
CHATFIELD, CHERRY CREEK AND BEAR CREEK RESERVOIRS, CO.	250	---	---	250	---
FOUNTAIN CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, CO.....	---	---	---	175	---
ZUNI AND SUN VALLEY REACHES, SOUTH PLATTE RIVER, CO...	200	---	---	400	---
COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS					
ROTA HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, CNMI.....	25	---	---	25	---
TINTIAN HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, CNMI.....	25	---	---	25	---
DELAWARE					
CHRISTINA RIVER WATERSHEAD, DE.....	---	---	---	100	---
DELAWARE COAST FROM CAPE HENLOPEN TO FENWICK ISLAND....	---	---	---	---	200
FLORIDA					
BISCAYNE BAY, FL.....	240	---	---	240	---
EGMONT KEY SHORELINE, FL.....	---	---	---	500	---
ST. LUCIE COUNTY, FL.....	---	---	---	100	---
HILLSBOROUGH RIVER, FL.....	300	---	---	375	---
LAKE WORTH INLET, PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL.....	100	---	---	100	---
PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL.....	---	300	---	---	300
ST PETERSBURG HARBOR, FL.....	---	---	---	---	100
WITHLACOCHEE RIVER, FL.....	300	---	---	---	300

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
GEORGIA				
ALLATOONA LAKE, GA.....	300	---	300	---
ARABIA MOUNTAIN, GA.....	60	---	60	---
AUGUSTA, GA.....	252	---	252	---
INDIAN, SUGAR, ENTRENCHMENT AND FEDERAL PRISON CREEKS, LONG ISLAND, MARSH AND JOHNS CREEKS, GA.....	100	---	100	---
METRO ATLANTA WATERSHED, GA.....	100	---	100	---
NEW SAVANNAH BLUFF LOCK AND DAM, GA.....	175	---	175	---
NORTH GEORGIA WATERSHED STUDY, GA.....	---	---	---	800
SAVANNAH HARBOR ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, GA.....	---	---	---	---
SAVANNAH HARBOR EXPANSION, GA.....	350	---	350	---
SAVANNAH HARBOR SEDIMENT CONTROL WORKS, GA.....	---	400	---	540
SAVANNAH RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, GA & SC.....	---	---	300	---
UTOY, SANDY AND PROCTOR CREEKS, GA.....	230	---	230	---
	150	---	150	---
HAWAII				
ALA WAI CANAL, OAHU, HI.....	350	---	350	---
BARBERS POINT HARBOR MODIFICATION, OAHU, HI.....	---	100	---	100
HONOLULU HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, OAHU, HI.....	101	---	101	---
KAHUKU, HI.....	50	---	50	---
KAWAIHAE DEEP DRAFT HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, HAWAII, HI..	225	---	225	---
KIHEI AREA EROSION, HI.....	50	---	160	---
NAWILIWILI HARBOR, KAUAI, HI.....	---	---	100	---
WAIKIKI EROSION CONTROL, HI.....	---	50	---	350
WAILUPE STREAM FLOOD CONTROL, OAHU, HI.....	---	---	---	100
IDAHO				
BOISE RIVER, BOISE, ID.....	50	---	50	---

GOOSE CREEK, OAKLEY, ID.....	150	---	---	150	---
KOOTENAI RIVER AT BONNERS FERRY, ID.....	50	---	---	50	---
LITTLE WOOD RIVER, GOODING, ID.....	256	---	---	256	---
PAYETTE AND SNAKE RIVER, ID.....	150	---	---	150	---

ILLINOIS

ALEXANDER AND PULASKI COUNTIES, IL.....	130	---	---	130	---
DES PLAINES RIVER, IL (PHASE II).....	400	---	---	400	---
ILLINOIS BEACH STATE PARK (INTERIM 1), IL.....	---	---	---	---	250
ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION, IL.....	825	---	---	2,000	---
ILLINOIS RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, IL.....	177	---	---	825	---
KANKAKEE RIVER BASIN, IL & IN.....	---	---	---	177	---
NUTWOOD LEVEE, IL.....	---	---	---	400	---
PEORIA RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, IL.....	311	---	---	311	---
PEORIA RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, IL.....	---	---	415	---	415
ROCK RIVER, IL & WI.....	300	---	---	300	---
UPPER MISS & ILLINOIS NAV STUDY, IL, IA, MN, MO & WI..	3,724	---	---	3,724	---
UPPER MISS RIVER COMPREHENSIVE STUDY, IL.....	1,200	---	---	1,000	---
UPPER MISS RVR SYS FLOW FREQUENCY STUDY, IL, IA, MN, M	---	---	---	1,200	---
WAUKEGAN HARBOR, IL.....	---	160	---	---	160
WOOD RIVER LEVEE, IL.....	---	341	---	---	341

INDIANA

INDIANA HARBOR, IN.....	250	---	---	500	---
JOHN T MYERS LOCKS AND DAM, IN & KY.....	---	2,100	---	---	2,100
LONG LAKE, IN.....	---	---	---	100	---
OHIO RIVER, MADISON, IN.....	---	---	---	100	---
WOLF LAKE, IN & IL.....	100	---	---	---	---

IOWA

DES MOINES AND RACCOON RIVERS, IA.....	450	---	---	450	---
FORT DODGE, IA.....	---	---	---	420	---
LOWER DES MOINES RIVER, IA & MO.....	---	---	---	100	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
<b>KANSAS</b>				
TOPEKA, KS.....	133	---	133	---
TURKEY CREEK BASIN, KS & MO.....	---	122	---	400
UPPER TURKEY CREEK, KS.....	150	---	250	---
WALNUT AND WHITEWATER RIVER WATERSHEDS, KS.....	200	---	200	---
<b>KENTUCKY</b>				
GREENUP LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, KY & OH.....	---	2,372	---	2,372
LICKING RIVER, CYNTHIANA, KY.....	252	---	252	---
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, JEFFERSON COUNTY, KY.....	325	---	325	---
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, MILL CREEK BASIN, KY.....	264	---	264	---
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, SOUTHWEST, KY.....	200	---	200	---
NORTH FORK LICKING RIVER, KY.....	---	---	100	---
OHIO RIVER MAIN STEM SYSTEMS STUDY, KY, IL, IN, PA, WV	1,500	---	1,500	---
<b>LOUISIANA</b>				
AMITE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LA.	300	---	300	---
ASCENSION PARISH, LA.....	100	---	---	---
ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF AND BLACK, L	100	---	300	---
BAYOU SORREL LOCK, LA.....	---	300	---	300
CALCASIEU LOCK, LA.....	400	---	500	---
CALCASIEU RIVER BASIN, LA.....	200	---	300	---
GULF INTERCOASTAL WATERWAY, BANK STABILIZATION AND	---	---	100	---
ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LA.....	100	---	300	---
HURRICANE PROTECTION, LA.....	---	50	---	300
JEFFERSON PARISH, LA.....	---	400	---	400
LAFAYETTE PARISH, LA.....	---	---	---	---

LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LA.....	1,072	---	1,072	---	50
ORLEANS PARISH, LA.....	---	50	---	---	---
QUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, LA & AR.....	---	---	---	---	---
PLAQUEMINES PARISH URBAN FLOOD CONTROL, LA.....	100	---	100	---	---
PORT OF IBERIA, LA.....	---	---	---	---	---
ST BERNARD PARISH URBAN FLOOD CONTROL, LA.....	300	---	300	---	---
ST CHARLES PARISH URBAN FLOOD CONTROL, LA.....	100	---	100	---	---
ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH, LA.....	---	---	---	---	---
WEST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA.....	---	---	---	---	---
WEST SHORE, LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN, LA.....	197	---	---	---	---
MARYLAND					
ANACOSTIA RIVER FEDERAL WATERSHED IMPACT ASSESSMENT, M	458	---	458	---	---
ANACOSTIA RIVER, PG COUNTY LEVEE, MD & DC.....	240	---	240	---	---
BALTIMORE METRO, GYNNIS FALLS, MD.....	---	50	---	---	50
CHESAPEAKE BAY SHORELINE, MD, VA, PA & DE.....	---	---	---	---	---
CUMBERLAND, MD.....	---	175	---	---	175
EASTERN SHORE, MD.....	250	---	250	---	---
LOWER POTOMAC ESTUARY WATERSHED, MATTAWOMAN, MD.....	87	---	87	---	---
LOWER POTOMAC ESTUARY WATERSHED, ST MARY'S, MD.....	190	---	190	---	---
MIDDLE POTOMAC WATERSHED STUDY, MD & VA.....	---	---	---	---	---
SMITH ISLAND ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, MD.....	---	300	---	---	300
MASSACHUSETTS					
BLACKSTONE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION, MA & RI.....	100	---	100	---	---
BOSTON HARBOR, MA (45-FOOT CHANNEL).....	300	---	300	---	---
COASTAL MASSACHUSETTS ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, MA.....	100	---	100	---	---
MUDDY RIVER, BROOKLINE AND BOSTON, MA.....	---	330	---	---	600
SOMERSET AND SEARSBURG DAMS, DEERFIELD RIVER, MA & VT.	100	---	---	---	---
MICHIGAN					
BELLE ISLE SHORELINE, DETROIT, MI.....	---	---	---	---	---
CASS RIVER, VASSAR, MI.....	---	---	150	---	---
DETROIT RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, MI.....	---	---	100	---	---
---	---	---	200	---	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
DETROIT RIVER MASTER PLAN, MI.....	---	---	100	---
GREAT LAKES NAV SYST STUDY, MI, IL, IN, MN, NY, OH, PA	501	---	501	---
ROUGE RIVER WATERSHED, MI.....	---	---	200	---
SAULT STE MARIE (REPLACEMENT LOCK), MI.....	---	1,530	---	---
ST CLAIR RIVER AND LAKE ST CLAIR, MI.....	---	---	200	---
MINNESOTA				
LOWER ST ANTHONY FALLS RAPIDS RESTORATION, MN.....	---	100	---	---
MINNESOTA DAM SAFETY, MN.....	---	---	600	---
RED RIVER OF THE NORTH BASIN, MN, ND, SD & MANITOBA, C	500	---	500	---
UPPER MISS RIVER WATERSHED MGMT, LAKE ITASCA TO L/D 2,	200	---	200	---
MISSISSIPPI				
HANCOCK COUNTY, MS.....	---	---	100	---
MISSISSIPPI GULF COAST, MS.....	---	---	100	---
MISSOURI				
CHESTERFIELD, MO.....	---	605	---	605
HANNIBAL HARBOR, MO.....	175	---	175	---
KANSAS CITIES, MO & KS.....	580	---	900	---
MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, UNITS L455 & R460-471, MO	180	---	180	---
NEW MADRID HARBOR, MO.....	---	---	50	---
RIVER DES PERES, MO.....	---	242	---	242
ST LOUIS FLOOD PROTECTION, MO.....	---	98	---	98
ST LOUIS HARBOR, MO & IL.....	---	284	---	284
ST LOUIS RIVERFRONT, MO & IL.....	---	---	500	---
SPRINGFIELD, MO.....	---	---	100	---



SPOPE PARK INDUSTRIAL AREA, KANSAS CITY, MO.....	---	150	---	150	---
WEARS CREEK, JEFFERSON, MO.....	---	---	100	---	---
MONTANA					
LOWER YELLOWSTONE RIVER DIVERSION DAM, MT.....	---	25	---	25	---
YELLOWSTONE RIVER CORRIDOR, MT.....	325	---	325	---	---
NEBRASKA					
ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NE.....	---	400	---	400	---
LOWER PLATTE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NE.....	350	---	350	---	---
LOWER PLATTE RIVER WATERSHED, NE.....	---	---	200	---	---
SAND CREEK WATERSHED, WAHOO, NE.....	---	656	---	656	---
WESTERN SARPY AND CLEAR CREEK, NE.....	---	90	---	90	590
NEVADA					
LAS VEGAS WASH, NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV.....	---	---	100	---	---
LOWER LAS VEGAS WASH WETLANDS, NV.....	50	---	725	---	---
TAHOE BASIN, NV & CA.....	---	---	1,000	---	---
TRUCKEE MEADOWS, NV.....	---	500	---	500	---
WALKER RIVER BASIN, NV.....	200	---	200	---	---
NEW HAMPSHIRE					
CONNECTICUT RIVER ECO SYSTEM RESTORATION, NH & VT.....	---	---	100	---	---
MERRIMACK RIVER BASIN, NH.....	300	---	500	---	---
NEW JERSEY					
BARNEGAT BAY, NJ.....	---	300	---	300	---
BARNEGAT INLET TO LITTLE EGG HARBOR, NJ.....	---	263	---	263	---
GOFFLE BROOK, BOROUGH OF HAMTHORNE, NJ.....	---	---	100	---	---
GREAT EGG INLET TO TOWNSEND INLET, NJ.....	---	69	---	69	---
HUDSON - RARITAN ESTUARY, LOWER PASSAIC RIVER, NJ.....	200	---	200	---	---
LOWER PASSAIC RIVER, NJ.....	75	---	400	---	---
MANASQUAN INLET TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ.....	---	68	---	68	400

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, ENV RESTORATION, NJ.	---	150	---	150
NEW JERSEY SHORE PROTECTION, HERFORD/CAPE MAY INLET.	---	---	200	---
NEW JERSEY SHORELINE ALTERNATIVE LONG-TERM NOURISHMENT	---	---	250	---
PASSAIC RIVER, HARRISON, NJ.	---	100	---	400
PECKMAN RIVER, NJ.	---	---	100	---
RAHWAY RIVER BASIN, NJ.	100	---	230	---
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, HIGHLANDS, NJ.	50	---	300	---
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, KEYPORT, NJ.	---	---	350	---
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, LEONARDO, NJ.	250	---	450	---
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, PORT MONMOUTH, NJ.	---	100	---	500
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, UNION BEACH, NJ.	44	---	44	150
SHREWSBURY RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NJ.	50	---	250	---
SOUTH RIVER, RARITAN RIVER BASIN, NJ.	---	100	---	400
STONY BROOK, MILLSTONE RIVER BASIN, NJ.	100	---	250	---
UPPER PASSAIC RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NJ.	169	---	169	---
UPPER ROCKAWAY RIVER, NJ.	200	---	200	---
WOODBRIIDGE RIVER BASIN, NJ.	100	---	250	---
NEW MEXICO				
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE, BOSQUE, NM.	---	---	350	---
NAVAJO NATION, NM, AZ & VT.	---	---	100	---
RIO GRANDE BASIN, NM, CO & TX.	300	---	300	---
SANTA FE, NM.	---	---	100	---
SW VALLEY FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION STUDY, NM.	---	---	475	---
NEW YORK				
AUSABLE RIVER BASIN, ESSEX AND CLINTON COUNTIES, NY.	50	---	50	---
BOQUET RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ESSEX COUNTY, NY.	50	---	50	---
BRONX RIVER BASIN, NY.	50	---	300	---
DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, NY,NJ,DE,PA.	---	---	500	---

FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NY.....	409	---	---	---
FREEPOT CREEK, VILLAGE OF FREEPORT, NY.....	75	---	---	---
HUDSON - RARITAN ESTUARY, GOWANUS CANAL, NY & NJ.....	400	---	---	---
HUDSON - RARITAN ESTUARY, NY & NJ.....	2,000	---	---	---
JAMAICA BAY, MARINE PARK AND PLUMB BEACH, ARVERNE, NY.	50	---	---	---
JAMAICA BAY, MARINE PARK AND PLUMB BEACH, NY.....	400	---	---	---
LAKE MONTAUK HARBOR, NY.....	400	---	---	---
LINDENHURST, NY.....	50	---	---	---
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR, NY & NJ.....	---	2,500	---	---
NEW YORK HARBOR ANCHORAGE AREAS, NY.....	200	---	---	---
NORTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, ASHROKEN, NY.....	50	---	---	---
NORTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, BAYVILLE, NY.....	400	---	---	---
ONONDAGA LAKE, NY.....	350	---	---	---
SAW MILL RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NY.....	50	---	---	---
SOUTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, NY.....	50	---	---	---
SOUTH SHORE OF STATEN ISLAND, NY.....	209	---	---	---
UPPER DELAWARE RIVER WATERSHED, NY.....	160	---	---	---
UPPER SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN, NY.....	250	---	---	---
NORTH CAROLINA				
BOGUE BANKS, NC.....	400	---	---	---
CURRITUCK SOUND, NC.....	200	---	---	---
DARE COUNTY BEACHES, NC.....	100	---	---	---
DARE COUNTY BEACHES, NC (BODIE ISLAND PORTION).....	---	500	---	1,000
LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, NC.....	83	---	---	---
NEUSE RIVER BASIN, NC.....	100	---	---	---
SURF CITY AND NORTH TOPSAIL BEACH, NC.....	100	---	---	---
TENNESSEE RIVER AND TRIBS, FRANKLIN, MACON COUNTY, NC.	155	---	---	---
NORTH DAKOTA				
DEVILS LAKE, ND.....	---	1,700	---	1,700
GRAFTON, PARK RIVER, ND.....	---	60	---	60
OHIO				
ASHTABULA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, OH.....	---	583	---	583

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
BELPRE RIVERFRONT PARK, OH.....	---	---	200	---
BUTLER COUNTY, OH.....	100	---	100	---
HOCKING RIVER BASIN ENV RESTORATION, MONDAY CREEK, OH.	178	---	178	---
HOCKING RIVER BASIN ENV RESTORATION, SUNDAY CREEK, OH.	200	---	200	---
LOWER BIG DARBY CREEK BASIN ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION,	370	---	370	---
MAHONING RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, HOH.....	---	---	300	---
MUSKINGUM BASIN SYSTEM STUDY, OH.....	400	---	400	---
OHIO RIVERFRONT STUDY, CINCINNATI, OH.....	---	---	100	---
RICHLAND COUNTY, OH.....	200	---	200	---
UPPER BIG DARBY CREEK BASIN ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION,	65	---	65	---
WESTERN LAKE ERIE BASIN, OH.....	---	---	300	---
OKLAHOMA				
CIMARRON RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, OK, KS, NM & CO.....	226	---	226	---
MIAMI AND VICINITY, OK.....	---	---	300	---
OLOGAH LAKE WATERSHED STUDY, OK.....	---	---	350	---
SOUTHEAST OKLAHOMA WATER RESOURCE STUDY, OK.....	200	---	250	---
WARR ACRES, OK.....	174	---	174	---
WISTER LAKE WATERSHED, OK.....	---	---	375	---
OREGON				
AMAZON CREEK, OR.....	---	---	100	---
LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OR & WA...	135	---	135	---
TILLAMOOK BAY AND ESTUARY ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OR...	500	---	500	---
WILLAMETTE RIVER BASIN REVIEW, OR.....	130	---	130	---
WILLAMETTE RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, OR.....	369	---	369	---
WILLAMETTE RIVER FLOODPLAIN RESTORATION, OR.....	170	---	170	---

PENNSYLVANIA

BLOOMSBURG, PA.....	250	---	250	---
SCHUYLKILL RIVER, WISSAHICKON, PA.....	---	---	100	---
RHODE ISLAND				
QUONSET DAVISVILLE PORT, RI.....	150	---	150	---
RHODE ISLAND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, RI.....	50	---	50	---
RHODE ISLAND SOUTH COAST, HABITAT REST & STRM DMG REDU	---	160	---	160
SOUTH CAROLINA				
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC.....	655	---	655	---
BROAD RIVER BASIN, SC.....	125	---	125	---
CHARLESTON ESTUARY, SC.....	50	---	50	---
CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC.....	---	---	500	---
PAWLEYS ISLAND, SC.....	100	---	100	---
PAWLEYS ISLAND, SC.....	---	25	---	25
REEDY RIVER, SC.....	---	---	100	---
SANTEE DELTA ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, SC.....	---	---	100	---
WACCAMAW RIVER, SC.....	195	---	195	---
YADKIN - PEE DEE RIVER WATERSHED, SC & NC.....	---	50	---	50
SOUTH DAKOTA				
NIORARA RIVER AND MISSOURI RIVER, SD.....	25	---	225	---
TENNESSEE				
CHICKAMAUGA LOCK, TN.....	---	---	---	500
DAVIDSON COUNTY, TN.....	105	---	105	---
FORT DEFIANCE, MONTGOMERY COUNTY, CLARKSVILLE, TN.....	---	---	100	---
FRENCH BROAD WATERSHED, TN.....	280	---	280	---
TEXAS				
BOIS D'ARC CREEK, BONHAM, TX.....	200	---	200	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE
BRAZORIA COUNTY, TX.....	---	---	---	100
BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, BROWNSVILLE CHANNEL, TX.....	---	---	---	100
BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES, WHITE OAK BAYOU, TX.....	150	---	1,100	---
CEDAR BAYOU, TX.....	---	---	---	400
COLONIAS-LWR RIO GRANDE BASIN ALONG TX & MEXICO BORDER	---	100	---	400
CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, LAQUINTA CHANNEL, TX.....	378	---	---	378
CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX.....	572	---	---	572
FREEPORT HARBOR, TX.....	---	---	---	100
FREEPORT HURRICANE PROTECTION LEVEE, TX.....	100	---	---	100
GIWW MODIFICATIONS, TX.....	400	---	---	400
GIWW, BRAZOS RIVER TO PORT O'CONNOR, TX.....	810	---	---	810
GIWW, HIGH ISLAND TO BRAZOS RIVER, TX.....	---	540	---	540
GIWW, MATAGORDA BAY, TX.....	---	200	---	800
GIWW, PORT O'CONNOR TO CORPUS CHRISTI BAY, TX.....	600	---	---	600
GREENS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX.....	---	190	---	377
GUADALUPE AND SAN ANTONIO RIVER BASINS, TX.....	200	---	---	500
LOWER COLORADO RIVER BASIN, TX.....	950	---	---	950
MIDDLE BRAZOS RIVER, TX.....	100	---	---	100
NUECES RIVER & TRIBUTARIES, TX.....	---	---	---	100
NORTH BOSQUE RIVER, TX.....	---	100	---	100
NORTH PADRE ISLAND, CORPUS CHRISTI, TX.....	---	130	---	130
NORTHWEST EL PASO, TX.....	250	---	---	250
RAYMONDVILLE DRAIN, TX.....	---	50	---	750
RESACAS AT BROWNSVILLE, TX.....	100	---	---	325
SABINE - NECHES WATERWAY, TX.....	650	---	---	650
SABINE PASS TO GALVESTON BAY, TX.....	450	---	---	700
SPARKS ARROYO COLONIA, EL PASO COUNTY, TX.....	---	---	---	100
SOUTH MAIN CHANNEL, TX.....	---	380	---	600
SULPHUR RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, TX.....	---	---	---	200
TEXAS CITY CHANNEL, TX.....	---	---	---	250
UPPER TRINITY RIVER BASIN, TX.....	650	---	1,200	---

UTAH

PARK CITY, UT..... 100 --- --- ---  
 PROVO AND VICINITY, UT..... 100 --- --- ---

VIRGINIA

AIWV, BRIDGES AT DEEP CREEK, VA..... 475 --- --- ---  
 CHESAPEAKE BAY SHORELINE, HAMPTON, VA..... 100 --- --- ---  
 ELIZABETH RIVER, HAMPTON ROADS, VA..... 284 --- --- ---  
 FOUR MILE RUN, VA..... 100 --- --- ---  
 GOSHEN DAM, VA..... --- --- --- ---  
 JAMES RIVER CHANNEL, VA..... 295 --- --- ---  
 JOHN H KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA & NC (SECTION 216)..... 400 --- --- ---  
 LYNNHAVEN RIVER, VA..... 100 --- --- ---  
 NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS, CRANEY ISLAND, VA..... 946 --- --- ---  
 POWELL RIVER WATERSHED, VA..... 100 --- --- ---

WASHINGTON

BELLINGHAM BAY, WA..... 300 --- --- ---  
 CENTRALIA, WA..... --- --- --- ---  
 CHEHALIS RIVER BASIN, WA..... 250 --- --- ---  
 COMMENCEMENT BAY, WA..... 100 --- --- ---  
 DUMAMISH AND GREEN RIVER BASIN, WA..... 250 --- --- ---  
 HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA..... 500 --- --- ---  
 LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA..... 1,050 --- --- ---  
 OCEAN SHORES, WA..... 50 --- --- ---  
 PUGET SOUND CONFINED DISPOSAL SITES, WA..... 225 --- --- ---  
 PUGET SOUND NEARSHORE MARINE HABITAT RESTORATION, WA..... 200 --- --- ---  
 SKAGIT RIVER, WA..... 200 --- --- ---  
 SKOKOMISH RIVER BASIN, WA..... 50 --- --- ---  
 STILLAGUAMISH RIVER BASIN, WA..... --- --- --- ---  
 WALLA WALLA WATERSHED, WA..... 1,000 --- --- ---  
 WHITE RIVER BASIN, WA..... 100 --- --- ---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
WEST VIRGINIA				
ERICKSON/HOOD COUNTY PUBLIC PORT, WV.....	---	---	---	600
ISLAND CREEK AT LOGAN, WV.....	---	483	---	983
LITTLE KANAWHA RIVER, WV.....	---	---	100	---
MERCER COUNTY, WV.....	---	100	---	100
NEW RIVER BASIN, WV, NC & VA.....	200	---	200	---
PARKERSBURG/VIENNA, WV.....	---	---	---	300
WEIRTON PORT, WV.....	---	---	---	400
WISCONSIN				
BARABOO RIVER, WI.....	240	---	240	---
WYOMING				
JACKSON HOLE RESTORATION, WY.....	---	175	---	175
MISCELLANEOUS				
COASTAL FIELD DATA COLLECTION.....	2,200	---	3,200	---
ENVIRONMENTAL DATA STUDIES.....	100	---	100	---
FLOOD DAMAGE DATA.....	400	---	400	---
FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT SERVICES.....	8,200	---	9,500	---
GREAT LAKES FISHERY AND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.....	---	---	200	---
GREAT LAKES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM (SEC. 401).....	---	---	2,000	---
HYDROLOGIC STUDIES.....	500	---	500	---
INTERNATIONAL WATER STUDIES.....	500	---	500	---
JOHN GLENN GREAT LAKES BASIN PROGRAM.....	---	---	500	---
NATIONAL SHORELINE.....	300	---	300	---
OTHER COORDINATION PROGRAMS.....	7,200	---	7,200	---



PLANNING ASSISTANCE TO STATES.....	6,500	---	---	---
PRECIPITATION STUDIES (NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE).....	400	---	---	6,900
PROJECT MONITORING.....	100	---	---	400
REMOTE SENSING/GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM SUPPORT..	300	---	---	---
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.....	24,000	---	---	300
SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTERS.....	100	---	---	29,300
STREAM GAGING (U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY).....	700	---	---	100
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS.....	700	---	---	700
TRI-SERVICE CADD/GIS TECHNOLOGY CENTER.....	650	---	---	700
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE.....	-24,050	---	---	650
				-68,119
	96,274	33,726	107,971	46,379
TOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS.....				

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ALABAMA		
MOBILE HARBOR, AL.....	2,300	2,300
WALTER F GEORGE POWERHOUSE AND DAM, AL & GA (MAJOR REH	12,325	11,325
WALTER F GEORGE POWERPLANT, AL & GA (MAJOR REHAB).....	3,000	3,000
ALASKA		
CHIGNIK HARBOR, AK.....	3,300	3,300
NOME HARBOR IMPROVEMENTS, AK.....	2,200	2,200
ST PAUL HARBOR, AK.....	700	700
SEWARD HARBOR, AK.....	---	1,000
WRANGELL HARBOR, AK.....	---	1,000
ARIZONA		
NOGALES WASH & TRIBUTARIES, AZ.....	---	500
RIO SALADO, PHOENIX AND TEMPE REACHES, AZ.....	13,200	20,000
ARKANSAS		
FOURCHE BAYOU BASIN, AR.....	---	180
GREERS FERRY LAKE WATER LINE, AR.....	---	300
MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR.	3,000	3,000
MONTGOMERY POINT LOCK AND DAM, AR.....	18,000	23,000
RED RIVER WATERWAY, INDEX, AR TO DENISON DAM, TX.....	---	3,000
RED RIVER EMERGENCY BANK PROTECTION, AR.....	---	3,000
RED RIVER BELOW DENISON DAM, AR, LA, OK & TX.....	---	2,000
CALIFORNIA		
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA.....	13,000	14,000
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA (FOLSOM DAM MODIFICATIONS	4,500	7,000
CAMBRIA SEAWATER DESALINATION, CA.....	---	100
CITY OF SANTA CLARITA, CA.....	---	1,500
CORTE MADERA CREEK, CA.....	250	250
COYOTE AND BERRYESSA CREEKS, CA.....	600	750
FARMINGTON RECHARGE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM, CA.....	---	600
GUADALUPE RIVER, CA.....	4,000	8,000
HAMILTON AIRFIELD WETLANDS RESTORATION, CA.....	1,000	4,500
HARBOR/SOUTH BAY WATER RECYCLING, CA.....	---	5,000
IMPERIAL BEACH, SILVER STRAND SHORELINE, CA.....	500	929
KAWEAH RIVER, CA.....	3,000	4,500
LOS ANGELES HARBOR MAIN CHANNEL DEEPENING, CA.....	---	2,825
LOWER SACRAMENTO AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA.....	1,431	1,431
LOWER WALNUT CREEK, CA.....	---	250
MARYSVILLE/YUBA CITY LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA.....	4,075	4,075
MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA.....	500	500
MID-VALLEY AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA.....	2,263	2,263
NAPA RIVER, CA.....	5,500	7,000
OAKLAND HARBOR, CA (50 FOOT PROJECT).....	2,000	10,000
PETALUMA RIVER, CA.....	---	7,000
SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION PROJECT, CA.....	2,326	2,326
SACRAMENTO RIVER DEEP WATER SHIP CHANNEL, CA.....	---	300
SACRAMENTO RIVER, GLENN-COLUSA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, CA	2,284	4,000
SAN FRANCISCO BAY TO STOCKTON, CA.....	250	250
SAN LORENZO RIVER, CA.....	3,490	3,490
SAN RAMON VALLEY RECYCLED WATER, CA.....	---	500
SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CA.....	26,800	34,800
SANTA BARBARA HARBOR, CA.....	100	100
SANTA PAULA CREEK, CA.....	1,700	1,700
SOUTH SACRAMENTO COUNTY STREAMS, CA.....	---	2,100
STOCKTON METROPOLITAN FLOOD CONTROL REIMBURSEMENT, CA	1,000	6,000

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
-----		
ALABAMA		
SUCCESS DAM, TULE RIVER, CA (DAM SAFETY).....	1,000	1,000
SURFSIDE - SUNSET - NEWPORT BEACH, CA.....	300	2,500
TULE RIVER, CA.....	---	1,125
UPPER SACRAMENTO AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA.....	1,463	1,463
WEST SACRAMENTO, CA.....	1,368	1,368
DELAWARE		
DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, ROOSEVELT INLET/LEWES BEACH, D	---	500
DELAWARE COAST PROTECTION, DE.....	270	353
DELAWARE COAST, REHOBOTH BEACH TO DEWEY BEACH, DE.....	100	100
FLORIDA		
BREVARD COUNTY, FL.....	200	6,000
BROWARD COUNTY, FL (REIMBURSABLE).....	200	1,000
CANAVERAL HARBOR, FL.....	5,701	5,701
CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL.....	95,278	95,278
DADE COUNTY, FL.....	8,000	12,000
DUVAL COUNTY, FL.....	---	2,000
EVERGLADES AND SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL	19,876	19,876
FLORIDA KEYS WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENTS, FL.....	---	500
FORT PIERCE BEACH, FL.....	---	500
JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL.....	1,457	5,300
JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM POWERHOUSE, FL & GA (MAJOR R	4,300	4,300
KISSIMMEE RIVER, FL.....	25,846	25,846
MANATEE COUNTY, FL.....	---	1,000
MANATEE HARBOR, FL.....	1,000	1,000
MARTIN COUNTY, FL.....	---	2,000
MIAMI HARBOR CHANNEL, FL.....	5,274	5,274
PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL (REIMBURSEMENT).....	200	2,000
PALM VALLEY BRIDGE, FL.....	7,299	7,299
PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL.....	1,215	1,215
PINELLAS COUNTY, FL.....	---	2,000
PORT EVERGLADES, FL.....	---	3,000
ST JOHN'S COUNTY, FL.....	300	2,000
ST LUCIE INLET, FL.....	---	4,000
TAMPA HARBOR, FL.....	500	500
GEORGIA		
BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA.....	4,084	7,000
BUFORD POWERHOUSE, GA (MAJOR REHAB).....	3,000	3,000
HARTWELL LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB).....	4,500	4,500
LOWER SAVANNAH RIVER BASIN, GA & SC.....	1,300	1,300
OATES CREEK, RICHMOND COUNTY, GA (DEF CORR).....	632	632
RICHARD B RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC.....	3,000	3,000
THURMOND LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB).....	6,500	6,500
HAWAII		
HAWAII WATER MANAGEMENT, HI.....	---	200
IAO STREAM FLOOD CONTROL, MAUI, HI (DEF CORR).....	400	400
KAUMALAPAU HARBOR, HI.....	---	1,300
KIKIAOLA SMALL BOAT HARBOR, KAUAI, HI.....	1,275	1,275
MAALAEA HARBOR, MAUI, HI.....	325	325

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ILLINOIS		
CHAIN OF ROCKS CANAL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL (DEF CORR)	3,617	3,617
CHICAGO SHORELINE, IL.....	24,000	25,000
COOK COUNTY, IL.....	---	500
DEL PLAINES RIVER, IL.....	---	500
EAST ST LOUIS, IL.....	1,000	1,000
EAST ST LOUIS INTERIOR FLOOD CONTROL, IL.....	---	688
LOCK AND DAM 24, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL & MO (MAJOR REH	8,038	8,038
LOVES PARK, IL.....	1,600	1,600
MADISON/ST CLAIR COUNTIES, IL.....	---	1,000
MCCOOK AND THORNTON RESERVOIRS, IL.....	10,000	17,000
MELVIN PRICE LOCK AND DAM, IL & MO.....	500	500
OLMSTED LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, IL & KY.....	34,000	40,000
UPPER MISS RVR SYSTEM ENV MGMT PROGRAM, IL, IA, MN, MO	21,000	20,000
INDIANA		
CALUMET REGION, IN.....	---	3,000
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IN.....	---	250
INDIANA HARBOR, IN (CONFINED DISPOSAL FACILITY).....	5,000	5,000
INDIANA SHORELINE EROSION, IN.....	---	1,000
INDIANAPOLIS CENTRAL WATERFRONT, IN.....	---	9,000
INDIANAPOLIS, WHITE RIVER (NORTH), IN.....	3,600	3,600
LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, IN.....	4,000	4,500
MISSISSINAWA LAKE, IN (MAJOR REHAB).....	8,500	9,000
OHIO RIVER GREENWAY PUBLIC ACCESS, IN.....	2,400	2,400
IOWA		
LOCK AND DAM 11, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IA (MAJOR REHAB)..	---	500
LOCK AND DAM 12, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IA (MAJOR REHAB)..	4,906	4,906
MISSOURI RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE MITIGATION, IA, NE, K	11,000	12,609
MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, IA, NE, KS & MO.....	8,500	9,200
PERRY CREEK, IA.....	4,000	4,000
KANSAS		
ARKANSAS CITY, KS.....	3,050	5,100
KENTUCKY		
CARR CREEK LAKE, KY.....	---	1,000
DEWEY LAKE, KY (DAM SAFETY).....	2,900	4,500
KENTUCKY LOCK AND DAM, TENNESSEE RIVER, KY.....	14,400	22,000
LOUISVILLE WATERFRONT, KY.....	---	500
MCALPINE LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, KY & IN.....	13,632	18,632
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, BEARGRASS CREEK, KY.....	2,575	2,575
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, POND CREEK, KY.....	1,400	1,400
POND CREEK, KY.....	---	425
SOUTHERN AND EASTERN KENTUCKY, KY.....	---	4,000
LOUISIANA		
ALOHA - RIGOLETTE, LA.....	---	200
ASCENSION PARISH (ENV. INFRASTRUCTURE), LA.....	---	150
COMITE RIVER, LA.....	500	7,000
EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA.....	---	1,000
EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH (ENV. INFRASTRUCTURE), LA.....	---	150
GRAND ISLE AND VICINITY, LA.....	---	200
INNER HARBOR NAVIGATION CANAL LOCK, LA.....	10,000	13,000
J BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA.....	16,555	20,000
LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN AND VICINITY, LA (HURRICANE PROTECT	7,500	14,250

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION)....	1,500	1,500
LIVINGSTON PARISH (ENV. INFRASTRUCTURE), LA.....	---	200
MISSISSIPPI RIVER SHIP CHANNEL, GULF TO BATON ROUGE, L	575	575
MISSISSIPPI RIVER, GULF OUTLET, LA.....	500	500
NEW ORLEANS TO VENICE, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION).....	2,000	2,000
OUACHITA RIVER LEVEES, AR & LA.....	---	1,500
SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA, LA.....	51,908	60,000
WEST BANK AND VICINITY, NEW ORLEANS, LA.....	12,000	12,500
MARYLAND		
ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MD.....	---	1,000
ASSATEAGUE ISLAND, MD.....	10,000	10,000
ATLANTIC COAST OF MARYLAND, MD.....	2,300	4,271
BALTIMORE HARBOR ANCHORAGES AND CHANNELS, MD & VA.....	8,000	8,000
CHESAPEAKE BAY ENV. RESTORATION AND PROTECTION, MD....	---	950
CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY, MD & VA.....	1,500	3,000
CUMBERLAND, MD.....	---	650
POPLAR ISLAND, MD.....	18,200	18,200
MASSACHUSETTS		
CAPE COD CANAL RAILROAD BRIDGE, MA (MAJOR REHAB).....	12,500	12,500
WEST HILL DAM, MA (MAJOR REHAB).....	9,000	9,000
MICHIGAN		
GENESEE COUNTY DRAIN, GENESEE COUNTY, MI.....	---	250
MEGAUNEE, MI.....	---	150
SAULT STE MARIE (REPLACEMENT LOCK), MI.....	---	3,000
TWELVE TOWNS DRAIN RETENTION TREATMENT FACILITY, MI...	---	250
MINNESOTA		
BRECKENRIDGE, MN.....	---	500
CROOKSTON, MN.....	2,000	2,000
LOCK AND DAM 3, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN (MAJOR REHAB)...	800	800
LOWER ST ANTHONY FALLS, MN.....	---	600
MILLELACS REGIONAL WASTEWATER, MN.....	---	2,000
NORTHEASTERN, MN.....	---	4,000
PINE RIVER DAM, CROSS LAKE, MN (DAM SAFETY).....	630	630
STILLWATER, MN.....	---	2,000
MISSISSIPPI		
DESOTO COUNTY, MS.....	---	5,000
GULFPORT HARBOR, MS.....	100	100
MISSISSIPPI (SEC. 592, P.L. 106-53), MS.....	---	3,400
PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS.....	1,930	1,930
MISSOURI		
BLUE RIVER BASIN, KANSAS CITY, MO.....	675	675
BLUE RIVER CHANNEL, KANSAS CITY, MO.....	8,400	10,400
BOIS BRULE DRAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT, MO.....	---	1,200
CAPE GIRARDEAU, JACKSON, MO.....	1,717	1,717
MERAMEC RIVER BASIN, VALLEY PARK LEVEE, MO.....	1,200	1,200
MISS RIVER BTWN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO	4,000	4,000
ST LOUIS, MO.....	---	4,000
STE GENEVIEVE, MO.....	850	850
TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO & AR (DAM SAFETY).....	5,900	5,900

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
MONTANA		
FORT PECK DAM, MT.....	---	700
FORT PECK FISH HATCHERY, MT.....	---	1,500
RURAL MONTANA, MT.....	---	500
NEBRASKA		
ANTELOPE CREEK, NE.....	---	300
MISSOURI NATIONAL RECREATIONAL RIVER, NE & SD.....	1,800	1,800
WOOD RIVER, GRAND ISLAND, NE.....	4,000	4,000
NEVADA		
RURAL NEVADA, NV.....	---	8,000
TROPICANA AND FLAMINGO WASHES, NV.....	22,000	30,000
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, LEBANON, NH.....	---	2,000
NEW JERSEY		
BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG INLET, NJ (ABSECON ISLAN	100	1,000
CAPE MAY INLET TO LOWER TOWNSHIP, NJ.....	780	2,000
DELAWARE RIVER MAIN CHANNEL, NJ, PA & DE.....	10,000	10,000
GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET AND PECK BEACH, NJ.....	130	250
LOWER CAPE MAY MEADOWS, NJ.....	---	500
NEW YORK HARBOR & ADJACENT CHANNELS, PORT JERSEY CHANN	22,000	---
PASSAIC RIVER PRESERVATION OF NATURAL STORAGE AREAS, N	5,400	5,400
PASSAIC RIVER STREAMBANK RESTORATION, NJ.....	---	2,500
RAMAPO AND MAHWAH RIVERS, MAHWAH, NJ AND SUFFERN, NY..	100	100
RAMAPO RIVER AT OAKLAND, NJ.....	4,949	4,949
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, NJ.....	100	400
RARITAN RIVER BASIN, GREEN BROOK SUB-BASIN, NJ.....	10,000	10,000
SANDY HOOK TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ.....	5,000	5,000
TOWNSENDS INLET TO CAPE MAY INLET, NJ.....	2,000	2,000
NEW MEXICO		
ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEM, NM.....	2,000	2,000
ALAMOGORDO, NM.....	3,500	3,500
CENTRAL NEW MEXICO, NM.....	---	5,000
JICARILLA APACHE TRIBE, NM.....	---	2,500
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE FLOOD PROTECTION, BERNALILLO TO BELE	600	600
RIO GRANDE FLOODWAY, SAN ACACIA TO BOSQUE DEL APACHE,.	300	300
NEW YORK		
ARTHUR KILL CHANNEL, HOWLAND HOOK MARINE TERMINAL, NY.	15,000	---
ATLANTIC COAST OF NYC, ROCKAWAY INLET TO NORTON POINT,	300	900
EAST ROCKAWAY INLET TO ROCKAWAY INLET AND JAMAICA BAY,	1,230	2,284
FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY.....	4,700	7,700
FIRE ISLAND INLET TO MONTAUK POINT, NY.....	2,275	2,275
KILL VAN KULL AND NEWARK BAY CHANNEL, NY & NJ.....	44,000	---
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR, NY & NJ.....	---	88,500
NEW YORK CITY WATERSHED, NY.....	---	3,000
ONONDAGA LAKE, NY.....	---	8,000
NORTH CAROLINA		
BRUNSWICK COUNTY BEACHES, OCEAN ISLE BEACH PORTION, NC	300	800
MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC.....	---	300

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
STANLY COUNTY WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE, NC.....	---	500
WEST ONSLOW BEACH AND NEW RIVER INLET, NC.....	300	700
WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC.....	43,159	47,000
WRIGHTSVILLE BEACH, NC.....	550	1,140
NORTH DAKOTA		
BUFORD - TRENTON IRRIGATION DISTRICT LAND ACQUISITION, GARRISON DAM AND POWER PLANT, ND (MAJOR REHAB).....	3,000	5,000
GRAFTON, PARK RIVER, ND.....	7,000	7,000
GRAND FORKS, ND - EAST GRAND FORKS, MN.....	---	500
HOMME LAKE, ND (DAM SAFETY).....	25,954	31,000
SHEYENNE RIVER, ND.....	2,400	2,400
OHIO	2,000	2,000
OHIO		
LOWER GIRARD LAKE DAM, OH.....	---	1,000
METROPOLITAN REGION OF CINCINNATI, DUCK CREEK, OH....	2,700	2,700
MILL CREEK, OH.....	2,000	3,000
OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, OH.....	---	3,000
OTTAWA RIVER, OH.....	---	300
WEST COLUMBUS, OH.....	7,200	11,000
OKLAHOMA		
SKIATOOK LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY).....	1,800	1,800
TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY).....	3,700	3,700
OREGON		
BONNEVILLE POWERHOUSE PHASE II, OR & WA (MAJOR REHAB). COLUMBIA RIVER TREATY FISHING ACCESS SITES, OR & WA...	10,000	10,000
ELK CREEK LAKE, OR.....	5,000	5,000
LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN BANK PROTECTION, OR & WA...	2,000	2,000
WILLAMETTE RIVER TEMPERATURE CONTROL, OR.....	100	100
8,000	9,000	
PENNSYLVANIA		
3 RIVERS, WET WEATHER DEMO PROGRAM, PA.....	---	400
JOHNSTOWN, PA (MAJOR REHAB).....	3,082	3,082
LOCKS AND DAMS 2, 3 AND 4, MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA.....	34,470	40,470
NANTY GLO, PA.....	---	1,000
NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA, PA.....	---	3,000
PRESQUE ISLE PENINSULA, PA (PERMANENT).....	392	728
SAW MILL RUN, PITTSBURGH, PA.....	4,138	4,138
SCHUYLKILL RIVER PARK, PA.....	---	1,000
SOUTH CENTRAL PENN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM..	---	10,000
WYOMING VALLEY, PA (LEVEE RAISING).....	19,000	19,000
PUERTO RICO		
ARECIBO RIVER, PR.....	500	500
PORTUGUES AND BUCANA RIVERS, PR.....	5,409	5,409
RIO DE LA PLATA, PR.....	500	500
RIO GRANDE DE LOIZA, PR.....	500	500
RIO GRANDE DE MANATI, PR.....	1,500	1,500
RIO PUERTO NUEVO, PR.....	9,000	9,000
SOUTH CAROLINA		
CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC (DEEPENING & WIDENING).....	6,365	8,865
FOLLY BEACH, SC.....	---	200
HARTWELL LK, CLEMSON UPPER & LOWER DIVERSION, SC (DAM S LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE. SC.....	2,500	2,500
---	---	11,648

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
SOUTH DAKOTA		
BIG SIOUX RIVER, SIOUX FALLS, SD.....	6,000	6,000
CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE, LOWER BRULE SIOUX, SD.....	3,000	7,000
MISSOURI RIVER RESTORATION, SD.....	---	750
PIERRE, SD.....	6,000	6,000
TENNESSEE		
BLACK FOX, MURFREE AND OAKLANDS SPRINGS WETLANDS, TN..	---	2,000
TEXAS		
BOSQUE AND LEON RIVERS, TX.....	---	2,500
BRAYS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX.....	4,066	4,066
CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX.....	5,565	5,565
CLEAR CREEK, TX.....	1,200	1,200
DALLAS FLOODWAY EXTENSION, TRINITY RIVER PROJECT, TX..	2,000	10,000
EL PASO, TX.....	3,400	3,400
HOUSTON - GALVESTON NAVIGATION CHANNELS, TX.....	28,785	33,785
JOHNSON CREEK, UPPER TRINITY BASIN, ARLINGTON, TX.....	2,900	5,500
MOUTH OF COLORADO RIVER, TX.....	---	1,000
NECHES RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES SALTWATER BARRIER, TX....	8,068	11,000
NORTH PADRE ISLAND, PACKERY CHANNEL, TX.....	---	1,000
RED RIVER BASIN CHLORIDE CONTROL, TX & OK.....	---	2,100
RED RIVER BELOW DENISON DAM, TX,AR,LA.....	---	2,500
SAN ANTONIO CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, TX.....	866	1,000
SIMS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX.....	9,000	9,000
WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX.....	---	2,617
UTAH		
UPPER JORDAN RIVER, UT.....	500	500
VERMONT		
VERMONT DAMS REMEDIATION, VT.....	---	200
VIRGINIA		
AIWW BRIDGE AT GREAT BRIDGE, VA.....	7,000	7,000
EMBREY DAM, VA.....	---	2,000
JOHN H KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA & NC (MAJOR REHAB)..	4,800	4,800
LYNCHBURG COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW, VA.....	---	1,000
NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS (DEEPENING), VA.....	486	486
RICHMOND COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW, VA.....	---	1,000
ROANOKE RIVER UPPER BASIN, HEADWATERS AREA, VA.....	3,000	3,000
SANDBRIDGE BEACH, VA.....	3,380	3,380
VIRGINIA BEACH, VA (HURRICANE PROTECTION).....	9,000	9,000
WASHINGTON		
COLUMBIA RIVER FISH MITIGATION, WA, OR & ID.....	81,000	81,000
GRAYS HARBOR, WA.....	325	325
HOWARD HANSEN DAM, WA.....	---	3,000
LOWER SNAKE RIVER FISH & WILDLIFE COMPENSATION, WA, OR	2,555	2,555
MT ST HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL, WA.....	545	545
MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA (DAM SAFETY).....	3,300	3,800
SHOALWATER BAY SHORELINE EROSION, WA.....	---	500
THE DALLES POWERHOUSE (UNITS 1-14), WA & OR (MAJOR REH	7,000	7,000



## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
WEST VIRGINIA		
BLUESTONE LAKE, WV (DAM SAFETY).....	8,000	12,000
CENTRAL WEST VIRGINIA, WV.....	---	3,000
GREENBRIER RIVER BASIN, WV.....	---	1,200
LEVISA AND TUG FORKS AND UPPER CUMBERLAND RIVER, WV, V	16,700	41,100
LONDON LOCKS AND DAM, KANAWHA RIVER, WV (MAJOR REHAB).	4,300	8,700
LOWER MUD RIVER, WV.....	---	750
MARMET LOCK, KANAWHA RIVER, WV.....	6,200	27,100
ROBERT C BYRD LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, WV & OH.....	1,300	3,000
SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA, WV.....	---	3,000
TYGART LAKE, WV (DAM SAFETY).....	1,461	1,461
WEST VIRGINIA AND PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD CONTROL, WV & PA.	---	2,300
WINFIELD LOCKS AND DAM, KANAWHA RIVER, WV.....	600	2,700
WISCONSIN		
LAFARGE LAKE, WI.....	5,150	6,150
MISCELLANEOUS		
AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION (SECTION 206).....	15,000	20,000
AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL PROGRAM.....	3,000	4,000
BENEFICIAL USES OF DREDGED MATERIAL (SECTION 204)....	1,500	1,500
DAM SAFETY AND SEEPAGE/STABILITY CORRECTION PROGRAM...	5,000	9,000
DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL FACILITIES PROGRAM.....	9,000	5,000
EMERGENCY STREAMBANK & SHORELINE PROTECTION (SEC. 14).	7,000	9,000
EMPLOYEES' COMPENSATION.....	20,000	20,000
FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS (SECTION 205).....	30,000	40,000
INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - BOARD EXPENSE.....	45	45
INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - CORPS EXPENSE.....	185	185
NAVIGATION MITIGATION PROJECT (SECTION 111).....	500	1,470
NAVIGATION PROJECTS (SECTION 107).....	7,000	15,000
PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE ENVIRONME	21,000	20,400
SHORELINE PROTECTION PROJECTS (SECTION 103).....	5,000	5,000
SNAGGING AND CLEARING PROJECT (SECTION 208).....	1,000	1,000
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE.....	-156,580	-263,684
=====		
TOTAL, CONSTRUCTION GENERAL.....	1,324,000	1,715,951
=====		

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS		
SURVEYS:		
GENERAL STUDIES:		
ALEXANDRIA, LA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO.....	500	500
BAYOU METO BASIN.....	---	1,500
DONALDSONVILLE TO THE GULF, LA.....	700	1,100
SPRING BAYOU, LA.....	500	500
COLDWATER RIVER BASIN BELOW ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS....	200	200
OLIVE BRANCH, MS.....	300	100
MEMPHIS METRO AREA, TN & MS.....	394	394
MORGANZA, LA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO.....	4,000	5,250
SOUTHEAST ARKANSAS, AR.....	---	400
WOLF RIVER, MEMPHIS, TN.....	205	205
TENSAS RIVER BASIN, LA.....	---	100
COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA.....	615	615
SUBTOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS.....	7,414	10,864
CONSTRUCTION		
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.....	43,405	45,000
FRANCIS BLAND FLOODWAY DITCH (EIGHT MILE CREEK), AR...	915	915
GRAND PRAIRIE REGION, AR.....	---	12,000
HELENA AND VICINITY, AR.....	1,675	1,675
MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.	43,457	50,057
ST FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO.....	3,230	4,230
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA.....	7,160	7,600
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA.....	23,400	25,400
LOUISIANA STATE PENITENTIARY LEVEE, LA.....	3,022	3,022
MISSISSIPPI AND LOUISIANA ESTUARINE AREAS, LA & MS....	25	25
MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA.....	1,600	2,900
TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA.....	2,628	3,653
HORN LAKE CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, MS.....	---	300
YAZOO BASIN:	(8,550)	(35,249)
BACKWATER PUMP, MS.....	500	4,000
BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS.....	1,000	1,250
DEMONSTRATION EROSION CONTROL, MS.....	---	19,000
MAIN STEM, MS.....	25	25
REFORMULATION UNIT, MS.....	25	25
TRIBUTARIES, MS.....	200	200
UPPER YAZOO PROJECTS, MS.....	6,800	10,749
ST JOHNS BAYOU AND NEW MADRID FLOODWAY, MO.....	150	1,000
NONCONNAH CREEK, FLOOD CONTROL FEATURE, TN & MS.....	1,300	1,615
WEST TENNESSEE TRIBUTARIES, TN.....	25	25
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION.....	140,542	194,666
MAINTENANCE		
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.....	61,462	61,462
HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR.....	434	434
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR.....	480	480
LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, NORTH BANK, AR.....	419	419
LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, SOUTH BANK, AR.....	10	10
MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.	7,650	8,250
ST FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO.....	6,678	12,000
TENSAS BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA.....	2,000	3,250
WHITE RIVER BACKWATER, AR.....	1,102	1,102
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL.....	43	43
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY.....	29	29
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA.....	2,065	2,065
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA.....	10,661	11,500

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
-----		
GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS		
BATON ROUGE HARBOR, DEVIL SWAMP, LA.....	216	216
BAYOU COCODRIE AND TRIBUTARIES, LA.....	56	56
BONNET CARRE, LA.....	1,854	2,814
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA.....	422	422
LOWER RED RIVER, SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA.....	6,239	6,239
MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA.....	916	916
OLD RIVER, LA.....	6,116	6,116
TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA.....	2,500	2,500
GREENVILLE HARBOR, MS.....	645	645
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS.....	249	249
VICKSBURG HARBOR, MS.....	494	494
YAZOO BASIN:	(21,260)	(31,807)
ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS.....	4,500	7,000
BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS.....	1,000	3,700
ENID LAKE, MS.....	3,500	5,000
GREENWOOD, MS.....	250	250
GRENADA LAKE, MS.....	4,500	5,500
MAIN STEM, MS.....	275	275
SARDIS LAKE, MS.....	6,500	8,500
TRIBUTARIES, MS.....	350	1,197
WILL M WHITTINGTON AUXILIARY CHANNEL, MS.....	55	55
YAZOO BACKWATER AREA, MS.....	180	180
YAZOO CITY, MS.....	150	150
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO.....	143	143
WAPPAPELLO LAKE, MO.....	8,000	8,500
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN.....	86	86
MEMPHIS HARBOR, MCKELLAR LAKE, TN.....	1,118	1,118
MAPPING.....	1,097	1,097
	-----	-----
SUBTOTAL, MAINTENANCE.....	144,444	164,462
	=====	=====
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE.....	-12,400	-24,000
	=====	=====
TOTAL, FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES.....	280,000	345,992
	=====	=====

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ALABAMA		
ALABAMA - COOSA COMPREHENSIVE WATER STUDY, AL.....	219	219
ALABAMA - COOSA RIVER, AL.....	1,555	6,180
BAYOU CODEN, AL.....	---	500
BAYOU LA BATRE, AL.....	50	200
BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, AL.....	21,100	23,700
BON SECOUR RIVER, AL.....	20	20
DAUPHIN ISLAND BAY, AL.....	250	600
DOG AND FOWL RIVERS, AL.....	450	450
FLY CREEK, AL.....	200	200
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, AL.....	5,000	5,000
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AL.....	100	100
MILLERS FERRY LOCK AND DAM, WILLIAM "BILL" DANNELLY LA	4,900	7,200
MOBILE AREA DIGITAL MAPPING, AL.....	---	3,000
MOBILE HARBOR, AL.....	18,900	29,600
PERDIDO PASS CHANNEL, AL.....	1,000	1,000
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AL.....	350	350
ROBERT F HENRY LOCK AND DAM, AL.....	5,000	5,600
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AL.....	80	80
TENNESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL & MS.....	23,800	24,300
TENNESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WILDLIFE MITIGATION, AL.....	---	2,000
WALTER F GEORGE LOCK AND DAM, AL & GA.....	6,565	6,565
ALASKA		
ANCHORAGE HARBOR, AK.....	1,788	2,788
BETHEL HARBOR, AK.....	416	416
CHENA RIVER LAKES, AK.....	1,659	1,659
COOK INLET SHOALS, AK.....	2,200	2,200
DILLINGHAM HARBOR, AK.....	384	384
HOMER HARBOR, AK.....	181	181
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AK.....	35	35
KETCHIKAN HARBOR, BAR POINT, AK.....	160	160
KODIAK HARBOR, AK.....	---	750
NAKNEK RIVER, AK.....	---	1,000
NINILCHIK HARBOR, AK.....	173	173
NOME HARBOR, AK.....	1,458	1,458
PELICAN HARBOR, AK.....	---	600
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AK.....	527	527
ARIZONA		
ALAMO LAKE, AZ.....	1,306	1,306
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AZ.....	86	86
PAINTED ROCK DAM, AZ.....	1,310	1,310
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AZ.....	32	32
WHITLOW RANCH DAM, AZ.....	184	184
ARKANSAS		
BEAVER LAKE, AR.....	4,343	4,343
BLAKELY MT DAM, LAKE OUACHITA, AR.....	4,734	4,734
BLUE MOUNTAIN LAKE, AR.....	1,148	1,148
BULL SHOALS LAKE, AR.....	4,402	4,402
DARDANELLE LOCK AND DAM, AR.....	5,337	5,337
DEGRAY LAKE, AR.....	4,235	4,235
DEQUEEN LAKE, AR.....	947	947
DIERKS LAKE, AR.....	946	946
GILLHAM LAKE, AR.....	841	841
GREERS FERRY LAKE, AR.....	4,873	4,873
HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR.....	---	340
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR.....	308	308

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR.	22,338	22,338
MILLWOOD LAKE, AR.....	1,559	1,559
NARROWS DAM, LAKE GREESON, AR.....	3,308	4,308
NIMROD LAKE, AR.....	1,319	1,319
NORFORK LAKE, AR.....	3,255	3,255
OSCEOLA HARBOR, AR.....	---	610
OUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, AR & LA.....	7,127	7,127
OZARK - JETA TAYLOR LOCK AND DAM, AR.....	3,912	3,912
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AR.....	10	10
WHITE RIVER, AR.....	195	2,195
YELLOW BEND PORT, AR.....	---	150
CALIFORNIA		
BLACK BUTTE LAKE, CA.....	1,952	1,952
BODEGA BAY, CA.....	---	1,800
BUCHANAN DAM, H V EASTMAN LAKE, CA.....	1,725	1,725
CHANNEL ISLANDS HARBOR, CA.....	40	40
COYOTE VALLEY DAM, LAKE MENDOCINO, CA.....	3,537	3,537
DRY CREEK (WARM SPRINGS) LAKE AND CHANNEL, CA.....	4,604	4,604
FARMINGTON DAM, CA.....	299	299
HIDDEN DAM, HENSLEY LAKE, CA.....	1,687	1,687
HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CA.....	3,516	3,516
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CA.....	1,171	1,171
ISABELLA LAKE, CA.....	836	1,476
LOS ANGELES - LONG BEACH HARBOR MODEL, CA.....	170	170
LOS ANGELES - LONG BEACH HARBORS, CA.....	200	3,200
LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CA.....	4,691	6,691
MARINA DEL REY, CA.....	40	40
MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA.....	314	314
MOJAVE RIVER DAM, CA.....	273	273
MORRO BAY HARBOR, CA.....	3,860	3,860
MOSS LANDING HARBOR, CA.....	---	2,500
NEW HOGAN LAKE, CA.....	1,922	1,922
NEW MELONES LAKE, DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL, CA.....	1,573	1,573
NEWPORT BAY HARBOR, CA.....	40	40
OAKLAND HARBOR, CA.....	10,127	10,127
OCEANSIDE HARBOR, CA.....	1,270	1,270
PETALUMA RIVER, CA.....	---	2,500
PILLAR POINT HARBOR, CA.....	---	200
PINE FLAT LAKE, CA.....	2,443	2,443
PORT HUENEME, CA.....	40	40
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, CA.....	1,224	1,224
REDWOOD CITY HARBOR, CA.....	---	2,000
RICHMOND HARBOR, CA.....	4,389	4,389
SACRAMENTO RIVER (30 FOOT PROJECT), CA.....	1,964	1,964
SACRAMENTO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES (DEBRIS CONTROL), CA.....	1,766	1,766
SACRAMENTO RIVER SHALLOW DRAFT CHANNEL, CA.....	132	132
SAN DIEGO HARBOR, CA.....	140	140
SAN DIEGO RIVER AND MISSION BAY, CA.....	40	40
SAN FRANCISCO BAY, DELTA MODEL STRUCTURE, CA.....	1,700	1,700
SAN FRANCISCO BAY LONG TERM MANAGEMENT STRATEGY, CA.....	---	200
SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR AND BAY (DRIFT REMOVAL), CA.....	2,366	2,500
SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR, CA.....	2,501	2,700
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, CA.....	998	3,800
SAN RAFAEL CREEK, CA.....	---	1,800
SANTA ANA RIVER BASIN, CA.....	3,537	3,537
SANTA BARBARA HARBOR, CA.....	2,020	2,020
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CA.....	1,504	1,504
SUCCESS LAKE, CA.....	1,969	1,969
SUISUN BAY CHANNEL, CA.....	1,635	1,635

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
TERMINUS DAM, LAKE KAWEAH, CA.....	1,747	1,747
VENTURA HARBOR, CA.....	2,980	4,145
YUBA RIVER, CA.....	102	102
COLORADO		
BEAR CREEK LAKE, CO.....	420	420
CHATFIELD LAKE, CO.....	797	1,097
CHERRY CREEK LAKE, CO.....	525	825
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CO.....	70	70
JOHN MARTIN RESERVOIR, CO.....	3,454	3,454
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CO.....	249	249
TRINIDAD LAKE, CO.....	733	1,033
CONNECTICUT		
BLACK ROCK LAKE, CT.....	490	490
COLEBROOK RIVER LAKE, CT.....	454	454
HANCOCK BROOK LAKE, CT.....	221	221
HOP BROOK LAKE, CT.....	979	979
MANSFIELD HOLLOW LAKE, CT.....	424	424
NORTHFIELD BROOK LAKE, CT.....	294	294
STAMFORD HURRICANE BARRIER, CT.....	485	485
THOMASTON DAM, CT.....	516	516
WEST THOMPSON LAKE, CT.....	711	711
DELAWARE		
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, DELAWARE R TO CHESAPEAKE BAY, D	12,223	13,500
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, REHOBOTH BAY TO DELAWARE BAY, D	888	888
MISPELLION RIVER, DE.....	140	140
MURDERKILL RIVER, DE.....	140	140
WILMINGTON HARBOR, DE.....	2,985	2,985
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
POTOMAC AND ANACOSTIA RIVERS (DRIFT REMOVAL), DC.....	928	928
WASHINGTON HARBOR, DC.....	48	48
FLORIDA		
APALACHICOLA BAY, FL.....	300	300
CANAVERAL HARBOR, FL.....	3,966	6,896
CARRABELLE BAY HARBOR, FL.....	---	150
CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL.....	11,591	11,591
CLEARWATER PASS, FL.....	---	1,000
EAST PASS CHANNEL, FL.....	700	700
FERNANDINA HARBOR, FL.....	3,037	3,037
FORT PIERCE HARBOR, FL.....	49	2,000
HORSESHOE COVE, FL.....	520	520
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, FL.....	100	100
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, JACKSONVILLE TO MIAMI, FL.....	2,173	2,173
JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL.....	4,040	4,040
JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM, LAKE SEMINOLE, FL, AL & GA.	5,719	5,719
MANATEE HARBOR, FL.....	20	20
MIAMI HARBOR, FL.....	3,700	3,700
MIAMI RIVER, FL.....	---	4,000
NAPLES TO BIG MARCO PASS, FL.....	---	1,000
NEW PASS CHANNEL, FL.....	---	1,800
OKEECHOBEE WATERWAY, FL.....	2,520	2,520

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
PALM BEACH HARBOR, FL.....	3,253	3,253
PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL.....	1,000	1,000
PENSACOLA HARBOR, FL.....	500	500
PONCE DE LEON INLET, FL.....	2,032	2,032
PORT ST JOE HARBOR, FL.....	500	500
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, FL.....	600	600
REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, FL.....	3,634	3,634
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, FL.....	50	50
SUNANEE RIVER, FL.....	---	2,000
TAMPA HARBOR, FL.....	4,163	4,163
WITHLACOOCHIE RIVER, FL.....	34	34
GEORGIA		
ALLATOONA LAKE, GA.....	5,427	6,333
APALACHICOLA, CHATTAHOOCHEE AND FLINT RIVERS, GA, AL & ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, GA.....	1,237	12,900
BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA.....	2,172	2,172
BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA.....	3,902	3,902
BUFORD DAM AND LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, GA.....	7,525	7,525
CARTERS DAM AND LAKE, GA.....	7,600	8,800
HARTWELL LAKE, GA & SC.....	11,876	11,876
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, GA.....	41	41
J STROM THURMOND LAKE, GA & SC.....	10,325	10,325
RICHARD B RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC.....	6,564	6,564
SAVANNAH HARBOR, GA.....	12,911	14,000
SAVANNAH RIVER BELOW AUGUSTA, GA.....	215	215
WEST POINT DAM AND LAKE, GA & AL.....	4,865	4,865
HAWAII		
BARBERS POINT HARBOR, HI.....	344	344
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, HI.....	122	122
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, HI.....	508	508
IDAHO		
ALBENI FALLS DAM, ID.....	1,475	1,475
DWORSHAK DAM AND RESERVOIR, ID.....	4,002	4,002
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ID.....	75	75
LUCKY PEAK LAKE, ID.....	1,526	1,526
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, ID.....	342	342
ILLINOIS		
CALUMET HARBOR AND RIVER, IL & IN.....	3,709	3,709
CARLYLE LAKE, IL.....	4,962	4,962
CHICAGO HARBOR, IL.....	2,662	2,662
CHICAGO RIVER, IL.....	362	362
FARM CREEK RESERVOIRS, IL.....	170	170
ILLINOIS WATERWAY (MVR PORTION), IL & IN.....	21,881	21,881
ILLINOIS WATERWAY (MVS PORTION), IL & IN.....	1,610	1,610
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL.....	758	758
KASKASKIA RIVER NAVIGATION, IL.....	1,159	1,650
LAKE MICHIGAN DIVERSION, IL.....	1,037	1,037
LAKE SHELBYVILLE, IL.....	6,071	6,071
MISS RIVER BTWN MO RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVR PORTION)	42,431	42,431
MISS RIVER BTWN MO RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVS PORTION)	13,897	13,897
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IL.....	43	43
REND LAKE, IL.....	4,760	4,760
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IL.....	97	97
WAUKEGAN HARBOR, IL.....	770	770

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
BROOKVILLE LAKE, IN.....	792	792
BURNS WATERWAY HARBOR, IN.....	3,977	3,977
CAGLES MILL LAKE, IN.....	674	674
CECIL M HARDEN LAKE, IN.....	829	829
INDIANA HARBOR, IN.....	64	64
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IN.....	102	102
J EDWARD ROUSH LAKE, IN.....	690	690
MICHIGAN CITY HARBOR, IN.....	1,495	1,495
MISSISSINAWA LAKE, IN.....	803	803
MONROE LAKE, IN.....	819	819
PATOKA LAKE, IN.....	757	757
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IN.....	42	42
SALAMONIE LAKE, IN.....	710	710
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IN.....	90	90
IOWA		
CORALVILLE LAKE, IA.....	2,735	2,735
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IA.....	812	812
MISSOURI RIVER - KENSLERS BEND, NE TO SIOUX CITY, IA..	148	148
MISSOURI RIVER - RULO TO MOUTH, IA, NE, KS & MO.....	3,270	6,880
MISSOURI RIVER - SIOUX CITY TO RULO, IA & NE.....	2,263	2,263
RATHBUN LAKE, IA.....	2,195	2,195
RED ROCK DAM AND LAKE RED ROCK, IA.....	3,356	5,182
SAYLORVILLE LAKE, IA.....	3,887	3,887
KANSAS		
CLINTON LAKE, KS.....	2,201	2,201
COUNCIL GROVE LAKE, KS.....	1,116	1,116
EL DORADO LAKE, KS.....	478	478
ELK CITY LAKE, KS.....	526	526
FALL RIVER LAKE, KS.....	973	973
HILLSDALE LAKE, KS.....	1,014	1,014
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KS.....	45	45
JOHN REDMOND DAM AND RESERVOIR, KS.....	1,100	1,100
KANOPOLIS LAKE, KS.....	1,507	1,507
MARION LAKE, KS.....	1,422	1,422
MELVERN LAKE, KS.....	2,006	2,006
MILFORD LAKE, KS.....	1,997	1,997
PEARSON - SKUBITZ BIG HILL LAKE, KS.....	898	898
PERRY LAKE, KS.....	2,055	3,000
POMONA LAKE, KS.....	2,130	2,130
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, KS.....	185	185
TORONTO LAKE, KS.....	456	456
TUTTLE CREEK LAKE, KS.....	2,004	2,004
WILSON LAKE, KS.....	2,069	2,069
KENTUCKY		
BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY & TN.....	6,896	6,896
BARREN RIVER LAKE, KY.....	1,900	1,900
BIG SANDY HARBOR, KY.....	1,099	1,099
BUCKHORN LAKE, KY.....	1,440	1,560
CARR CREEK LAKE, KY.....	1,656	1,776
CAVE RUN LAKE, KY.....	834	834
DEWEY LAKE, KY.....	1,371	1,371
ELVIS STAHR (HICKMAN) HARBOR.....	---	460
FISHTRAP LAKE, KY.....	2,095	2,095
GRAYSON LAKE, KY.....	1,332	1,332
GREEN AND BARREN RIVERS, KY.....	1,079	1,079



## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
GREEN RIVER LAKE, KY.....	2,107	2,107
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY.....	87	87
KENTUCKY RIVER, KY.....	913	913
LAUREL RIVER LAKE, KY.....	1,311	1,311
MARTINS FORK LAKE, KY.....	617	617
MIDDLESBORO CUMBERLAND RIVER BASIN, KY.....	106	106
NOLIN LAKE, KY.....	1,808	1,808
OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, KY, IL, IN & OH.....	28,572	28,572
OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, KY, IL, IN & OH.....	5,180	5,180
PAINTSVILLE LAKE, KY.....	1,178	1,178
ROUGH RIVER LAKE, KY.....	2,069	2,069
TAYLORSVILLE LAKE, KY.....	993	993
WOLF CREEK DAM, LAKE CUMBERLAND, KY.....	5,407	5,407
YATESVILLE LAKE, KY.....	1,136	1,136
LOUISIANA		
ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF AND BLACK, L BARATARIA BAY WATERWAY, LA.....	13,181	13,181
BAYOU BODCAU RESERVOIR, LA.....	---	2,000
BAYOU LAFOURCHE AND LAFOURCHE JUMP WATERWAY, LA.....	652	652
BAYOU PIERRE, LA.....	730	730
BAYOU SEGNETTE WATERWAY, LA.....	28	28
BAYOU TECHE, LA.....	---	740
CADDO LAKE, LA.....	---	2,000
CALCASTIEU RIVER AND PASS, LA.....	92	92
FRESHWATER BAYOU, LA.....	12,773	12,773
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, LA.....	1,595	3,595
HOUMA NAVIGATION CANAL, LA.....	18,195	19,500
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA.....	3,343	3,343
J BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA.....	549	549
LAKE PROVIDENCE HARBOR, LA.....	8,477	11,477
MADISON PARISH PORT, LA.....	---	592
MERMENTAU RIVER, LA.....	---	120
MISSISSIPPI RIVER OUTLETS AT VENICE, LA.....	933	1,258
MISSISSIPPI RIVER, BATON ROUGE TO THE GULF OF MEXICO,,	1,937	1,937
MISSISSIPPI RIVER, GULF OUTLET, LA.....	55,831	55,831
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, LA.....	13,111	15,111
REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, LA.....	80	80
WALLACE LAKE, LA.....	2,000	2,000
WATERWAY FROM IWW TO BAYOU DULAC, LA.....	154	154
	---	500
MAINE		
PENOBSCOT RIVER, ME.....	---	275
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, ME.....	1,130	1,130
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ME.....	17	17
UNION RIVER, ME.....	230	230
MARYLAND		
BALTIMORE HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), MD.....	464	464
BALTIMORE HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS),	650	650
BALTIMORE HARBOR AND CHANNELS (50 FOOT), MD.....	22,568	22,568
CUMBERLAND, MD AND RIDGELEY, WV.....	157	157
HERRING BAY AND ROCKHOLD CREEK, MD.....	---	500
HONGA RIVER AND TAR BAY - BACK CREEK, MD.....	---	300
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MD.....	330	330
JENNINGS RANDOLPH LAKE, MD & WV.....	2,074	3,074
NANTICOKE HARBOR, MD.....	---	700
NANTICOKE RIVER NORTHWEST FORK, MD.....	865	865
NEALE SOUND, CHARLES COUNTY, MD.....	---	677
OCEAN CITY HARBOR AND INLET AND SINEPUXENT BAY, MD....	2,798	2,798

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MD.....	459	459
RHODES POINT TO TYLERTON, MD.....	736	736
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MD.....	142	142
TOLCHESTER CHANNEL, MD.....	1,901	1,901
TWITCH COVE AND BIG THOROFARE RIVER, MD.....	742	742
WICOMICO RIVER, MD.....	450	450
MASSACHUSETTS		
ANDREWS RIVER, MA.....	---	130
AUNT LYDIA'S COVE, MA.....	---	300
BARRE FALLS DAM, MA.....	489	489
BIRCH HILL DAM, MA.....	511	511
BUFFUMVILLE LAKE, MA.....	427	427
CAPE COD CANAL, MA.....	10,150	10,150
CHARLES RIVER NATURAL VALLEY STORAGE AREA, MA.....	294	294
CONANT BROOK LAKE, MA.....	234	234
EAST BRIMFIELD LAKE, MA.....	325	325
GREEN HARBOR, MA.....	378	378
HODGES VILLAGE DAM, MA.....	416	416
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MA.....	125	125
KNIGHTVILLE DAM, MA.....	648	648
LITTLEVILLE LAKE, MA.....	476	476
NEW BEDFORD FAIRHAVEN AND ACUSHNET HURRICANE BARRIER, .	358	358
PLYMOUTH HARBOR, MA.....	3,356	3,356
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MA.....	3,536	3,536
SCITUATE HARBOR, MA.....	---	1,500
TULLY LAKE, MA.....	665	665
WEST HILL DAM, MA.....	607	607
WESTVILLE LAKE, MA.....	397	397
MICHIGAN		
CHANNELS IN LAKE ST CLAIR, MI.....	118	118
CHARLEVOIX HARBOR, MI.....	122	122
DETROIT RIVER, MI.....	3,692	3,692
FRANKFORT HARBOR, MI.....	47	47
GRAND HAVEN HARBOR, MI.....	2,239	2,239
GRAND MARAIS HARBOR, MI.....	---	200
GRAND TRAVERSE BAY HARBOR, MI.....	10	10
HOLLAND HARBOR, MI.....	554	554
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MI.....	205	205
KEWEENAW WATERWAY, MI.....	804	804
LELAND HARBOR, MI.....	191	191
LUDINGTON HARBOR, MI.....	103	103
MANISTEE HARBOR, MI.....	42	42
MARQUETTE HARBOR, MI.....	239	239
MENOMINEE HARBOR, MI & WI.....	104	104
MONROE HARBOR, MI.....	52	52
MUSKEGON HARBOR, MI.....	451	451
ONTONAGON HARBOR, MI.....	1,544	1,544
PENTWATER HARBOR, MI.....	185	185
PORTAGE LAKE HARBOR, MI.....	2,518	2,518
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MI.....	275	275
ROUGE RIVER, MI.....	87	87
SAGINAW RIVER, MI.....	1,587	1,587
SAUGATUCK HARBOR, MI.....	1,231	1,231
SEBEWAING RIVER (ICE JAM REMOVAL), MI.....	10	10
SOUTH HAVEN HARBOR, MI.....	1,563	1,563
ST CLAIR RIVER, MI.....	759	759
ST JOSEPH HARBOR, MI.....	638	638
ST MARYS RIVER, MI.....	17,418	18,418
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MI.....	3,295	3,295

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
MINNESOTA		
BIGSTONE LAKE WHETSTONE RIVER, MN & SD.....	217	217
DULUTH - SUPERIOR HARBOR, MN & WI.....	2,692	2,692
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MN.....	101	101
LAC QUI PARLE LAKES, MINNESOTA RIVER, MN.....	573	573
MINNESOTA RIVER, MN.....	130	130
MISS RIVER BTWN MO RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVP PORTION)	45,329	45,329
ORWELL LAKE, MN.....	337	337
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MN.....	7	7
RED LAKE RESERVOIR, MN.....	146	146
RESERVOIRS AT HEADWATERS OF MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN.....	3,552	3,552
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MN.....	94	94
MISSISSIPPI		
BILOXI HARBOR, MS.....	30	30
CLAIRBORNE COUNTY PORT, MS.....	---	122
EAST FORK, TOMBIGBEE RIVER, MS.....	170	170
GULFPORT HARBOR, MS.....	2,100	2,100
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS.....	126	126
MOUTH OF YAZOO RIVER, MS.....	---	120
OKATIBBEE LAKE, MS.....	1,584	1,584
PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS.....	4,200	4,200
PEARL RIVER, MS & LA.....	250	250
ROSEDALE HARBOR, MS.....	---	661
YAZOO RIVER, MS.....	---	115
MISSOURI		
CARUTHERSVILLE HARBOR, MO.....	---	240
CLARENCE CANNON DAM AND MARK TWAIN LAKE, MO.....	6,196	6,196
CLEARWATER LAKE, MO.....	2,184	3,819
HARRY S TRUMAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, MO.....	8,215	8,215
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO.....	142	142
LITTLE BLUE RIVER LAKES, MO.....	800	800
LONG BRANCH LAKE, MO.....	876	876
MISS RIVER BTWN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO	13,068	13,068
NEW MADRID HARBOR, MO.....	---	290
POMME DE TERRE LAKE, MO.....	2,204	2,204
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MO.....	10	10
SMITHVILLE LAKE, MO.....	1,128	1,128
SOUTHEAST MISSOURI PORT, MISSISSIPPI RIVER.....	---	400
STOCKTON LAKE, MO.....	4,065	4,065
TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO.....	6,826	8,826
UNION LAKE, MO.....	10	10
MONTANA		
FT PECK DAM AND LAKE, MT.....	4,342	4,342
LIBBY DAM, LAKE KOOCANUSA, MT.....	1,791	1,791
NEBRASKA		
GAVINS POINT DAM, LEWIS AND CLARK LAKE, NE & SD.....	6,495	6,745
HARLAN COUNTY LAKE, NE.....	2,019	2,019
MISSOURI NATIONAL RECREATIONAL RIVER, NE.....	---	275
MISSOURI R MASTER WTR CONTROL MANUAL, NE, IA, KS, MO,..	500	500
MISSOURI RIVER BASIN COLLABORATIVE WATER PLANNING (NWK)	80	80
MISSOURI RIVER BASIN COLLABORATIVE WATER PLANNING (NWO)	125	125
PAPILLION CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES LAKES, NE.....	611	611
SALT CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, NE.....	847	847
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NE.....	329	329

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
NEVADA		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NV.....	43	43
MARTIS CREEK LAKE, NV & CA.....	576	576
PINE AND MATHEWS CANYONS LAKES, NV.....	210	210
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
BLACKWATER DAM, NH.....	607	607
COCHECO RIVER.....	---	300
EDWARD MACDOWELL LAKE, NH.....	460	460
FRANKLIN FALLS DAM, NH.....	1,104	1,104
HOPKINTON - EVERETT LAKES, NH.....	1,412	1,412
OTTER BROOK LAKE, NH.....	781	781
PORTSMOUTH HARBOR AND PISCATAQUA RIVER, NH.....	287	287
SAGAMORE CREEK CHANNEL, NH.....	---	400
SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE, NH.....	749	749
NEW JERSEY		
BARNEGAT INLET, NJ.....	1,400	3,200
COLD SPRING INLET, NJ.....	410	410
DELAWARE RIVER AT CAMDEN, NJ.....	19	19
DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA, NJ, PA & DE..	17,105	19,105
DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA, PA TO TRENTON, NJ.....	3,465	3,465
NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NJ.....	2,800	2,800
NEWARK BAY, HACKENSACK AND PASSAIC RIVERS, NJ.....	2,900	2,900
PASSAIC RIVER FLOOD WARNING SYSTEMS, NJ.....	425	425
SHARK RIVER, NJ.....	100	100
SHREWSBURY RIVER, MAIN CHANNEL, NJ.....	---	130
NEW MEXICO		
ABIQUIU DAM, NM.....	1,556	3,056
COCHITI LAKE, NM.....	2,209	2,209
CONCHAS LAKE, NM.....	1,932	1,932
GALISTEO DAM, NM.....	368	468
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM.....	80	80
JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM.....	541	541
SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM.....	1,049	1,229
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM.....	130	130
TWO RIVERS DAM, NM.....	328	328
UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL.....	---	1,500
NEW YORK		
ALMOND LAKE, NY.....	463	463
ARKPORT DAM, NY.....	252	252
BLACK ROCK CHANNEL AND TONAWANDA HARBOR, NY.....	2,795	2,795
BUFFALO HARBOR, NY.....	515	515
DUNKIRK HARBOR, NY.....	280	280
EAST RIVER, NY.....	600	600
EAST ROCKAWAY INLET, NY.....	250	250
EAST SIDNEY LAKE, NY.....	513	513
FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY.....	2,300	2,300
FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NY.....	3,000	4,000
GREAT KILLS HARBOR, NY.....	---	1,000
GREAT SODUS BAY HARBOR, NY.....	50	50
GREAT SOUTH BAY, NY.....	100	100
HUDSON RIVER, NY (MAINT).....	2,525	2,525
HUDSON RIVER, NY (O&C).....	1,340	1,340
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NY.....	509	509
JAMAICA BAY, NY.....	250	250
JONES INLET, NY.....	100	100

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
LAKE MONTAUK HARBOR, NY.....	80	80
LITTLE SODUS BAY HARBOR, NY.....	50	50
LONG ISLAND INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NY.....	70	70
MORICHES INLET, NY.....	80	80
MT MORRIS LAKE, NY.....	2,616	2,616
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY CHANNELS, NY.....	4,250	4,250
NEW YORK HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), NY & NJ.....	5,030	5,030
NEW YORK HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS),	750	750
NEW YORK HARBOR, NY.....	5,570	5,570
OSWEGO HARBOR, NY.....	20	20
PLATTSBURGH HARBOR, NY.....	---	2,000
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NY.....	2,563	2,563
ROCHESTER HARBOR, NY.....	35	35
RONDOUT HARBOR, NY.....	475	475
SAG HARBOR, NY.....	925	925
SHINNECOCK INLET, NY.....	100	100
SOUTHERN NEW YORK FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS, NY.....	750	750
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, NY.....	479	479
WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY.....	564	564
NORTH CAROLINA		
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NC.....	2,391	5,000
B EVERETT JORDAN DAM AND LAKE, NC.....	3,065	3,065
BEAUFORT HARBOR, NC.....	35	35
BOGUE INLET AND CHANNEL, NC.....	1,267	1,267
CAPE FEAR RIVER ABOVE WILMINGTON, NC.....	486	486
CAROLINA BEACH INLET, NC.....	1,060	1,060
FALLS LAKE, NC.....	1,516	1,516
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NC.....	22	22
LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, NC.....	895	1,895
MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC.....	4,863	4,863
MASONBORO INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC.....	2,245	2,945
MOREHEAD CITY HARBOR, NC.....	4,450	4,750
NEW RIVER INLET, NC.....	1,235	1,235
NEW TOPSAIL INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC.....	940	940
PAMLICO AND TAR RIVERS, NC.....	139	139
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NC.....	64	64
ROANOKE RIVER, NC.....	100	100
W KERR SCOTT DAM AND RESERVOIR, NC.....	2,253	2,253
WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC.....	5,105	5,105
NORTH DAKOTA		
BOWMAN - HALEY LAKE, ND.....	210	210
GARRISON DAM, LAKE SAKAKAWEA, ND.....	9,111	9,911
HOMME LAKE, ND.....	164	164
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ND.....	52	52
LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, ND.....	1,264	1,264
PIPESTEM LAKE, ND.....	402	402
SOURIS RIVER, ND.....	385	385
OHIO		
ALUM CREEK LAKE, OH.....	799	799
ASHTABULA HARBOR, OH.....	2,051	2,051
BERLIN LAKE, OH.....	1,872	1,872
CAESAR CREEK LAKE, OH.....	1,142	1,142
CLARENCE J BROWN DAM, OH.....	723	723
CLEVELAND HARBOR, OH.....	3,700	3,700
CONNEAUT HARBOR, OH.....	30	30
DEER CREEK LAKE, OH.....	903	903
DELAWARE LAKE, OH.....	642	642
DILLON LAKE, OH.....	527	527

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
FAIRPORT HARBOR, OH.....	1,235	1,235
HURON HARBOR, OH.....	1,040	1,040
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OH.....	166	166
LORAIN HARBOR, OH.....	1,100	1,100
MASSILLON LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH.....	25	25
MICHAEL J KIRWAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, OH.....	809	809
MOSQUITO CREEK LAKE, OH.....	1,054	1,054
MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH.....	6,284	6,284
NORTH BRANCH KOKOSING RIVER LAKE, OH.....	358	358
PAINT CREEK LAKE, OH.....	680	680
PORT CLINTON HARBOR, OH.....	1,080	1,080
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OH.....	85	85
ROSEVILLE LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH.....	30	30
SANDUSKY HARBOR, OH.....	950	950
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OH.....	190	190
TOLEDO HARBOR, OH.....	3,211	3,211
TOM JENKINS DAM, OH.....	229	229
TOUSSAINT RIVER, OH.....	10	10
WEST FORK OF MILL CREEK LAKE, OH.....	476	476
WILLIAM H HARSHA LAKE, OH.....	816	816
OKLAHOMA		
ARCADIA LAKE, OK.....	429	429
BIRCH LAKE, OK.....	572	572
BROKEN BOW LAKE, OK.....	1,549	1,549
CANDY LAKE, OK.....	18	18
CANTON LAKE, OK.....	3,012	3,012
CDPAN LAKE, OK.....	824	824
EUFULA LAKE, OK.....	6,277	6,277
FORT GIBSON LAKE, OK.....	4,144	4,144
FORT SUPPLY LAKE, OK.....	879	879
GREAT SALT PLAINS LAKE, OK.....	234	234
HEYBURN LAKE, OK.....	572	572
HUGO LAKE, OK.....	1,670	1,800
HULAH LAKE, OK.....	406	406
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OK.....	91	91
KAW LAKE, OK.....	1,840	1,840
KEYSTONE LAKE, OK.....	5,553	5,553
MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, OK.....	3,025	3,025
OLOGAH LAKE, OK.....	1,843	1,843
OPTIMA LAKE, OK.....	56	56
PENSACOLA RESERVOIR, LAKE OF THE CHEROKEES, OK.....	32	32
PINE CREEK LAKE, OK.....	1,170	1,170
ROBERT S KERR LOCK AND DAM AND RESERVOIRS, OK.....	5,130	5,130
SARDIS LAKE, OK.....	913	913
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OK.....	370	370
SKIATOOK LAKE, OK.....	893	893
TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK.....	3,228	3,228
WAURIKA LAKE, OK.....	1,426	1,426
WEBBERS FALLS LOCK AND DAM, OK.....	3,557	3,557
WISTER LAKE, OK.....	602	672
OREGON		
APPLEGATE LAKE, OR.....	720	720
BLUE RIVER LAKE, OR.....	260	260
BONNEVILLE LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA.....	5,430	5,880
CHETCO RIVER, OR.....	402	402
COLUMBIA & LWR WILLAMETTE R BLW VANCOUVER, WA & PORTLA.....	13,042	16,042
COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA.....	7,818	8,018
COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN VANCOUVER, WA AND THE DALLES, O.....	352	352
COOS BAY, OR.....	4,692	4,692
COQUILLE RIVER, OR.....	193	193

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
COTTAGE GROVE LAKE, OR.....	981	981
COUGAR LAKE, OR.....	752	752
DEPOE BAY, OR.....	3	3
DETROIT LAKE, OR.....	584	584
DORENA LAKE, OR.....	649	649
FALL CREEK LAKE, OR.....	722	722
FERN RIDGE LAKE, OR.....	952	952
GREEN PETER - FOSTER LAKES, OR.....	1,196	1,196
HILLS CREEK LAKE, OR.....	377	377
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OR.....	176	176
JOHN DAY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA.....	4,056	4,356
LOOKOUT POINT LAKE, OR.....	1,818	1,818
LOST CREEK LAKE, OR.....	3,049	3,049
MCNARY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA.....	3,650	3,650
PORT ORFORD, OR.....	631	631
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OR.....	200	200
ROGUE RIVER AT GOLD BEACH, OR.....	674	674
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OR.....	69	69
SIUSLAW RIVER, OR.....	781	781
SKIPANON CHANNEL, OR.....	161	161
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OR.....	134	134
TILLAMOOK BAY AND BAR, OR.....	14	214
UMPQUA RIVER, OR.....	834	834
WILLAMETTE RIVER AT WILLAMETTE FALLS, OR.....	291	291
WILLAMETTE RIVER BANK PROTECTION, OR.....	68	68
WILLOW CREEK LAKE, OR.....	830	830
YAGUINA BAY AND HARBOR, OR.....	2,354	2,454
PENNSYLVANIA		
ALLEGHENY RIVER, PA.....	6,015	6,015
ALVIN R BUSH DAM, PA.....	622	622
AYLESWORTH CREEK LAKE, PA.....	229	229
BELTZVILLE LAKE, PA.....	1,355	1,355
BLUE MARSH LAKE, PA.....	2,285	2,285
CONEMAUGH RIVER LAKE, PA.....	945	945
COWANESQUE LAKE, PA.....	1,887	1,887
CROOKED CREEK LAKE, PA.....	2,001	2,001
CURWENSVILLE LAKE, PA.....	676	676
EAST BRANCH CLARION RIVER LAKE, PA.....	1,322	1,322
ERIE HARBOR, PA.....	70	70
FOSTER JOSEPH SAYERS DAM, PA.....	729	729
FRANCIS E WALTER DAM, PA.....	797	1,097
GENERAL EDGAR JADWIN DAM AND RESERVOIR, PA.....	365	365
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, PA.....	95	95
JOHNSTOWN, PA.....	1,115	1,115
KINZUA DAM AND ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR, PA.....	1,189	1,189
LOYALHANNA LAKE, PA.....	977	977
MAHONING CREEK LAKE, PA.....	1,093	1,093
MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA.....	14,203	14,203
OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, PA, OH & WV.....	19,321	19,321
OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, PA, OH & WV.....	58	58
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, PA.....	88	88
PROMPTON LAKE, PA.....	482	482
PUNXSUTAWNEY, PA.....	15	15
RAYSTOWN LAKE, PA.....	3,902	3,902
SCHUYLKILL RIVER, PA.....	1,315	1,315
SHENANGO RIVER LAKE, PA.....	2,252	2,252
STILLWATER LAKE, PA.....	350	350
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, PA.....	65	65
TIOGA - HAMMOND LAKES, PA.....	2,501	2,501
TIONESTA LAKE, PA.....	2,262	3,012
UNION CITY LAKE, PA.....	221	221
WOODCOCK CREEK LAKE, PA.....	761	761

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
YORK INDIAN ROCK DAM, PA.....	547	547
YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER LAKE, PA & MD.....	1,871	1,871
RHODE ISLAND		
PROVIDENCE RIVER AND HARBOR, RI.....	2,110	2,610
SOUTH CAROLINA		
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC.....	1,575	2,000
CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC.....	5,171	5,171
COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC.....	3,201	3,201
FOLLY RIVER, SC.....	748	748
GEORGETOWN HARBOR, SC.....	5,738	5,738
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SC.....	26	26
MURRELLS INLET, SC.....	---	2,200
PORT ROYAL HARBOR, SC.....	169	500
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, SC.....	45	45
SHIPYARD RIVER, SC.....	486	486
TOWN CREEK, SC.....	305	305
SOUTH DAKOTA		
BIG BEND DAM, LAKE SHARPE, SD.....	6,136	6,386
COLD BROOK LAKE, SD.....	433	433
COTTONWOOD SPRINGS LAKE, SD.....	197	197
FORT RANDALL DAM, LAKE FRANCIS CASE, SD.....	8,044	8,294
LAKE TRAVERSE, SD & MN.....	531	531
MISSOURI R BETWEEN FORT PECK DAM AND GAVINS PT, SD, MT	625	625
OAHE DAM, LAKE OAHE, SD & ND.....	9,480	9,730
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, SD.....	306	306
TENNESSEE		
CENTER HILL LAKE, TN.....	4,757	4,757
CHEATHAM LOCK AND DAM, TN.....	4,217	4,217
CHICKAMAUGA LOCK, TN.....	2,315	2,315
CORDELL HULL DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN.....	3,910	3,910
DALE HOLLOW LAKE, TN.....	4,217	4,217
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN.....	97	97
J PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN.....	3,222	3,222
OLD HICKORY LOCK AND DAM, TN.....	5,981	5,981
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TN.....	19	19
TENNESSEE RIVER, TN.....	16,422	16,422
WOLF RIVER HARBOR, TN.....	---	450
TEXAS		
AQUILLA LAKE, TX.....	708	708
ARKANSAS - RED RIVER BASINS CHLORIDE CONTROL - AREA VI	1,267	1,267
BARBOUR TERMINAL CHANNEL, TX.....	577	577
BARDWELL LAKE, TX.....	1,499	1,499
BAYPORT SHIP CHANNEL, TX.....	2,275	2,275
BELTON LAKE, TX.....	2,578	2,578
BENBROOK LAKE, TX.....	2,290	2,290
BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, TX.....	1,222	1,222
BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES, TX.....	2,977	2,977
CANYON LAKE, TX.....	2,743	2,743
CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX.....	5,399	5,399
DENISON DAM, LAKE TEXOMA, TX.....	5,532	5,532
ESTELLINE SPRINGS EXPERIMENTAL PROJECT, TX.....	5	5
FERRELLS BRIDGE DAM, LAKE O' THE PINES, TX.....	2,554	2,554
FREEPORT HARBOR, TX.....	6,950	6,950
GALVESTON HARBOR AND CHANNEL, TX.....	130	130



## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
GIWW, CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX.....	585	585
GRANGER DAM AND LAKE, TX.....	1,535	1,535
GRAPEVINE LAKE, TX.....	2,478	2,478
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, TX.....	19,994	19,994
HORDS CREEK LAKE, TX.....	1,190	1,190
HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX.....	7,555	13,000
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TX.....	452	452
JIM CHAPMAN LAKE, TX.....	1,189	1,189
JOE POOL LAKE, TX.....	784	784
LAKE KEMP, TX.....	143	143
LAVON LAKE, TX.....	2,485	2,485
LEWISVILLE DAM, TX.....	3,253	3,253
MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX.....	1,665	1,665
MOUTH OF THE COLORADO RIVER, TX.....	2,480	2,480
NAVARRO MILLS LAKE, TX.....	1,596	1,596
NORTH SAN GABRIEL DAM AND LAKE GEORGETOWN, TX.....	1,748	1,748
O C FISHER DAM AND LAKE, TX.....	893	893
PAT MAYSE LAKE, TX.....	976	976
PROCTOR LAKE, TX.....	1,659	2,259
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TX.....	15	15
RAY ROBERTS LAKE, TX.....	821	821
SABINE - NECHES WATERWAY, TX.....	14,272	14,272
SAM RAYBURN DAM AND RESERVOIR, TX.....	4,417	4,417
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, TX.....	243	243
SOMERVILLE LAKE, TX.....	2,555	2,555
STILLHOUSE HOLLOW DAM, TX.....	1,719	1,719
TEXAS WATER ALLOCATION ASSESSMENT, TX.....	1,500	1,500
TOWN BLUFF DAM, B A STEINHAGEN LAKE, TX.....	1,748	1,748
TRINITY RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, TX.....	1,000	2,000
WACO LAKE, TX.....	2,412	3,912
WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX.....	1,320	1,320
WHITNEY LAKE, TX.....	4,227	4,800
WRIGHT PATMAN DAM AND LAKE, TX.....	2,611	2,611
UTAH		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, UT.....	75	75
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, UT.....	390	390
VERMONT		
BALL MOUNTAIN LAKE, VT.....	743	743
BURLINGTON HARBOR BREAKWATER, VT.....	250	2,000
NARROWS OF LAKE CHAMPLAIN, VT & NY.....	95	95
NORTH HARTLAND LAKE, VT.....	635	635
NORTH SPRINGFIELD LAKE, VT.....	700	700
TOWNSHEND LAKE, VT.....	764	764
UNION VILLAGE DAM, VT.....	506	651
VIRGINIA		
APPOMATTOX RIVER, VA.....	749	749
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY - ACC, VA.....	1,795	1,795
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY - DSC, VA.....	835	835
CHINCOTEAGUE BAY CHANNEL, VA.....	430	430
CHINCOTEAGUE INLET, VA.....	898	898
GATHRIGHT DAM AND LAKE MOOMAW, VA.....	1,535	1,535
HAMPTON RDS, NORFOLK & NEWPORT NEWS HBR, VA (DRIFT REM	1,095	1,095
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VA.....	59	59
JAMES RIVER CHANNEL, VA.....	3,680	3,680
JOHN H KERR LAKE, VA & NC.....	10,013	10,013
JOHN W FLANNAGAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA.....	1,387	1,387
LYNNHAVEN INLET, VA.....	916	916
NORFOLK HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS), V	215	215

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
NORFOLK HARBOR, VA.....	6,439	6,439
NORTH FORK OF POUND RIVER LAKE, VA.....	328	328
PAGAN RIVER, VA.....	145	145
PHILPOTT LAKE, VA.....	3,865	3,865
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, VA.....	630	630
RUDEE INLET, VA.....	1,053	1,053
WATERWAY ON THE COAST OF VIRGINIA, VA.....	1,190	1,190
WINTER HARBOR, VA.....	---	1,000
YORK RIVER, VA.....	155	155
WASHINGTON		
CHIEF JOSEPH DAM, WA.....	848	848
COLUMBIA RIVER AT BAKER BAY, WA & OR.....	28	28
COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN CHINOOK AND SAND ISLAND, WA....	36	36
EDIZ HOOK, WA.....	718	718
EVERETT HARBOR AND SNOHOMISH RIVER, WA.....	1,345	1,345
GRAYS HARBOR AND CHEHALIS RIVER, WA.....	11,275	15,075
HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA.....	1,739	1,739
ICE HARBOR LOCK AND DAM, WA.....	3,249	3,249
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WA.....	243	243
LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA.....	7,200	7,200
LITTLE GOOSE LOCK AND DAM, WA.....	1,290	1,590
LOWER GRANITE LOCK AND DAM, WA.....	6,114	6,114
LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK AND DAM, WA.....	2,230	2,230
MILL CREEK LAKE, WA.....	3,016	3,016
MT ST HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL, WA.....	319	319
MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA.....	2,319	2,319
NEAH BAY, WA.....	30	30
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WA.....	253	253
PUGET SOUND AND TRIBUTARY WATERS, WA.....	938	938
QUILLAYUTE RIVER, WA.....	1,760	1,760
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WA.....	427	427
SEATTLE HARBOR, EAST WATERWAY CHANNEL DEEPENING, WA...	300	300
SEATTLE HARBOR, WA.....	620	620
STILLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA.....	240	240
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WA.....	58	58
SWINOMISH CHANNEL, WA.....	515	515
TACOMA, PUYALLUP RIVER, WA.....	123	123
THE DALLES LOCK AND DAM, WA & OR.....	2,961	3,261
WILLAPA RIVER AND HARBOR, WA.....	435	885
WEST VIRGINIA		
BEECH FORK LAKE, WV.....	1,074	1,074
BLUESTONE LAKE, WV.....	1,231	6,661
BURNSVILLE LAKE, WV.....	1,783	1,783
EAST LYNN LAKE, WV.....	1,687	1,687
ELKINS, WV.....	18	18
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WV.....	211	211
KANAWHA RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV.....	6,799	6,799
OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV, KY & OH.....	16,738	20,053
OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, WV, KY & OH.....	2,407	2,407
R D BAILEY LAKE, WV.....	1,582	1,582
STONEMALL JACKSON LAKE, WV.....	888	888
SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV.....	1,458	1,458
SUTTON LAKE, WV.....	2,016	2,016
TYGART LAKE, WV.....	3,223	3,223
WHEELING CREEK, VA.....	---	2,000
WISCONSIN		
EAU GALLE RIVER LAKE, WI.....	736	736
FOX RIVER, WI.....	4,004	9,004
GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI.....	1,641	1,641

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WI.....	17	17
KENOSHA HARBOR, WI.....	1,122	1,122
KEWAUNEE HARBOR, WI.....	210	210
LAFARGE LAKE, WI.....	56	56
MANITOWOC HARBOR, WI.....	249	249
MILWAUKEE HARBOR, WI.....	603	603
PENSAUKEE HARBOR, WI.....	488	488
PORT WING HARBOR, WI.....	260	260
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WI.....	8	8
SHEBOYGAN HARBOR, WI.....	46	46
STURGEON BAY HARBOR AND LAKE MICHIGAN SHIP CANAL, WI..	2,625	2,625
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WI.....	42	42
TWO RIVERS HARBOR, WI.....	1,102	1,102
WYOMING		
JACKSON HOLE LEVEES, WY.....	1,198	1,198
MISCELLANEOUS		
AQUATIC NUISANCE CONTROL RESEARCH.....	700	700
COASTAL INLET RESEARCH PROGRAM.....	2,750	2,750
CULTURAL RESOURCES (NAGPRA/CURATION).....	1,500	1,500
DREDGE WHEELER READY RESERVE.....	8,000	8,000
DREDGING DATA AND LOCK PERFORMANCE MONITORING SYSTEM..	1,000	1,000
DREDGING OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH (DOER)..	7,000	7,000
DREDGING OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (DOTS) PROGRAM..	1,500	1,500
EARTHQUAKE HAZARDS PROGRAM FOR BUILDINGS AND LIFELINES	500	500
GREAT LAKES SEDIMENT TRANSPORT MODELS.....	500	1,000
HARBOR MAINTENANCE FEE DATA COLLECTION.....	575	575
INLAND WATERWAY NAVIGATION CHARTS.....	---	4,000
MANAGEMENT TOOLS FOR O&M.....	500	500
MONITORING OF COASTAL NAVIGATION PROJECTS.....	1,700	1,700
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM.....	40	40
NATIONAL DAM SECURITY PROGRAM.....	25	25
NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAMS (NEPP).....	4,000	4,000
NATIONAL LEWIS AND CLARK COMMEMORATION COORDINATOR....	300	300
PERFORMANCE BASED BUDGETING SUPPORT PROGRAM.....	415	415
PROTECTING, CLEARING AND STRAIGHTENING CHANNELS(SEC 3)	50	50
RECREATION MANAGEMENT SUPPORT PROGRAM (RMSP).....	1,500	1,500
REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT SEDIMENT DEMO PROGRAM....	1,500	1,500
RELIABILITY MODELS PROGRAM FOR MAJOR REHABILITATION...	675	675
REMOVAL OF SUNKEN VESSELS.....	500	500
WATER OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (WOTS) PROGRAM.....	700	700
WATERBORNE COMMERCE STATISTICS.....	4,000	4,000
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE.....	16,457	-73,662
=====		
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	1,745,000	1,874,803
=====		

## TITLE II

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT

## CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

The conference agreement appropriates \$36,228,000 to carry out the provisions of the Central Utah Project Completion Act as proposed by the House and the Senate. The conferees are in agreement with the language in the Senate report regarding the Uinta Basin Replacement Project.

## BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Bureau of Reclamation. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

## WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

The conference agreement appropriates \$762,531,000 for Water and Related Resources instead of \$691,160,000 as proposed by the House and \$732,496,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The amount provided for the American River Division of the Central Valley Project includes \$3,500,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation to reimburse the City of Folsom, California, for costs associated with the replacement of the Natoma Pipeline System, which is owned and operated by the Bureau of Reclamation and is the single water supply source for the City.

The amount provided for the East Side Division of the Central Valley Project includes \$1,000,000 for water and sewer system upgrades and a visitor capacity study at New Melones Lake.

The amount provided for Miscellaneous Project Programs of the Central Valley Project includes an additional \$1,000,000 for the Banta-Carbona Irrigation District's fish screen project.

The amount provided for the Sacramento River Division of the Central Valley Project includes \$2,600,000 for the Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District Fish Screen Improvement Project; \$750,000 for detailed, site-specific environmental assessment and permitting work associated with Sites Reservoir, including an evaluation of both the GCID Main Canal and the Tehama-Colusa Canal as a means to convey water to the proposed reservoir; and \$300,000 for the Colusa Basin Drainage District's Integrated Resources Management Plan.

The conference agreement provides \$2,500,000 for the Lake Tahoe Regional Wetlands Development program. In addition to the individual projects referenced in the House and Senate reports, the conferees agree that the funds may be used for projects throughout the Lake Tahoe basin in California and Nevada.

The conferees have provided an additional \$11,200,000 for the Middle Rio Grande, New Mexico, project for the Bureau of Reclamation to continue the efforts of the Middle Rio Grande Collaborative Program Workgroup and its support activities to water users

and species along the Middle Rio Grande. These efforts are intended to promote long and short term activities, with priority given to fulfillment of biological opinion requirements, to benefit species and water users pursuant to a Memorandum of Understanding signed by the relevant agencies and interested parties. The additional funds provided are for the following activities: \$4,300,000 for modifications to river habitat; \$2,180,000 for silvery minnow population management; \$1,100,000 for monitoring of stream effects on the silvery minnow; \$120,000 to combat non-native species; \$640,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation's repayment obligations; \$950,000 for water quality studies and improvements; \$1,900,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation's purchase of water; and for associated program management. The conferees direct the Bureau of Reclamation to consult with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on the silvery minnow monitoring and habitat efforts. In addition, the Bureau of Reclamation is directed to collaborate with universities in geographical proximity to the silvery minnow and possessing established experience and expertise in working with the silvery minnow.

The Colorado River Quantification Settlement Agreement is critically important to the long-term reliability of water supplies in Southern California and the entire Southwest. The conferees urge the Secretary of the Interior and parties to the Agreement to make every effort to bring about its timely and cost-effective implementation, including identifying the administrative and legislative actions necessary to meet the applicable deadlines.

The conferees have provided \$15,000,000 for the Klamath Project in Oregon. Of that amount, \$5,000,000 is to continue construction of the A-Canal.

The conference agreement includes \$2,582,000 for the Drought Emergency Assistance program. Within that amount, \$2,000,000 is for the Bureau of Reclamation to establish a Weather Damage Modification Program, including a regional weather modification research program involving the states of Oklahoma, Texas, Kansas, New Mexico, and Nevada. In addition, funds may be made available for leasing of water for specific drought related purposes from willing lessors in compliance with existing State laws and administered under State water priority allocation. Such leases may be entered into with an option to purchase provided that the purchase is approved by the State in which the purchase takes place and the purchase does not cause economic harm within the State in which the purchase is made.

Within the amount provided for the Wetlands Development Program, \$500,000 is for the Bureau of Reclamation to undertake a project to restore natural vegetation along the lower Colorado River in the vicinity of Yuma, Arizona.

The conference agreement includes language which provides that \$12,000,000 of the funds appropriated for Water and Related Resources shall be deposited in the San Gabriel Basin, California, Restoration Fund, of which \$1,000,000 shall be for remediation in the Central Basin Municipal Water District.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate providing \$1,500,000 to complete a feasibility study for the Sante Fe-Pojoaque Regional Water System in New Mexico.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate making \$4,000,000 available for the West River/Lyman Jones Rural Water System to provide rural, municipal, and industrial drinking water for Philip, South Dakota. Funds for this work have been provided within the amount available for the Mni Wiconi project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate regarding financial assistance for the preparation of drought contingency plans.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funds for the Hopi/Western Navajo Water Development Plan in Arizona, and the Savage Rapids Dam on the Rogue River in Oregon. Funds for these projects have been included within the amount appropriated for Water and Related Resources.

#### BUREAU OF RECLAMATION LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The conference agreement appropriates \$7,495,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation Loan Program Account as proposed by the House and the Senate.

#### CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

The conference agreement appropriates \$55,039,000 for the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Within the amount appropriated for the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund, the conferees expect the Bureau of Reclamation to use \$9,000,000 for the Anadromous Fish Screen Program, including work on the American Basin Fish Screen and Habitat Improvement Project (Natomas Municipal Water Company) as well as the fish screen projects being undertaken by the Sutter Mutual Water Company and Reclamation District 108.

#### CALIFORNIA BAY-DELTA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION

The conference agreement includes no funds for the California Bay-Delta Ecosystem Restoration program as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conferees have provided an additional \$30,000,000 within the various units of the Central Valley Project under the Water and Related Resources account for activities that support the goals of the California Bay-Delta Ecosystem Restoration Program, instead of \$40,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees are aware that legislation to authorize this multi-year, multi-billion dollar program has been introduced in the House and the Senate, but has yet to be enacted. Absent such an authorization, it will be difficult for the Congress to continue its support for this program. Therefore, the conferees strongly urge the parties involved to work to enact an authorization for the program so additional funding can be considered in the fiscal year 2003 appropriations cycle. The additional funds provided in support of the program are to be used as follows:

Delta Division: \$7,500,000 for oversight activities; \$1,000,000 for planning activities associated with enlarging Los Vaqueros Reservoir; \$200,000 for the DMC Intertie with the California Aque-

duct; \$150,000 to evaluate operations alternatives for the Delta Cross Channel Reoperation; and \$3,000,000 to construct the Tracy Test Fish Facility.

Friant Division: \$2,500,000 to continue developing a plan of study for an investigation of storage in the Upper San Joaquin Watershed.

Miscellaneous Project Programs: \$12,500,000 for the Environmental Water Account; \$200,000 for water use efficiency pilot studies; and \$200,000 to conduct a NEPA analysis and operate the clearinghouse for the water transfer program.

Sacramento River Division: \$750,000 to continue planning activities related to Sites Reservoir.

San Felipe Division: \$100,000 to provide technical assistance to the Santa Clara Valley Water District in conducting operational appraisal studies.

Shasta Division: \$1,900,000 to continue evaluating the potential impacts of the proposed Shasta Dam raise.

#### POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement appropriates \$52,968,000 for Policy and Administration as proposed by the House and the Senate.

#### GENERAL PROVISIONS

##### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Section 201. The conference agreement includes language authorizing the Bureau of Reclamation to continue its program of providing grants to institutions of higher learning to support the training of Native Americans to manage natural resources.

Section 202. The conference agreement includes language amending the authorization for the San Gabriel Basin Restoration project.

Section 203. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate regarding refunds of fees assessed for failure to file certain certification or reporting forms under the Reclamation Reform Act.

Section 204. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate regarding the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund.

Section 205. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House under Title V, General Provisions regarding the San Luis Unit and the Kesterson Reservoir in California. The Senate had proposed similar language under General Provisions, Department of the Interior.

Section 206. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate regarding the valve rehabilitation project at the Arrowrock Dam on the Arrowrock Division of the Boise project in Idaho.

Section 207. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate establishing requirements for the purchase or lease of water from the Middle Rio Grande or Carlsbad projects in New Mexico.

Section 208. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House regarding the issuance of permits for commercial rafting within the Auburn State Recreation Area, California.

Section 209. The conference agreement amends House language regarding the makeup of water shortages caused by the operation of Folsom Dam and Reservoir in California for flood control.

*Provisions not included in the conference agreement.*—The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the Senate regarding the use of funds provided for Drought Emergency Assistance.





BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES				
ARIZONA				
AK CHIN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT.....	---	6,282	---	6,282
CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT, COLORADO RIVER BASIN.....	31,392	50	31,392	50
COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROJECT, TITLE I	725	9,355	725	9,355
COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND LEVEE SYSTEM.....	3,103	---	3,603	---
HOPÍ/WESTERN NAVAJO WATER DEVELOPMENT PLAN.....	---	---	1,000	---
NORTHERN ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	575	---	575	---
PHOENIX METROPOLITAN WATER REUSE PROJECT.....	250	---	250	---
SOUTHERN ARIZONA WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT..	4,055	---	4,055	---
SOUTH/CENTRAL ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	685	---	685	---
TRES RIOS WETLANDS DEMONSTRATION.....	200	---	500	---
TUCSON AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE STUDY.....	100	---	100	---
YUMA AREA PROJECTS.....	1,658	18,037	1,658	18,037
CALIFORNIA				
CACHUMA PROJECT.....	640	426	640	426
CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	1,000	---	1,000	---
CALLEGUAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT RECYCLING PLANT....	1,800	---	1,800	---
CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT:				
AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION.....	2,387	10,996	5,887	10,996
AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT.....	1,947	---	1,947	---
DELTA DIVISION.....	12,182	5,053	24,032	5,053
EAST SIDE DIVISION.....	604	3,630	604	4,630
FRIANT DIVISION.....	2,103	2,923	4,603	2,923
MISCELLANEOUS PROJECT PROGRAMS.....	12,637	879	26,537	879
REPLACEMENTS, ADDITIONS, AND EXTRAORDINARY MAINT....	---	11,000	---	11,000

SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVISION.....	4,071	1,682	7,121	1,682
SAN FELIPE DIVISION.....	447	---	547	---
SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION.....	1,280	---	1,280	---
SHASTA DIVISION.....	2,456	7,025	4,356	7,025
TRINITY RIVER DIVISION.....	7,751	5,380	7,751	5,380
WATER AND POWER OPERATIONS.....	900	7,322	900	7,322
WEST SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION, SAN LUIS UNIT.....	4,735	6,417	4,735	6,417
YIELD FEASIBILITY INVESTIGATION.....	1,500	---	1,500	---
LAKE TAHOE REGIONAL WETLANDS DEVELOPMENT.....	200	---	2,500	---
LONG BEACH AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROJECT.....	1,800	---	1,800	---
LONG BEACH DESALINATION PROJECT.....	---	---	1,000	---
LOS ANGELES AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROJECT.....	---	---	740	---
MISSION BASIN BRACKISH GROUNDWATER DESALTING DEMO.....	1,800	---	400	---
NORTH SAN DIEGO COUNTY AREA WATER RECYCLING PROJECT.....	---	---	2,500	---
NORTH SONOMA COUNTY WATER REUSE STUDY.....	1,800	---	2,500	---
GROUNDWATER REPLENISHMENT SYSTEM.....	---	410	---	410
ORLAND PROJECT.....	800	---	4,500	---
SALTON SEA RESEARCH PROJECT.....	---	---	4,000	---
SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVERSION STUDY.....	---	---	6,000	---
SAN DIEGO AREA WATER RECYCLING PROGRAM.....	1,800	---	1,800	---
SAN GABRIEL BASIN PROJECT.....	---	---	12,000	---
SAN GABRIEL BASIN RESTORATION.....	2,500	---	4,000	---
SAN JOSE WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAM.....	1,210	1,149	1,210	1,149
SOLANO PROJECT.....	875	---	875	---
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	---	---	---	---
COLORADO				
ANIMAS-LA PLATA PROJECT, CRSP SECTION 5 & 8.....	12,000	---	16,000	---
COLLBRAN PROJECT.....	127	1,202	127	1,202
COLORADO-BIG THOMPSON PROJECT.....	49	7,913	49	7,913
COLORADO-BIG THOMPSON PROJECT - HORSETOOTH DAM.....	---	26,000	---	26,000
FRUITGROWERS DAM PROJECT.....	74	17	74	17
FRYINGPAN-ARKANSAS PROJECT.....	9	4,472	9	4,472
GRAND VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP, TITLE II.....	427	573	427	573
LEADVILLE/ARKANSAS RIVER RECOVERY PROJECT.....	421	1,787	421	1,787
LOWER GUNNISON BASIN UNIT, CRBSCP, TITLE II.....	---	332	---	332
MANCOS PROJECT.....	49	23	49	23

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
PARADOX VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP, TITLE II.....	---	2,119	---	2,119
PINE RIVER PROJECT.....	88	62	88	62
SAN LUIS VALLEY PROJECT.....	326	4,021	326	4,021
UNCOMPAGRE PROJECT.....	368	27	368	27
HAWAII				
HAWAII WATER RESOURCES STUDY, HI.....	---	---	300	---
IDAHO				
BOISE AREA PROJECTS.....	1,526	6,071	1,526	6,071
COLUMBIA AND SNAKE RIVER SALMON RECOVERY PROJECT.....	11,000	---	11,000	---
DRAIN WATER MANAGEMENT STUDY, BOISE PROJECT.....	165	---	165	---
IDAHO INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	509	---	509	---
MINIDOKA AREA PROJECTS.....	1,968	3,272	1,968	3,272
MINIDOKA NORTHSIDE DRAIN WATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.....	262	---	262	---
KANSAS				
KANSAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	594	---	594	---
WICHITA PROJECT.....	---	269	---	269
MONTANA				
FORT PECK DRY PRAIRIE RURAL WATER SYSTEM.....	---	---	4,000	---
HUNGRY HORSE PROJECT.....	---	294	---	294
MILK RIVER PROJECT.....	440	541	440	541
MONTANA INVESTIGATIONS.....	321	---	321	---
ROCKY BOYS INDIAN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT.....	8,000	---	8,000	---
ROCKY BOYS/NORTH CENTRAL REGIONAL STUDY.....	---	---	350	---

	23	32	23	32
NEBRASKA				
MIRAGE FLATS PROJECT.....				
				32
NEVADA				
HALFWAY WASH, NV.....			120	
LAHONTAN BASIN PROJECT.....	6,347	2,089	6,347	2,089
LAKE MEAD/LAS VEGAS WASH PROGRAM.....	1,000		1,400	
NEWLANDS PROJECT WATER RIGHTS FUND.....			2,000	
SOUTHERN NEVADA WATER RECYCLING PROJECT.....			1,500	
STEAMBOAT CREEK RENO, NV.....			100	
WALKER RIVER BASIN PROJECT.....			200	
NEW MEXICO				
ALBUQUERQUE METRO AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE.....			7,000	
CARLSBAD PROJECT.....	1,689	742	1,689	742
EASTERN NEW MEXICO WATER SUPPLY.....			250	
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE PROJECT.....	2,684	8,967	13,884	8,967
NAVAJO GALLUP WATER SUPPLY.....			500	
PECOS RIVER BASIN WATER SALVAGE PROJECT.....				175
RIO GRANDE PROJECT.....	1,001	2,591	1,001	2,591
RIO JEMEZ (ABOUSELMAN) FEASIBILITY STUDY.....			1,200	
SAN JUAN RIVER BASIN INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	214		214	
SANTA FE - POJORAQUE REGIONAL WATER SYSTEM.....			1,500	
SOUTHERN NEW MEXICO/WEST TEXAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	200		200	
TUCUMCARI PROJECT.....	26		26	
UPPER RIO GRANDE BASIN INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	217		217	
NORTH DAKOTA				
DAKOTAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	354		354	
DAKOTAS TRIBES INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	250		250	
GARRISON DIVERSION UNIT.....	21,011	4,228	22,500	4,228

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION				
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
OKLAHOMA				
ARBuckle PROJECT.....	---	186	---	186
MCgEE CREEK PROJECT.....	---	569	---	569
MOUntAIN PARK PROJECT.....	---	276	---	276
NORMAN PROJECT.....	---	183	---	183
OKLAHOMA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	263	---	263	---
WASHITA BASIN PROJECT.....	---	731	---	731
W.C. AUSTIN PROJECT.....	---	280	---	280
OREGON				
BEND FEED CANAL PIPELINE PROJECT.....	---	---	300	---
CROOKED RIVER PROJECT.....	278	418	278	418
DESCHUTES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECT.....	500	---	750	---
DESCHUTES PROJECT.....	360	138	360	138
DESCHUTES PROJECT-WICKUP DAM.....	---	12,000	---	12,000
EASTERN OREGON PROJECTS.....	340	267	340	267
GRANDE RONDE WATER OPTIMIZATION STUDY.....	150	---	150	---
GRANTS PASS PROJECT.....	---	---	500	---
KLAMATH PROJECT.....	12,277	483	15,000	483
OREGON INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	457	---	457	---
ROGUE RIVER BASIN PROJECT, TALENT DIVISION.....	317	162	317	162
TUALATIN PROJECT.....	149	107	149	107
TUALATIN VALLEY WATER SUPPLY FEASIBILITY STUDY.....	100	---	100	---
UMATILLA BASIN PROJECT, PHASE III STUDY.....	50	---	50	---
UMATILLA PROJECT.....	409	2,227	409	2,227
SOUTH DAKOTA				
CROW CREEK TRIBE RESERVATION WIDE M & I WATER SUPPLY.....	---	---	100	---

LEWIS AND CLARK RURAL WATER SYSTEM.....	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
MID-DAKOTA RURAL WATER PROJECT.....	10,000	40	40	15,000	40	---	---
MNI WICONI PROJECT.....	20,511	7,489	---	30,000	7,489	---	---
PERKINS COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT.....	---	---	---	3,400	---	---	---
RAPID VALLEY PROJECT, DEERFIELD DAM.....	---	30	30	---	30	---	---
TEXAS							
BALMORHEA PROJECT.....	30	---	---	30	---	---	---
CANADIAN RIVER PROJECT.....	---	104	---	---	104	---	---
EL PASO WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE.....	---	---	---	1,000	---	---	---
NAVAJO GALLUP WATER SUPPLY PROJECT.....	300	---	---	---	---	---	---
NUECES RIVER.....	---	421	---	---	421	---	---
PALMETTO BEND PROJECT.....	---	688	---	---	688	---	---
SAN ANGELO PROJECT.....	---	335	---	---	335	---	---
TEXAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	197	---	---	197	---	---	---
UTAH							
HYRUM PROJECT.....	310	8	8	310	8	---	---
MOON LAKE PROJECT.....	39	6	6	39	6	---	---
NAVAJO SANDSTONE AQUIFER RECHARGE STUDY.....	250	---	---	250	---	---	---
NEWTON PROJECT.....	46	7	---	46	7	---	---
NORTHERN UTAH INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	305	---	---	305	---	---	---
OGDEN RIVER PROJECT.....	111	51	---	111	51	---	---
PROVO RIVER PROJECT.....	465	363	---	465	363	---	---
SCOFIELD PROJECT.....	56	25	---	56	25	---	---
SOUTHERN UTAH INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	300	---	---	300	---	---	---
STRABERRY VALLEY PROJECT.....	82	7	---	82	7	---	---
WEBER BASIN PROJECT.....	1,704	290	---	1,704	290	---	---
WEBER RIVER PROJECT.....	356	32	---	356	32	---	---
WEST JORDAN WATER RECLAMATION & REUSE.....	---	---	---	1,500	---	---	---
WASHINGTON							
COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT.....	4,044	9,119	---	4,044	9,119	---	---
WASHINGTON INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	425	---	---	425	---	---	---

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
YAKIMA PROJECT.....	516	6,753	516	6,753
YAKIMA RIVER BASIN WATER ENHANCEMENT PROJECT.....	10,600	---	11,100	---
WYOMING				
KENDRICK PROJECT.....	8	4,654	8	4,654
NORTH PLATTE PROJECT.....	40	1,412	40	1,412
SHOSHONE PROJECT.....	54	925	54	925
WYOMING INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	55	---	55	---
VARIOUS				
COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL, TITLE II:				
PROGRAM & COLORADO RIVER WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT	10,929	---	10,929	---
COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT, SECTION 5.....	5,349	1,821	5,349	1,821
COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT, SECTION 8, R&F&WL.....	4,677	61	4,677	61
COLORADO RIVER WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	150	---	150	---
DAM SAFETY PROGRAM:				
DEPARTMENT DAM SAFETY PROGRAM.....	---	1,700	---	1,700
INITIATE SOD CORRECTIVE ACTION.....	---	16,400	---	16,400
SAFETY EVALUATION OF EXISTING DAMS.....	---	17,900	---	17,900
SAFETY OF DAMS CORRECTIVE ACTION STUDIES.....	---	624	---	624
DEPARTMENTAL IRRIGATION DRAINAGE PROGRAM.....	2,620	---	2,000	---
DROUGHT EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE.....	582	---	2,582	---
EFFICIENCY INCENTIVES PROGRAM.....	3,738	---	3,000	---
EMERGENCY PLANNING & DISASTER RESPONSE PROGRAM.....	---	330	---	330
ENDANGERED SPECIES RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION.....	13,522	---	13,522	---
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION.....	1,882	---	1,500	---
ENVIRONMENTAL & INTERAGENCY COORDINATION ACTIVITIES.....	1,661	---	1,200	---
EXAMINATION OF EXISTING STRUCTURES.....	32	5,110	32	5,110
FEDERAL BUILDING SEISMIC SAFETY PROGRAM.....	---	---	---	950



GENERAL PLANNING STUDIES.....	1,861	---	---	1,700	---
LAND RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.....	7,690	---	---	6,500	---
LEWIS AND CLARK RURAL WATER SYSTEM.....	2,000	---	---	---	---
LOWER COLORADO RIVER OPERATIONS PROGRAM.....	13,103	---	---	13,103	---
MISCELLANEOUS FLOOD CONTROL OPERATIONS.....	---	509	---	---	509
NATIONAL FISH & WILDLIFE FOUNDATION.....	1,000	---	---	1,000	---
NATIVE AMERICAN AFFAIRS PROGRAM.....	8,400	---	---	8,400	---
NEGOTIATION & ADMINISTRATION OF WATER MARKETING.....	1,709	---	---	1,300	---
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.....	180	950	---	180	950
PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN - OTHER PROJECTS.....	3,183	29,747	---	3,183	29,747
POWER PROGRAM SERVICES.....	590	345	---	590	345
PUBLIC ACCESS AND SAFETY PROGRAM.....	463	---	---	463	---
RECLAMATION LAW ADMINISTRATION.....	5,130	---	---	4,800	---
RECLAMATION RECREATION MANAGEMENT - TITLE XXVIII.....	1,922	---	---	1,922	---
RECREATION & FISH & WILDLIFE PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION..	2,694	---	---	2,300	---
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY:					
ADVANCED WATER TREATMENT DESALINATION PROGRAM.....	1,150	---	---	1,150	---
APPLIED SCIENCE/TECHNOLOGY AND DEVELOPMENT.....	3,290	---	---	3,290	---
DESALINATION RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.....	300	---	---	4,000	---
HYDROELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION/ENHANCEMEN	660	---	---	660	---
TECHNOLOGY ADVANCEMENT.....	300	---	---	300	---
WATERSHED/RIVER SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.....	940	---	---	940	---
SITE SECURITY.....	---	1,755	---	---	1,755
SOIL AND MOISTURE CONSERVATION.....	314	---	---	314	---
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO STATES.....	1,894	---	---	1,500	---
TITLE XVI, WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAM.....	1,650	---	---	1,650	---
UNITED STATES/MEXICO BORDER ISSUES - TECHNICAL SUPPORT	70	---	---	70	---
WATER MANAGEMENT & CONSERVATION PROGRAM.....	7,507	---	---	7,507	---
WETLANDS DEVELOPMENT.....	3,836	---	---	3,836	---
UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION BASED ON ANTICIPATED DELAYS...	-33,840	---	---	-47,763	---
=====					
TOTAL, WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES.....	343,299	304,698	---	456,708	305,823
=====					

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
LOAN PROGRAM				
CALIFORNIA				
CASTROVILLE IRRIGATION WATER SUPPLY PROJECT.....	1,239	---	1,239	---
SALINAS VALLEY WATER RECLAMATION.....	401	---	401	---
SAN SEVAINE CREEK WATER PROJECT.....	5,575	---	5,575	---
VARIOUS				
LOAN ADMINISTRATION.....	280	---	280	---
TOTAL, LOAN PROGRAM.....	7,495	---	7,495	---

## TITLE III

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Department of Energy. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

## PROJECT MANAGEMENT

The conferees strongly support efforts of the Office of Engineering and Construction Management (OECM) to improve the Department's construction and project management. The Department has announced plans to merge the Office of the Chief Financial Officer (the current location of OECM) with the Office of Management and Administration to form a new Office of Management, Budget and Evaluation. The Committees on Appropriations have been assured that this change will broaden the duties, scope, responsibilities, and authorities of OECM. The conferees understand that the Department intends to enable OECM to more effectively bring needed culture changes to its project management community.

Congress supported creation of OECM as a final attempt to correct the Department's weaknesses in project management. The conferees expect OECM to be fully funded to support enhanced systems development and deployment, training, process improvements, and accountability. The conferees acknowledge that the expanded mission of this office encompasses project closure, facilities, and infrastructure management activities and urge the Secretary to give priority to retaining within the Department the technical skills needed for federal project and real property management. The conferees recommend that, at each site, the Secretary designate a management office to coordinate project and real property management improvements with this headquarters office.

The conferees also expect the National Research Council to continue to monitor the Department's efforts in project management.

## FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

The conferees have provided funding in several programs for facilities and infrastructure improvement projects to allow the Department to begin to correct its worst deferred maintenance deficiencies and eliminate excess facilities. The conferees make this initial investment in critical infrastructure so the Department can begin to institute life-cycle asset management improvement processes throughout its complex and expect that at least 25 percent of the funds provided will be spent to eliminate excess facilities.

The conferees direct each site (not slated for closure) to prepare a ten-year site plan prescribing space utilization activities that stabilize, then reduce its baseline for maintenance costs by: (1) consolidating operations where practicable; (2) eliminating excess buildings; (3) employing cost efficiencies; and (4) addressing mission-critical requirements through an appropriate mix of renovations and new construction.

Beginning in fiscal year 2003, to ensure sustained improvement in project and real property management, the conferees direct the Department to present an integrated facilities and infrastructure budget request. This budget should identify program maintenance projects for buildings and facilities by site. To the extent that indirect funding supports maintenance, the budget should also report, by site, expenditures in the previous year and estimate the percentage to be applied in fiscal year 2003. The conferees expect the Department to retain up-to-date corporate-level management information on the condition of its buildings and facilities and annual expenditures on maintenance for its complex.

For new construction projects requested in fiscal year 2003, the conferees expect the budget to show the square footage of each new project, and request funding for elimination by transfer, sale, or demolition of excess buildings and facilities of equivalent size. This excess reduction to new construction formula does not apply to environmental management closure sites. The conferees expect the fiscal year 2003 budget to contain funds to eliminate excess facilities based on the greatest impact on long-term costs and risks. The Department should apply this requirement to each site. Only if deemed impracticable due to critical mission requirements, through a case-by-case waiver approved by the Secretary through the Chief Financial Officer, should the requirement be met through the reduction of excess facilities at another site. The Department will collect information from all sites on the square footage of excess property sold, transferred, or demolished each year and submit a report 45 days after the President's budget is presented to Congress.

The conferees expect the Chief Financial Officer to issue such directives as are necessary to ensure that: each site prepares a ten-year site plan; annual property reports reflect accurately the Department's entire real property inventory, including the current status of maintenance and disposition of excess property at each site; program budgets request funding for elimination of excess facilities by square footage proportional to new facilities requested; and project and real property offices in the field adhere to corporate guidelines for managing new projects, closeouts, and maintenance of all facilities.

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY STAFFING

The conferees share the concerns raised by the House that the new National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) structure may have had the unintended consequence of unnecessarily increasing the Department's overall personnel costs, particularly at the headquarters, from a Department-wide perspective. The conferees further agree that the Secretary of Energy should submit a report to the Appropriations and Armed Services Committees of Congress concerning staffing increases arising from the creation of NNSA, as the House intended, as well as the "before and after" staffing levels of each office and activity affected by the reorganization. However, the report should also address the broader administrative support staffing concerns below and potential staffing reductions to NNSA or other DOE offices if administrative support functions could be staffed more efficiently. The Secretary shall submit the report by January 31, 2002.

With the new NNSA organization now in place, this affords a good opportunity for the Secretary of Energy and the Congress to take a fresh look at the management, effectiveness, and cost-effectiveness of the Department of Energy's administrative support functions at both the headquarters and field levels. Support functions include personnel, finance, contracting, facilities management, vehicle management, logistics, information management, public affairs, and congressional affairs.

The conferees note that other organizations in the Department of Energy, such as the Inspector General and Naval Reactors, independently perform some of their own administrative support functions such as congressional affairs. The Inspector General of the Department of Energy has interpreted its charter under the Inspector Generals Act, particularly in regards to its perceived need to conduct its own congressional affairs, differently than any of the military services which, for example, use "corporate" congressional affairs offices to interface between the Congress and all sub-elements of headquarters organizations including agency inspector generals.

Fragmentation of administrative support functions may also dilute the ability of the Secretary of Energy to manage the Department to meet Departmental strategic goals such as improved financial and contract management. To the extent that the Department invests in unnecessary administrative support costs in a fixed or limited growth budget environment, resources are diverted from higher-priority mission areas.

In submitting the plan on the staffing effects of the NNSA legislation and subsequent implementation, the conferees encourage the Secretary to focus on ensuring that the Department of Energy has the optimal administrative support structure to maximize mission effectiveness and minimize administrative support costs. As stated in the House report, the conferees encourage the Secretary to submit legislative proposals where appropriate to meet this objective.

#### ALTERNATIVE FINANCING APPROACHES

The Secretary of Energy is directed to conduct a study of alternative financing approaches, to include third-party-type methods, for infrastructure and facility construction projects across the Department. This study is due to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by March 30, 2002.

#### EXTERNAL REGULATION

The Department is directed to prepare an implementation plan for the transition to external regulation at the Department's non-defense science laboratories. For the purpose of preparing this plan, the Department should assume that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) would take over regulatory responsibility for nuclear safety at the Department's non-defense science laboratories, and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) would take over regulatory responsibility for worker safety at these laboratories. The conferees expect the Department to coordinate with NRC and OSHA, and to build upon the previous external regulation pilot programs, in developing this plan. For plan-

ning purposes, external regulation would apply to the five multi-program and five single-purpose laboratories under the Office of Science, and the Department should assume external regulation to become effective beginning in fiscal year 2004. The implementation plan for external regulation is not to address nuclear weapons facilities, environmental remediation sites, or other Department laboratories, facilities, and sites. The implementation plan should address all details necessary to implement external regulation, including an estimate of the additional resources needed by the NRC and OSHA, corresponding reductions in funding and staffing at the Department, specific facilities or classes of facilities for which external regulation cannot be implemented in a timely manner, necessary changes to existing management and operating contracts, and changes in statutory language necessary to effect the transition to external regulation. This plan is due to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by May 31, 2002. Note that this provision only requires the Department to produce an implementation plan for external regulation for a limited set of DOE facilities; the actual transition to external regulation for those facilities will require additional legislative direction.

#### REPROGRAMMINGS

The conference agreement does not provide the Department of Energy with any internal reprogramming flexibility in fiscal year 2002 unless specifically identified by the House, Senate, or conference agreement. Any reallocation of new or prior year budget authority or prior year deobligations must be submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations in advance, in writing, and may not be implemented prior to approval by the Committees.

#### LABORATORY DIRECTED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The conference agreement does not include bill language proposed by either the House or the Senate regarding the Laboratory Directed Research and Development (LDRD) program. The conferees recognize the benefits of LDRD and expect LDRD activities to continue at previously authorized levels. However, when accepting funds from another federal agency that will be used for LDRD activities, the Department of Energy shall notify that agency in writing how much will be used for LDRD activities. In addition, the conferees direct the Secretary of Energy to include in the annual report to Congress on all LDRD activities an affirmation that all LDRD activities derived from funds of other agencies have been conducted in a manner that supports science and technology development that benefits the programs of the sponsoring agencies and is consistent with the Appropriations Acts that provided funds to those agencies.

#### ADDITIONAL DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY REQUIREMENTS

The conferees agree with the House report language and support the reporting requirements for basic research for energy technologies, independent centers, augmenting Federal staff, budget justification requirements, sale of land, and reprogramming guidelines.

REDUCTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMMODATE SPECIFIC PROGRAM  
DIRECTIONS

The Department is directed to provide a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by January 15, 2002, on the actual application of any general reductions of funding or use of prior year balances contained in the conference agreement. In general, such reductions should not be applied disproportionately against any program, project, or activity. However, the conferees are aware there may be instances where proportional reductions would adversely impact critical programs and other allocations may be necessary.

ENERGY SUPPLY

The conference agreement provides \$666,726,000 for Energy Supply instead of \$639,317,000 as proposed by the House and \$736,139,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include bill language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for certain purposes.

RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES

The conference agreement provides \$396,000,000 instead of \$376,817,000 as proposed by the House and \$435,600,000 as proposed by the Senate for renewable energy resources. The conference agreement does not include language specifying funding allocations as contained in the separate House and Senate reports.

*Biomass/biofuels.*—The conference agreement includes \$93,000,000 for biomass/biofuels. The conferees have combined the subprograms for power systems and transportation into a single program for biomass/biofuels and no longer provide separate allocations for power systems and transportation.

The conference agreement includes \$2,500,000 to support a cost-shared Agricultural Waste Methane Power Generation Facility in California; \$2,000,000 to support a cost-shared agricultural mixed waste biorefinery in Alabama using the thermal depolymerization technology; \$1,500,000 to support the Black Belt Bioenergy Demonstration Project in Alabama; \$1,000,000 for micro-combustion research at Oak Ridge National Laboratory in collaboration with the technology's inventor; \$2,000,000 for the Biorenewable Resource Consortium; \$3,000,000 for the Iroquois Bio-Energy Cooperative project in Indiana; \$3,000,000 for the Gridley Rice Straw project in California; and \$1,000,000 for the switchgrass project of the Great Plains Institute for Sustainable Development in Minnesota.

The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 for the Iowa switchgrass project; \$1,000,000 for the Consortium for Plant Biotechnology Research; \$3,000,000 for the McNeil biomass plant in Burlington, Vermont, and \$750,000 for the methane energy and agriculture development project in Tillamook Bay, Oregon. The conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for the continuation and expansion of the ongoing demonstration of the oxygenated diesel fuel particulate matter emission reduction project in Clark County, Nevada, the cities of Riverside, Compton, Linwood, and Pasadena, California, and Ventura County, California; \$2,000,000 for the

Michigan Biotechnology Initiative; \$3,000,000 for the Prime LLC of South Dakota integrated ethanol complex, including an ethanol unit, waste treatment system, and enclosed cattle feed lot; \$300,000 for the Biomass Energy Resource Center project in Vermont; \$2,000,000 to continue the Sealaska ethanol project (subject to a non-Federal match) at the fiscal year 2001 level; \$3,000,000 for the Biomass Gasification Research Center in Birmingham, Alabama; and \$3,000,000 for the Winona, Mississippi, biomass project, where the current investment in the plant shall count as the required demonstration project cost share. The conferees direct the Department to continue funding for the Energy and Environment Research Center at last year's level. The conferees encourage the Department to continue the integrated approach to bioenergy activities and recommend the use of up to \$18,000,000 within available funds for the Integrated Biomass Research and Development Program. The conferees urge the Department to form strong public-private-university partnerships in this program.

*Geothermal.*—The conference agreement includes \$29,000,000 for geothermal activities. The conference agreement includes sufficient funding to maintain university research on geothermal technologies at the fiscal year 2001 funding level of \$2,600,000. The conference agreement also includes \$2,000,000 in final funding for the Lake County Basin geothermal project in Lake County, California; \$2,000,000 for the Santa Rosa geysers project in California; \$2,500,000 for Geopowering the West; and \$1,000,000 for the UNR Geothermal Energy Center demonstration project.

*Hydrogen.*—The conference agreement includes \$31,000,000 for hydrogen activities. The conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for the Fuel Cell Technology Assessment and Demonstration at the University of Alabama at Birmingham; \$350,000 for the Big Sky Economic Development Authority demonstration fuel cell technologies; \$500,000 for the gasification of Iowa switchgrass and its use in fuel cells; \$1,500,000 for the ITM Syngas project; \$1,500,000 for the fuel cell installation project at Gallatin County, Montana; and \$1,000,000 for continued demonstration of the hydrogen locomotive and front-end loader projects.

*Hydropower.*—The conference agreement includes \$5,300,000 for hydropower. The conference agreement includes \$400,000 to plan a hydroelectric power generation facility at Gustavus, Alaska, subject to a local match for construction; and \$1,900,000 for the completion of the Power Creek hydroelectric project in Alaska. No additional funds will be made available for this project.

*Solar Energy.*—The conference agreement includes \$95,000,000 for solar energy programs. The conferees have combined the concentrating solar power, photovoltaic energy systems, and solar building technology subprograms into a single program for solar energy. The conferees urge the Department to fund these subprograms in roughly the same proportions as they were funded in fiscal year 2001.

The conference agreement includes \$8,700,000 for basic research/university programs on photovoltaics; \$18,500,000 to continue the thin film partnership program; \$3,000,000 for continuation of the Million Solar Roofs program; \$2,000,000 for the South-



east and Southwest photovoltaic experiment stations; and \$3,000,000 for the Navajo electrification project. The Department is directed to continue with deployment of the 1.0 MW dish engine and to continue activities associated with the 25kW dish system. Additionally, the conferees direct the Department to develop and scope out an initiative to fulfill the goal of having 1,000 MW of new parabolic trough, power tower, and dish engine solar capacity supplying the southwestern United States by the year 2006. A report on this initiative is due to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by March 1, 2002.

The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 for technical analysis, technical assistance, and the harmonization of multi-program activities that address the resource opportunities and electric power needs of the southwestern United States. The expertise of the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) is to be made available through a site office in Nevada. NREL will provide expertise through a virtual laboratory concept, serving as a portal for electronic communications, information sharing, data warehousing, and partnerships among universities, researchers, technology developers, and those interested in deployment.

*Wind.*—The conference agreement includes \$41,000,000 for wind programs. The conferees have provided \$500,000 for the remote location pilot project at the Toledo Harbor Lighthouse; \$1,000,000 for the Washington Electric Cooperative wind energy generating facility in Vermont; \$500,000 for the Turtle Mountain Community College project in North Dakota; \$1,000,000 for the Kotzebue project in Alaska; \$250,000 for a wind generation facility to serve St. Paul and Unalaska, Alaska; and \$500,000 for the small wind program being developed by the Vermont Department of Public Service. The Wind Powering America initiative is to be continued at last year's funding level.

*Electric energy systems and storage.*—The conference agreement includes \$63,000,000 for electric energy systems and storage. The conferees have combined the subprograms for high temperature superconducting research and development, energy storage systems, and transmission reliability into a single program for electric energy systems and storage.

The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 to initiate field testing of aluminum ceramic fiber composite conductors; \$1,000,000 for the fuel cell powered home using the Smart Energy Management Control System in Alabama; \$2,000,000 for the UADispatch Outage Management System in Alabama; \$3,000,000 for distributed generation demonstration projects in Indiana, focusing on the problems of interconnection, grid impact, and remote dispatch; \$1,000,000 to initiate development of a bipolar nickel metal hydride battery storage system; \$2,000,000 for Glenallen power generation upgrades, including extension of electricity to residents of Lake Louise; \$2,000,000 for the Kachemak Bay Power System to extend and upgrade marine power cabling to provide power to the villages of Seldovia, Nanwalek, and Port Graham, Alaska; \$3,000,000 for the Swan Lake-Lake Tyee electrical intertie pursuant to the Southeast Alaska intertie authorization enacted into law last year; and \$3,000,000 to complete the Prince of Wales Island electrical intertie. The conferees note that \$20,000,000 has been provided in

State and local funds and this Federal amount represents the final installment needed to complete the project. The conference agreement also includes \$3,000,000, within available funds, for NREL for research, development, and demonstration of advanced thermal energy storage technology integrated with renewable thermal energy technology. The conferees provide \$500,000 to support the joint effort between New Mexico Tech and the Natural Energy Laboratory of Hawaii to integrate, demonstrate, and deploy distributed energy systems.

The conference agreement also includes the budget request for the proposed work between industrial consortia and national laboratories to develop high-performance, low-cost, second-generation, high temperature super-conducting wire.

*Renewable Support and Implementation.*—The conference agreement includes \$14,500,000 for renewable support and implementation programs.

The conference agreement provides \$1,500,000 for departmental energy management.

The conference agreement includes \$3,000,000 for the international renewable energy program. Of this amount, \$1,000,000 is to be provided to International Utility Efficiency Partnerships, Inc., for continuation of joint implementation project development. The conferees expect the Department to work with the Department of Commerce, the U.S. Agency for International Development, and other relevant agencies, to complete, and begin implementation of, a five-year strategic plan to open and expand export markets for U.S. clean energy technologies. The conferees urge the Administration to include adequate funding for this initiative in its Fiscal Year 2003 budget submission.

The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 for the renewable energy production incentive program.

The conference agreement includes \$3,000,000 for renewable Indian energy resources. The conferees expect these funds to be administered as competitively awarded grants to federally-recognized tribes throughout the United States.

The conference agreement includes \$3,000,000 for renewable program support, of which \$1,500,000 is to support the National Alliance for Clean Energy Incubators.

*National Renewable Energy Laboratory.*—The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000 for the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), the same as the budget request.

*Program direction.*—The conference agreement includes \$19,200,000 for program direction, the same as the budget request.

#### NUCLEAR ENERGY

The conference agreement provides \$250,456,000 for nuclear energy activities instead of \$224,130,000 as proposed by the House and \$264,069,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include language specifying funding allocations as contained in the separate House and Senate reports. Within the funds available, the conferees include \$400,000 for the Secretary to contract with the nation's sole remaining uranium converter for the purpose of performing research and development to improve the en-

vironmental and economic performance of U.S. uranium conversion operations.

*Advanced radioisotope power systems.*—The conference agreement includes \$29,000,000 to maintain the infrastructure necessary to support future national security needs and National Aeronautics and Space Administration missions.

*Isotope support.*—The conference agreement includes a total program level of \$26,177,000 for the isotope program. This amount is reduced by offsetting collections of \$9,000,000 to be received in fiscal year 2002, resulting in a net appropriation of \$17,177,000. The conference agreement includes \$2,494,000 for the Isotope Production Facility at the Los Alamos National Laboratory.

The conferees encourage the Department to continue to explore the concept of extracting medically valuable isotopes from the excess uranium 233 stored in Building 3019 at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee. Within available funds, the Department is urged to proceed with a Request for Proposals (RFP) for this project after submission to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations of a budget-quality project plan which presents all costs, including the estimated life-cycle costs for storage and disposal of the excess uranium 233, and is crafted in a manner that would not increase the total costs for decontamination and decommissioning of Building 3019. The Department is reminded to consider the end use of the U233-derived material for clinical trials when preparing the RFP and evaluating proposals for this project, and may require the contractor to be capable of meeting the Good Manufacturing Practice requirements of the Food and Drug Administration with respect to the production of actinium 225.

*University reactor fuel assistance and support.*—The conference agreement includes \$17,500,000, \$5,526,000 more than the budget request. The conferees direct the Department to use the additional resources to begin implementing the recommendations contained in the April 2001 Final Report of the University Research Reactor Task Force of the Nuclear Energy Research Advisory Committee (NERAC), specifically, to establish geographically distributed regional university research reactor user facilities and geographically distributed training and education reactor facilities. The Department is expected to use a peer-reviewed process in selecting which facilities will receive Department support, and to involve fully the nuclear engineering and nuclear medicine communities in this process. The Department is directed to report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by May 31, 2002, on its plan to implement the NERAC Task Force recommendations. The program should also include substantial financial support from the nuclear industry.

*Research and development.*—The conference agreement provides \$51,000,000 for nuclear energy research and development activities.

The conference agreement includes \$7,000,000, \$2,500,000 more than the budget request, for nuclear energy plant optimization. The conferees direct the Department to ensure that projects are funded jointly with non-Federal partners and that the total non-Federal contributions are equal to or in excess of total Department contributions to projects funded in this program.

The conferees have provided \$32,000,000 for the Nuclear Energy Research Initiative (NERI).

The conference agreement includes a total of \$12,000,000 for nuclear energy technologies, an increase of \$7,500,000 over the budget request. The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 for completion of the Generation IV Technology Roadmap; and \$3,000,000 for advanced reactor development consistent with the longer term recommendations of the Generation IV Technology Roadmap and to continue research begun in the current fiscal year on small, modular nuclear reactors. The conferees encourage the Department to implement the recommendations of the Nuclear Energy Research Advisory Committee's Near-Term Deployment Group to support industry applications to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for Early Site Permits, Combined Operating Licenses, and Design Certifications. The conference agreement provides \$3,000,000 to share with industry the cost of these new NRC licensing processes. The conference agreement also provides \$2,000,000 for fuel testing, code verification and validation, and materials testing at national laboratories in support of license applications for new reactor designs.

*Infrastructure.*—The conference agreement provides a total of \$82,529,000. The conference agreement provides \$35,357,000 for ANL-West Operations, which includes \$2,000,000 for the advanced test reactor research and development upgrade initiative. The conference agreement also provides \$8,733,000 for Test Reactor Area landlord activities. Funds provided by the Senate to initiate conceptual design for a remote-handled transuranic waste facility at ANL-West have been transferred to the environmental management program.

The conference agreement provides the budget request of \$38,439,000 for the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF). No funds may be obligated for any purpose other than deactivation at FFTF until 90 days after receipt of the Secretary's recommendations for alternative actions at FFTF and the approval of those recommended alternative actions by the House and Senate Committee on Appropriations.

*Nuclear facilities management.*—The conference agreement provides \$30,250,000 as proposed by the House. This amount includes \$4,200,000 for the EBR-II shutdown, \$16,200,000 for the disposition of spent nuclear fuel and legacy materials, and \$9,850,000 for disposition technology activities.

*Program direction.*—The conference agreement includes \$23,000,000 for program direction, a reduction of \$2,062,000 from the budget request.

#### ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH

The conference agreement includes \$30,500,000 for non-defense environment, safety and health activities, which includes \$19,527,000 for program direction. When combined with \$117,688,000 provided for defense environment, safety and health activities, the conference agreement makes a total of \$148,188,000 available for environment, safety and health activities, a reduction of \$1,912,000 from the total budget request for these activities. This funding reduction does not reflect any reduction in the De-

partment's environment, safety, and health responsibilities, nor in the conferees' expectation that the Department will fulfill those responsibilities in a thorough and professional manner. However, the conferees do expect the Department to take steps to reduce its current headquarters staffing levels and reduce its reliance on support contractors to execute its responsibilities. The conference agreement includes \$600,000 to be transferred to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration for worker health and safety at those sites transferred to non-Federal entities and for the Department's non-nuclear facilities not covered under the Atomic Energy Act.

#### TECHNICAL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

The conference agreement provides \$7,770,000, including \$1,400,000 for the Technical Information Management program and \$6,370,000 for program direction.

#### FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

The conference agreement includes a general reduction of \$18,000,000.

#### NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement provides \$236,372,000 for Non-Defense Environmental Management instead of \$227,872,000 as proposed by the House and \$228,553,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$43,000,000 for site closure and \$64,119,000 for site/project completion activities, the same as the budget request. The conferees encourage the Department to accelerate cleanup along the Columbia River in Hanford's 300 Area.

*Post 2006 completion.*—The conference agreement includes \$125,753,000 for Post 2006 completion activities, an increase of \$5,700,000 over the budget request. Additional funding of \$3,700,000 is provided to maintain the cleanup activities at the Energy Technology Engineering Center in California. The conference agreement includes \$2,000,000 for stabilization activities at the Atlas uranium mill tailings site in Utah as proposed by the House.

*West Valley.*—The conference agreement provides a total of \$90,000,000 for the West Valley Demonstration Site in New York. However, the conferees remain concerned about the lack of agreement between the Department and the State of New York regarding the scope of Federal cleanup activities at the site and the respective Federal and State cost shares for those activities. While the recent resumption of negotiations is encouraging, the lack of agreement remains, as the General Accounting Office noted, the most significant impediment to completing cleanup of this site.

The conference agreement provides \$90,000,000 for cleanup activities at the West Valley Demonstration Project in fiscal year 2002. Funding in subsequent fiscal years shall be reduced to the minimum necessary to maintain the project in a safe and stable condition, unless, not later than September 30, 2002, the Secretary provides written notification to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations that an agreement has been reached with the State of New York defining the final scope of Federal cleanup activities at the West Valley site and the respective Federal and

State cost shares for those cleanup activities; submits that proposed agreement to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations; and provides a written certification that the Federal activities proposed in that agreement will be in full compliance with all relevant Federal statutes, including the West Valley Demonstration Project Act of 1980 and the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, as amended, and are in the best interest of the Federal government. The Committees do not require the Secretary to submit a fully executed final agreement, but rather a draft agreement sufficiently complete to demonstrate that all principal issues in dispute have been resolved.

*Excess facilities.*—The conference agreement provides \$3,500,000, an increase of \$2,119,000 over the budget request, for excess facilities to begin actual decontamination and decommissioning of excess facilities owned by the environmental management program.

#### URANIUM FACILITIES MAINTENANCE AND REMEDIATION

The conference agreement provides \$418,425,000 for uranium activities instead of \$393,425,000 as proposed by the House and \$408,725,000 as proposed by the Senate.

*Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund.*—The conference agreement includes \$299,641,000 for the uranium enrichment decontamination and decommissioning (D&D) fund. Additional funding of \$27,000,000 is provided for continued cleanup at Paducah, Kentucky, and \$30,000,000 is provided for continued cleanup at the East Tennessee Technology Park in Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

The conference agreement does not include funding recommended in this account by the Senate for uranium conversion activities. This issue is addressed in the Energy Supply appropriation account.

*Other Uranium Activities.*—The conference agreement provides \$123,784,000 for other uranium activities. The conferees have included the budget request of \$110,784,000 for operating expenses associated with the maintenance of facilities and inventories and pre-existing liabilities and consolidated the funding for these activities into one program.

The conference agreement provides the budget request of \$10,000,000 for Project 02-U-101, Depleted Uranium Hexafluoride Conversion Project, in Paducah, Kentucky, and Portsmouth, Ohio, and transfers this project from the uranium enrichment D&D program to other uranium activities.

The conference agreement also provides \$3,000,000 as proposed by the Senate to continue Project 96-U-201, DUF6 Cylinder Storage Yard, at Paducah, Kentucky.

*Funding adjustment.*—The conference agreement includes the use of \$5,000,000 of prior year unobligated and uncosted balances.

#### SCIENCE

The conference agreement provides \$3,233,100,000 instead of \$3,166,395,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,268,816,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include

language specifying funding allocations as contained in the separate House and Senate reports. The conference agreement does not include bill language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for specific purposes.

*High energy physics.*—The conference agreement provides \$716,100,000 for high energy physics, the same as the budget request. The conferees encourage strong support for university research and for research on low temperature superconductors to support high energy physics requirements. General Purpose Equipment and General Plant Projects should be funded for Office of Science laboratories at fiscal year 2001 levels. Funds provided by the Senate for a demonstration of the mass of the neutrino at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant have been transferred to the environmental management program.

*Nuclear physics.*—The conference agreement provides \$360,510,000 for nuclear physics, the same as the budget request. The conferees urge the Department to use these funds to enhance operation of the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) at the Brookhaven National Laboratory and the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility in Virginia.

*Biological and environmental research.*—The conference agreement includes \$527,405,000 for biological and environmental research. The conferees have included \$11,405,000 to complete the construction of the Laboratory for Comparative Functional Genomics at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. The conference amount includes a total of \$18,000,000 for the low dose effects program; \$3,500,000 in additional funding for computer upgrades and capital equipment costs at the Environmental Molecular Science Laboratory; and includes funding to continue the free air carbon dioxide experiments at the fiscal year 2001 level.

The conference agreement includes \$2,600,000 for the positron emission tomography center at the University of South Alabama; \$4,000,000 for the Gulf Coast Cancer Center and Research Institute; \$2,000,000 for the University of Alabama at Birmingham center for nuclear magnetic resonance imaging; \$1,000,000 for University of South Alabama research, in cooperation with industry and the Cooperative Research Network of the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association, on a fuel cell powered home using the Smart Energy Management Control System; \$1,650,000 for the new library and regional resource learning center at Spring Hill College; \$100,000 for the South Alabama Medical Education Outreach Program; \$2,250,000 for the University of Florida Genetics Institute; \$2,700,000 for a new linear accelerator for the Baystate Medical Center; \$1,200,000 for the Cancer Institute of New Jersey; \$1,000,000 for the Institute for Molecular and Biomedical Science at the University of Arizona; \$1,000,000 for the Stanley Scott Cancer Center at Louisiana State University; \$1,000,000 for the Infotonics Center of Excellence in Rochester, New York; \$500,000 for the Joint Collaboration on Advanced Nanotechnology and Sensors with the University of New Orleans, Louisiana State University, and Louisiana Tech; \$500,000 for the Breast Cancer Program at the North Shore—Long Island Jewish Health System; \$500,000 for a functional magnetic resonance imaging machine at the University of Texas at Dallas and the University of Texas South-

western Medical Center's Center for Brain, Cognition, and Behavior; \$500,000 for the Integrated Environmental Research and Services program at Alabama A&M University; and \$500,000 for the energy efficiency initiative at the Carolinas Health Care System.

The conference agreement includes \$3,000,000 for the Multidisciplinary Research Facility at the College of Engineering, University of Notre Dame; \$500,000 for a linear accelerator for the Burbank Regional Cancer Center in Fitchburg, Massachusetts; \$500,000 for Hampshire College's National Center for Science Education; \$1,000,000 for the Audubon Biomedical Science and Technology Park at Columbia University; \$1,000,000 for the McFadden Science Center at Texas Wesleyan University; \$1,000,000 for the emergency power supply system at Cedars-Sinai Medical Center; \$1,000,000 for the Rush-Presbyterian-St.Luke's Medical Center; \$1,000,000 for a nanoscience facility at Purdue University; \$1,000,000 for the Julie and Ben Rogers Cancer Institute; \$1,000,000 for the School of Public Health at the University of South Carolina; \$1,000,000 for the continued development of the Life Sciences Building at Brown University; \$1,000,000 for environmental modeling at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill; \$1,000,000 to support renovation of the Science, Technology, and Engineering Research Complex at Jackson State University; and \$1,000,000 for the PowerGrid simulator at Drexel University and the New Jersey Institute of Technology.

The conference agreement includes \$7,000,000 for the positron emission tomography facility at West Virginia University; \$2,000,000 for a linear accelerator for the University Medical Center of Southern Nevada; \$250,000 for the research foundation of the University of Nevada-Las Vegas; \$200,000 for the University of Nevada-Las Vegas to continue study of the biological effects of exposure to low-level radioactivity; \$500,000 for a biomolecular nuclear magnetic resonance instrument at the Medical University of South Carolina; \$1,000,000 for the Oncology Center of the Medical University of South Carolina; \$3,000,000 for the National Center of Excellence in Photonics and Microsystems in New York; \$500,000 for the Institute of Comparative Genomics at the American Museum of Natural History; \$750,000 for the Inland Northwest Natural Resources Research Center at Gonzaga University; \$500,000 for the Hall of Paleontology at the Field Museum; \$500,000 for the Center for Catalysis at Iowa State University; \$1,000,000 for the Human Genome Project at the University of Southern California; \$500,000 for biomedical research at Creighton University; \$500,000 for the Child Health Institute of New Brunswick, New Jersey; \$500,000 for the Oregon Renewable Energy Center; \$1,000,000 for superconductor research at Boston College; \$500,000 for the Natural Energy Laboratory in Hawaii; and \$800,000 for the Rochester Institute of Technology microelectronics technology program.

The conference agreement includes \$11,000,000 for operations and capital investment at the Mental Illness and Neuroscience Discovery Institute; and \$2,000,000 for the University of Missouri-Columbia to expand the federal investment in the university's nuclear medicine and cancer research capital program.

*Basic energy sciences.*—The conference agreement includes \$1,003,705,000 for basic energy sciences. The conference agreement



includes the full amount of the budget request for the Spallation Neutron Source and the SPEAR 3 upgrade at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory. The conferees have included \$3,000,000 to initiate project engineering and design (PED) for three user facilities for nanoscale science research (Project 02-SC-002), and the budget request of \$7,685,000 for the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCoR). For purposes of reprogramming in fiscal year 2002, the Department may reallocate funding among all operating accounts within Basic Energy Sciences.

*Advanced scientific computing research.*—The conference agreement includes \$158,050,000 for advanced scientific computing research (ASCR). The conferees support the use of available funds for the Scientific Discovery Through Advanced Computing (SciDAC) program and for terascale operating systems development. The conferees urge the Department to maximize the involvement of universities in the ASCR program, so that both the Department and the academic community can share in the latest technology developments in this field.

*Energy research analyses.*—The conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for energy research analyses, the same amount provided by the House and the Senate.

*Multiprogram energy labs—facility support.*—The conference agreement includes \$30,175,000 for multi-program energy labs-facility support, the same as the budget request.

*Fusion energy sciences.*—The conference agreement includes \$248,495,000, as proposed by both the House and Senate, for fusion energy sciences.

*Facilities and infrastructure.*—The conference agreement includes \$10,000,000 for a new Facilities and Infrastructure program, as proposed by the House, to address infrastructure needs at the Department's science laboratories.

*Safeguards and security.*—The conference agreement includes \$55,412,000 for safeguards and security activities at laboratories and facilities managed by the Office of Science.

*Program Direction.*—The conference agreement includes \$139,960,000 for program direction. This amount includes \$63,000,000 for field offices, \$72,500,000 for headquarters, and \$4,460,000 for science education. The control level for fiscal year 2002 is at the program account level of program direction.

*Funding adjustments.*—A general reduction of \$12,800,000 has been applied to this account, as well as the security charge for reimbursable work of \$4,912,000 included in the budget request.

#### NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement provides \$95,000,000 for Nuclear Waste Disposal, instead of \$133,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$25,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. When combined with the \$280,000,000 appropriated from the Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal account, a total of \$375,000,000 will be available for program activities in fiscal year 2002. The conference agreement includes not to exceed \$2,500,000 for the State of Nevada and \$6,000,000 for affected units of local government.

The conferees direct the Department to focus all available resources on completing a quality Site Recommendation report, and the accompanying final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), in a timely manner. The final Site Recommendation and final EIS were due in July 2001, and the conferees expect that these will be delivered to Congress no later than February 28, 2002. The conferees acknowledge that certain scientific and engineering work is directly related to the Site Recommendation and to resolving the technical concerns of the NRC and the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, and that such work should not automatically terminate upon submission of the Site Recommendation. However, if the Site Recommendation is negative, the conferees expect the Department to terminate promptly all such activities and take the steps necessary to remediate the site.

## DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$210,853,000 for Departmental Administration expenses instead of \$209,611,000 as proposed by the House and \$208,948,000 as proposed by the Senate. Funding adjustments include a transfer of \$22,000,000 from Other Defense Activities and the use of \$10,000,000 of prior year balances. Revenues of \$137,810,000 are estimated to be received in fiscal year 2002, resulting in a net appropriation of \$73,043,000.

The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the House allowing the Department to transfer funds previously appropriated for Year 2000 (Y2K) activities to this account. The Y2K funds expired on September 30, 2001.

Specific funding levels for each Departmental organization are provided in the accompanying table.

*Office of Management, Budget and Evaluation.*—The conference agreement provides \$107,000,000 for the Office of Management, Budget and Evaluation. This is a new organization created by merging the Office of Management and Administration with the Office of the Chief Financial Officer (including the Office of Engineering and Construction Management). This reorganization is expected to improve program and project management by bringing together acquisitions, performance appraisals, and funding decisions.

The conferees expect the Department to increase the current staffing levels and fully fund the program activities of the Office of Engineering and Construction Management.

*Corporate Management Information Program.*—The conferees have provided a total of \$15,000,000 for the Department's Corporate Management Information Program in two accounts: \$5,000,000 in Departmental Administration and \$10,000,000 in Other Defense Activities. The Department had requested a total of \$20,000,000 in the Other Defense Activities account.

*Reprogramming guidelines.*—The conference agreement provides reprogramming authority of \$1,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less, within the Departmental Administration account without submission of a reprogramming to be approved by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. No individual program account may be increased or decreased by more than this amount

during the fiscal year using this reprogramming authority. Congressional notification within 30 days of the use of this reprogramming authority is required. Transfers which would result in increases or decreases in excess of \$1,000,000 or 10 percent to an individual program account require prior notification and approval.

#### OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement provides \$32,430,000 for the Inspector General as proposed by the House instead of \$30,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

#### ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

##### NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

The National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), a semi-autonomous agency within the Department of Energy, manages and operates the Nation's nuclear weapons, nuclear nonproliferation, and naval reactors activities.

*Nuclear posture review.*—The conferees have provided a significant increase above the President's budget request and above the House bill in nuclear weapons activities, to include refurbishment of specific nuclear weapons as well as generic nuclear weapons-related process and infrastructure improvements. The basis for providing these additional funds is informal information provided by the NNSA at the Committees' request, rather than a formal budget request from the Administration. The information largely addresses on-going programs and generic process improvements, and does not identify the need to develop a specific new nuclear weapon in fiscal year 2002. The conferees agree that these investments are vital to ensuring that the NNSA can efficiently support Department of Defense schedules and requirements to maintain the highest levels of performance for our nation's nuclear weapons, while maximizing safety for NNSA employees and contractors performing the stockpile stewardship mission.

The conferees are concerned that NNSA not spend funds early in fiscal year 2002 that turn out to be wasted effort once the Nuclear Posture Review and its implementation by the Administration and the Congress is completed. The conferees are also concerned that the NNSA not spend funds in fiscal year 2002 that presuppose the outcome of the Nuclear Posture Review or thwart the ability of Congress to provide effective and timely oversight. It is the conferees' intent and instruction that the NNSA use the funds in its budget request and the additional funds provided herein for nuclear weapons activities only for generic process and infrastructure improvements and to continue on-going weapon refurbishment activities. NNSA should minimize weapon-unique investments in fiscal year 2002 in those instances where NNSA knows today that there is uncertainty about the long-term viability of the nuclear weapon or its delivery system. The NNSA may not use funds in fiscal year 2002 to initiate new weapons development programs or to initiate new warhead refurbishment programs that have not been formally identified to and approved by the Congress, other than through formal written reprogramming requests to the Armed Services and Appropriations Committees of Congress.

The conferees are concerned in particular about the W-80 warhead refurbishment for air-launched cruise missiles. The Department of Energy has the means to extend the life of the W-80 warhead by tens of years, yet the Department of Defense has yet to budget any funds to extend the life of its air-launched cruise missiles. Even if the life of the W-80 warhead and cruise missile were extended in an integrated and synchronized manner, the question of the desirability of extending the life of the B-52 aircraft fleet (already 40 years old) for a similar extended timeframe would need to be addressed by both the Administration and Congress. Because of the uncertainty surrounding these issues, the conferees designate funding for W-80 warhead life extension in fiscal year 2002 to be of special interest. Use of fiscal year 2002 funds for the unique costs to develop or implement W-80 warhead refurbishment that involve long-term life extension require advance written notification to and approval by the Armed Services and Appropriations Committees of Congress.

*NNSA budget justifications.*—The conferees agree that NNSA budget justification material for major nuclear weapon acquisition programs is currently not sufficient to assure adequate Congressional oversight of these very important programs. NNSA, in conjunction with the Department of Defense, is expected to propose significant investment in strategic weapon systems (to include refurbishments and life extensions) during the next 10 years to meet military requirements once the Administration's Nuclear Posture Review is completed. The Congress will have to examine these proposals in detail and will likely be asked to agree to higher levels of annual spending for these initiatives. It is vital that NNSA articulate the investment costs and benefits of such proposals in a clear and consistent manner.

The conferees direct the Administrator to submit Selected Acquisition Reports (SAR) once a year to the Armed Services and Appropriations Committees of Congress, to accompany the fiscal year 2003 and subsequent President's Budgets. The reports should be similar in content and format to those submitted to Congress by the Department of Defense pursuant to section 2432 of Title 10 of United States Code. The NNSA should identify criteria for designating its major defense acquisition programs, as the Defense Department has done, and then report annually on systems which meet them. The NNSA should also identify criteria for when to start SAR reporting for a given weapon system, and when to end it. SAR systems are generally those which require a significant development cost (hundreds of millions of dollars) or significant acquisition cost (billions of dollars). The conferees anticipate that this reporting requirement will not place an undue burden on the NNSA. If a system is to be refurbished in a block-approach, the SAR report must address information on each and all blocks of the program.

The conferees further direct that the Comptroller General review the NNSA's fiscal year 2003 submission of selected acquisition reports within 90 days of their submission to Congress, and assess whether they adequately and thoroughly identify information equivalent to what the Department of Defense provides Congress in its SAR reports. The conferees also direct the NNSA to include

detailed information in the budget justification documents for its fiscal year 2003 and subsequent President's budget requests to Congress by weapon system. The budget should clearly show the unique and the fully-loaded cost of each weapon activity, to include refurbishments and conceptual study and/or development of new weapons.

*Construction projects.*—The conference agreement includes a significant increase in funding for new and ongoing construction projects and a new program for facilities and infrastructure upgrades. While these increases are necessary to maintain the nuclear weapons complex, the conferees are concerned that these increases will tax the existing project management expertise of the NNSA and its contractors. To ensure that construction project funding is properly executed, the conferees direct the NNSA's Office of Project Management Support to review each of these projects and verify that the conceptual design and at least 35 percent of the detailed design are completed before construction funds are obligated. The NNSA is strongly encouraged to use the expertise resident in the Department's Office of Construction and Engineering Management for this purpose.

*Nuclear Weapons Council Reporting.*—The Armed Services Committees require annual reporting on the activities of the Nuclear Weapons Council, a joint Department of Defense and Energy activity that manages nuclear weapons. This document is a key tool for the Appropriations and Armed Services Committees of Congress to perform effective oversight of our nation's nuclear weapons. The Secretary of Energy submitted the fiscal year 2000 report (dated October 1, 2000) on September 26, 2001. The conferees question the utility of a report (under 20 pages) whose information is about a year old when submitted, and whether the Departments of Energy and Defense take seriously the need to responsibly support Congressional oversight of nuclear weapons on a timely basis. Reports to Congress on a previous fiscal year's activities, to be relevant to the authorization and appropriations process, should be submitted for Committees to use during their hearings in the spring of the following year. Waiting until the end of the fiscal year to submit the information inhibits the hearing process, the authorization process, and the appropriations process as well as depriving Members of Congress charged with an important oversight responsibility from effectively performing their duty due to lack of timely information. The conferees direct the Secretary of Energy to submit future reports by March 1 of each year.

#### WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement provides \$5,429,238,000 for Weapons Activities instead of \$5,123,888,000 as proposed by the House and \$6,062,891,000 as proposed by the Senate. The Administration's budget request for Weapons Activities was \$5,300,025,000 which included \$271,137,000 for program direction activities. The conference recommendation transfers all program direction funding to the Office of the NNSA Administrator account which has the effect of reducing the fiscal year 2002 budget request for Weapons Activities to \$5,028,888,000. Thus, the conference recommendation

is \$400,850,000 over the budget request for nuclear weapons programmatic activities.

Statutory language proposed by the Senate to earmark funds for technology partnerships and community reuse organizations has not been included. The conferees direct the NNSA to fully utilize technology partnerships supportive of its missions, including the support of small business interactions including technology clusters around the laboratories.

*Reprogramming.*—The conference agreement provides limited reprogramming authority within the Weapons Activities account without submission of a reprogramming to be approved in advance by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. The reprogramming thresholds will be as follows: directed stockpile work, science campaigns, engineering campaigns, inertial confinement fusion, advanced simulation and computing, pit manufacturing and certification, readiness campaigns, and operating expenses for readiness in technical base and facilities. This should provide the needed flexibility to manage these programs.

In addition, funding of not more than \$5,000,000 may be transferred between each of these categories and each construction project subject to the following limitations: only one transfer may be made to or from any program or project; the transfer must be necessary to address a risk to health, safety or the environment or to assure the most efficient use of weapons activities funds at a site; and funds may not be used for an item for which Congress has specifically denied funds or for a new program or project that has not been authorized by Congress.

Congressional notification within 30 days of the use of this reprogramming authority is required. Transfers during the fiscal year which would result in increases or decreases in excess of \$5,000,000 or which would be subject to the limitations outlined in the previous paragraph require prior notification and approval from the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

*Directed stockpile work.*—The conference agreement includes \$1,045,814,000 for directed stockpile work instead of \$1,043,791,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,081,337,000 as proposed by the Senate.

*Campaigns.*—The conference agreement consolidates the individual campaigns into six major groups: science campaigns, engineering campaigns, inertial confinement fusion, advanced simulation and computing, pit manufacturing and certification, and readiness campaigns. Funding for individual campaigns is shown on the accompanying table.

For science campaigns, the conference agreement provides \$269,703,000, an increase of \$8,583,000 over the budget request. From within available funds, an additional \$25,000,000 is provided for advanced radiography to continue research, development and conceptual design for an advanced hydrodynamic test facility, including further development and evaluation of proton radiography techniques.

For engineering campaigns, the conference agreement provides \$245,225,000, an increase of \$9,469,000 over the budget request, to meet additional program requirements.

For inertial confinement fusion, the conference agreement provides \$506,443,000, an increase of \$39,500,000 over the budget request, and includes several program funding adjustments. The conference agreement includes \$10,000,000 for the Naval Research Laboratory, the same as the budget request. Funding of \$24,500,000 has been provided to further development of high average power lasers.

The conference agreement includes \$35,450,000 for the Laboratory for Laser Energetics at the University of Rochester, an increase of \$2,000,000 over the budget request, to be used for development of critical short-pulse laser technologies that should be extensible to producing very high power laser capability on the National Ignition Facility as well as existing large fusion research lasers like Omega.

The conference agreement provides an additional \$7,000,000 for enhanced National Ignition Facility (NIF) diagnostics and cryogenic target activities, and \$245,000,000, the same as the budget request, for continued construction of the NIF.

The conferees understand the Department is preparing a National Petawatt Strategic Plan and support completion of this initiative, including within the strategic planning the research and development of supporting technologies necessary to ensure U.S. leadership in ultra-short-pulse laser technology. Funding of \$3,000,000 is provided for conceptual and preliminary engineering design studies for a petawatt-class laser at the Sandia National Laboratory's Z machine, and \$1,000,000 is provided to initiate development of critical short-pulse laser technologies like damage-resistant gratings.

The conferees strongly support university participation in this program and have provided \$9,886,000 for university grants/other ICF support, an increase of \$4,500,000 over the budget request. This includes \$2,500,000 to complete the installation and initiate operation of a petawatt laser or high-power, short-pulse laser at the University of Nevada-Reno. The conferees believe that early access to an operating petawatt-class laser will provide opportunities for exploring technology options to incorporate in the next generation of petawatt lasers. The conferees direct the Department to provide a monthly status report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on the status of the University of Nevada-Reno project. The conferees have included the additional \$2,000,000 for university grants to encourage greater participation of universities in the Department's programs and as a means of training new scientists in high energy density and laser physics.

For advanced simulation and computing, the conference agreement provides \$729,847,000, a decrease of \$8,185,000 from the budget request. The reduction in operating expenses should be taken against lower priority activities. The conference agreement allocates funding of \$8,400,000 for Project 01-D-101, the Distributed Information Systems Laboratory at Sandia; \$22,000,000 for Project 00-D-103, the Terascale Simulation Facility at Livermore; and \$13,377,000 for Project 00-D-107, the Joint Computational Engineering Laboratory at Sandia. Each of these projects has experienced significant reductions in prior years due to funding constraints.

For pit manufacturing and certification, the conference agreement provides \$219,000,000, an increase of \$90,455,000 over the budget request of \$128,545,000. On September 28, 2001, the NNSA Administrator notified the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations that the fiscal year 2002 projected cost for pit manufacturing and certification was \$213,000,000. In addition, the conferees have provided the budget request of \$2,000,000 for pit manufacturing and certification activities not specifically supporting the W88 and \$4,000,000 for preconceptual design activities for a new pit manufacturing facility. From within the funds provided, the conference agreement includes full funding for subcritical experiments to be performed at the Nevada Test Site. Additional funding is provided within the Readiness in Technical Base and Facilities program to support facilities and activities critical to the success of the pit manufacturing and certification campaign.

For readiness campaigns, the conference agreement provides \$196,886,000, an increase of \$31,869,000 over the budget request. This includes, at a minimum, an additional \$24,000,000 for the Y-12 Plant in Oak Ridge, Tennessee. No funding is provided for Project 98-D-126, Accelerator Production of Tritium, the same as the budget request.

For readiness in technical base and facilities, the conference agreement provides \$1,553,124,000, an increase of \$106,136,000 over the budget request, and includes several funding adjustments.

Within funds provided for operations of facilities, the conferees direct that, at a minimum, an additional \$25,000,000 be provided for the Pantex Plant in Texas and an additional \$10,000,000 be provided for the Y-12 Plant in Oak Ridge, Tennessee. The conference agreement also includes an additional \$10,000,000 for the Z machine refurbishment at Sandia; \$10,000,000 to consolidate and enhance counter-terrorism activities and programs at the National Center for Combating Terrorism at the Nevada Test Site; and \$1,500,000 for technology partnerships with industry as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement does not provide additional funding to process uranium-233 as proposed by the Senate. This issue is addressed in the Energy Supply account.

Within funds provided for program readiness, the conference agreement includes additional funding of \$10,000,000 for the operation of pulsed power facilities at Sandia National Laboratory. Additional funding of \$9,094,000 above the budget request is provided to maintain Nevada Test Site readiness and maintain materials processing and component manufacturing readiness consistent with the 1993 Presidential directive concerning underground nuclear testing.

Within funds provided for special projects, the conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for the Remote Sensing Laboratory to enhance pilot proficiency, aircraft safety, and aviation support elements; \$1,000,000 for final funding for the tumor registry in the State of Nevada; \$250,000 to prepare a plan to preserve the history of the Manhattan project; \$1,000,000 for installation of exhibits at the Atomic Testing History Institute; and the budget request for the Los Alamos County Schools and the New Mexico Education Enrichment Foundation.



The conference agreement includes \$90,310,000 for materials recycling, \$8,199,000 for containers, \$10,643,000 for storage, and \$88,923,000 for nuclear weapons incident response, as proposed by the Senate.

For construction projects, the conference agreement includes several adjustments to the budget request. Funding of \$22,830,000 is provided for Project 02-D-103, Project Engineering and Design (PE&D), including \$4,000,000 for architecture and engineering services for modernization of surface support facilities for the U1A complex at the Nevada Test Site; \$4,750,000 for Project 02-D-105, Engineering Technology Complex Upgrade at Livermore; \$3,507,000 for Project 02-D-107, Electrical Power Systems Upgrades at the Nevada Test Site; \$16,379,000 for Project 01-D-103, PE&D, including \$2,693,000 for electrical power systems upgrades at the Nevada Test Site; \$67,000,000 for Project 01-D-108, Microsystems and Engineering Sciences Applications Complex at Sandia; and \$2,000,000 for Project 99-D-108, Renovate Existing Roadways at the Nevada Test Site. No funds are provided for Project 01-D-124, HEU Storage Facility at the Y-12 Plant in Tennessee.

Funding of \$3,300,000 is provided for Project 01-D-107, Atlas Relocation at the Nevada Test Site. The total estimated cost of this project has increased by \$4,123,000 to \$16,312,000.

*Facilities and Infrastructure.*—The conference agreement includes \$200,000,000 to establish a new program for facilities and infrastructure (F&I). The Department had requested no funding for this program. The conferees agree with the House report language on the F&I program and direct that at least 25 percent of this funding be used to dispose of excess facilities that will provide the greatest impact on reducing long-term costs and risks.

*Secure Transportation Asset.*—The conference agreement provides \$123,300,000 as proposed by the Senate, an increase of \$1,500,000 over the budget request.

*Safeguards and security.*—The conference agreement includes \$448,881,000, the same as the budget request, for safeguards and security activities at laboratories and facilities managed by the National Nuclear Security Administration.

*Program direction.*—The budget request included \$271,137,000 for program direction activities in this account. The conference agreement transfers this funding to the Office of the NNSA Administrator account.

*Funding adjustments.*—The conference agreement includes an adjustment of \$28,985,000 for a security charge for reimbursable work, as proposed in the budget, and a general reduction of \$80,000,000.

#### DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION

The conference agreement provides \$803,586,000 for Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation instead of \$845,341,000 as proposed by the House and \$880,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. The Administration's budget request for Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation was \$773,700,000 which included \$51,459,000 for program direction activities. The conference recommendation transfers all program direction funding to the Office of the NNSA Administrator account which has the effect of reducing the budget request for Defense Nu-

clear Nonproliferation to \$722,241,000. Thus, the conference recommendation is an increase of \$81,345,000 over the budget request.

Statutory language proposed by the Senate to earmark funding for official reception and representation expenses has not been included. This activity is funded in the Office of the NNSA Administrator account.

*Limitation on Russian and Newly Independent States' (NIS) program funds.*—The conferees are concerned about the amount of funding for Russian and NIS programs which remains in the United States for Department of Energy contractors and laboratories rather than going to the facilities in Russia and the NIS. The conferees expect the Department to continue to increase the level of funding provided to Russia versus the funding which remains in the United States for Department of Energy contractors and laboratories in each subsequent year. The conferees direct the Department to apply the lowest possible laboratory overhead rates and to increase the percent of funding spent in Russia. The Department is to provide a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by January 31, 2002, and each subsequent year on the amount of funding provided to Russia and NIS in each program area. The Department should work with the Committees on the specific information to be included in the report.

*Nonproliferation and verification research and development.*—The conference agreement provides \$244,306,000 for nonproliferation and verification research and development. This includes \$19,510,900 for ground-based systems for treaty monitoring, an increase of \$7,000,000 over the budget request. From within available funds, \$4,000,000 is provided to establish the Remote Systems Test and Engineering Center at the Remote Sensing Laboratory and \$2,500,000 for the Incorporated Research Institutions for Seismology PASSCAL Instrument Center. The Department is urged to review the potential value of the Caucasus Seismic Information Network to the nuclear explosion monitoring national security mission.

The conferees continue to support more opportunity for open competition in appropriate areas of the nonproliferation and verification research and development program. The conferees expect the Department to continue to implement recommendations provided by the external review group in support of open competition and direct the Department to initiate a free and open competitive process for at least 25 percent of its research and development activities during fiscal year 2002 for ground-based systems treaty monitoring. The competitive process should be open to all Federal and non-Federal entities.

*Arms control.*—The conference agreement provides \$75,741,000 for arms control activities, instead of the budget request of \$101,500,000, due to several funding transfers. The conference agreement transfers \$4,000,000 for the Second Line of Defense program to the International Materials Protection, Control and Accounting program. Funding of \$28,759,000 for the NIS nonproliferation program for the Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention and the Nuclear Cities Initiative has been transferred to a new program, "Russian Transition Initiatives." Funding of \$15,945,000,

an increase of \$7,000,000 over the budget request, has been provided for spent nuclear fuel activities in Kazakhstan. No additional funds are provided for spent nuclear fuel storage and a geologic repository in Russia.

*International materials protection, control and accounting (MPC&A).*—The conference agreement includes \$173,000,000 for the MPC&A program including \$4,000,000 for the Second Line of Defense program which was transferred from the Arms Control program.

*Russian Transition Initiatives.*—The conference agreement provides \$42,000,000 for the Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention program and the Nuclear Cities Initiative. These programs were transferred from the arms control program. The conferees expect the Department to provide a single program manager responsible for both programs and have provided the Department the flexibility to allocate the funding between the two programs. The program manager should also ensure close coordination with other Federal agencies that direct money to scientists working in closed cities.

*HEU transparency implementation.*—The conference agreement provides \$13,950,000, the same as the budget request.

*International nuclear safety.*—The conference agreement provides \$10,000,000 for the international nuclear safety program, a reduction of \$3,800,000 from the budget request. This funding is to be used only for activities in support of completing the upgrades to Soviet-designed nuclear reactors. From within available funds, the conference agreement provides \$1,500,000 to transfer and implement proven U.S.-developed Mechanical Stress Improvement Process technology requested by the Russian Federation. The Department is to provide a status report on the progress of this project by March 31, 2002.

*Fissile materials disposition.*—The conference agreement provides \$302,422,000 for fissile materials disposition, an increase of \$12,333,000 over the budget request. Limitations on the amount of funding which remains in the United States shall not apply to the fissile material disposition programs.

The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000 to support the joint United States-Russian program to develop an advanced reactor for plutonium disposition. The United States should take advantage of this technology for a possible next generation nuclear power reactor for United States and foreign markets. Therefore, the Department should explore opportunities to develop and exploit this technology for commercial purposes.

The conferees are concerned that the Administration's consideration of alternative plutonium disposition and management scenarios, combined with a much lower than expected budget request, have introduced substantial instability into both the Russian and U.S. components of the plutonium disposition program. The conferees regard this program as one of the most important non-proliferation initiatives undertaken between the United States and Russia. It is also closely integrated into the Department's environmental cleanup and material management programs. The instabilities injected into this program are jeopardizing the future of this program, both in this country and in Russia, and may result in the permanent loss of this significant opportunity.

The conferees understand that the issue of plutonium disposition at the Savannah River Site will be fully addressed in the Fiscal Year 2002 Defense Authorization Act. However, the conferees direct the Secretary of Energy to consult with the Governor of the State of South Carolina regarding any decisions or plans of the Secretary related to the disposition of surplus defense plutonium located at the Savannah River Site. The Secretary is also directed to submit to Congress a plan for disposal of surplus defense plutonium currently located at the Savannah River site and for disposal of defense plutonium and defense plutonium materials to be shipped to the Savannah River Site in the future. This plan is due by February 1, 2002.

The conferees further direct the Secretary to provide 30 days notice to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations before resuming shipments of defense plutonium and defense plutonium materials to the Savannah River Site.

Until further approval from the Committees on Appropriations, the conferees expect that funds set aside for plutonium disposition in Public Law 105-227, the Omnibus Consolidated and Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1999, shall only be used in a manner consistent with the current plutonium disposition program.

At the request of the Department, the conference agreement makes the following changes to the Department's budget request. Funding of \$5,000,000 is reallocated from Project 99-D-141, the Pit Disassembly and Conversion Facility, to operating expenses in support of this project. Funding of \$29,340,000, an increase of \$5,340,000 over the budget request, is provided for Project 01-D-407, the HEU Blend Down Project. Funding of \$65,993,000, an increase of \$2,993,000 over the budget request, is provided for Project 99-D-143, the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility. These increases totaling \$8,333,000 are funded through balances remaining from prior year construction projects.

*Program direction.*—The budget request included \$51,459,000 for program direction activities in this account. The conference agreement transfers this funding to the Office of the NNSA Administrator account.

*Funding adjustments.*—The conference agreement includes funding adjustments of \$57,833,000. This includes the use of \$42,000,000 of prior year balances, as requested in the budget; \$8,333,000 from prior year balances in fissile materials disposition construction projects; and \$7,500,000 from prior year unobligated and uncosted balances.

#### NAVAL REACTORS

The conference agreement provides \$688,045,000 for Naval Reactors, the same as the budget request.

#### OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

The conference agreement provides \$312,596,000 for the Office of the Administrator instead of \$10,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$15,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement consolidates program direction funds of \$337,596,000 requested in the weapons activities, defense nuclear nonproliferation,

and office of the administrator appropriation accounts. Total funding of \$312,596,000 has been provided, a reduction of \$25,000,000 from the original request. This reduction anticipates efficiencies to be gained through this consolidation and the use of prior year unobligated balances from the three merged program direction accounts.

The conferees do not support increasing the total number of staff in the NNSA. While there is broad agreement that NNSA may not have the appropriate skill mix in its existing work force, there is also broad agreement that simply adding more people is not the answer.

Statutory language providing \$12,000 for official reception and representation expenses has been included.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER DEFENSE RELATED ACTIVITIES

##### DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement provides \$5,234,576,000 for Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management instead of \$5,174,539,000 as proposed by the House and \$5,389,868,000 as proposed by the Senate. Additional funding of \$1,092,878,000 is contained in the Defense Facilities Closure Projects account and \$153,537,000 in the Defense Environmental Management Privatization account for a total of \$6,480,991,000 provided for all defense environmental management activities.

The conference agreement provides for the purchase of not to exceed 30 passenger motor vehicles as proposed by the House.

The conferees believe the significant cleanup issues before the Department at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant in Kentucky require continued strong management oversight from Headquarters. The conferees direct that the Secretary provide for the management of environmental matters (including planning and budgetary activities) with respect to the plant through the Assistant Secretary of Energy for Environmental Management. The Assistant Secretary shall ensure that direct communication and thorough consultation exists at all times between herself and the head of the Paducah environmental cleanup programs on all relevant matters.

*Low level waste disposal.*—The conferees agree that the Department, where cost-effective, should use existing Federal contracts for the disposal of low-level and mixed low-level waste at commercial off-site disposal facilities. Further, before proceeding with any new on-site disposal cell, the Department is directed to submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations an objective analysis comparing the life-cycle costs of on-site versus off-site disposal alternatives. Such analysis must address the concerns identified by the General Accounting Office in its recent report (GAO-01-441), which found that the Department has not made accurate estimates of waste volumes and transportation costs when comparing on-site versus off-site alternatives.

*Site/Project Completion.*—The conference agreement provides additional funding to mitigate funding shortfalls at the following sites: \$18,000,000 for the Idaho site; \$20,000,000 for the Savannah River Site in South Carolina; \$34,300,000 for the Hanford site in

Richland, Washington; and \$7,000,000 for South Valley, Kansas City, Pantex, and Sandia.

The conference agreement includes \$9,000,000 to expedite the remediation and conveyance of up to 2000 acres of land for the use of Pueblo of San Ildefonso and approximately 100 acres to the County of Los Alamos consistent with the direction of section 632 of Public Law 105-119.

Funding of \$20,000,000 has been provided for a new construction project, Project 02-D-420, Plutonium Packaging and Stabilization, at the Savannah River Site. At the request of the Department, the conference agreement consolidates funding from the following sources for this project: \$7,500,000 from current and prior year balances in Project 01-D-414, Project Engineering and Design (PE&D); \$4,000,000 from prior year balances available from cancellation of Project 01-D-415, 235-F Packaging and Stabilization project; and \$8,500,000 from prior year balances provided to the Savannah River Site in fiscal year 2001 for plutonium stabilization activities.

Funding of \$2,754,000 is provided for Project 01-D-414, Project Engineering and Design, as proposed by the House.

*Post 2006 Completion.*—The conference agreement provides additional funding over the budget request for several activities. Additional funding of \$105,000,000 is provided for the Idaho site. From within these funds, \$15,000,000 is to initiate activities associated with the demonstration of waste retrieval at the subsurface disposal area at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL); \$700,000 is to continue conceptual design activities for a subsurface geosciences laboratory at Idaho; \$4,000,000 is for the Subsurface Science Research Institute operated by the Inland Northwest Research Alliance and the INEEL; and up to \$750,000 is to evaluate the need for a remote-handled transuranic waste facility at ANL-West and initiate conceptual design if needed.

The conferees encourage the Department of Energy to use alternative dispute resolution to resolve claims relating to the contract dispute on Pit 9 at Idaho.

Additional funding of \$125,000,000 is provided for the Savannah River Site in South Carolina. From within available funds, \$8,000,000 is provided for the Savannah River Ecology Laboratory, an increase of \$2,000,000 over the budget request, and \$800,000 is provided to continue the Department's relationship with the University of South Carolina's Center for Water Resources.

Additional funding of \$110,000,000 is provided for the Hanford site in Richland, Washington, to support the River Corridor Initiative. From within available funds, \$8,481,000 is provided for the hazardous waste worker training program, an increase of \$7,481,000 over the budget request, and \$600,000 is provided for State of Oregon oversight activities. The Department is expected to continue making PILT payments at last year's level to counties that have the Hanford reservation within their boundaries.

Additional funding of \$3,400,000 is provided for cleanup activities at the Nevada Test Site and \$3,000,000 to continue the underground test area groundwater flow characterization drilling program.

Additional funding of \$10,000,000 is provided to continue remediation, waste management, and nuclear materials stewardship activities at Los Alamos National Laboratory and to support New Mexico State Agreements-in-Principal requirements.

Additional funding of \$10,000,000 is provided for cleanup activities at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.

Additional funding of \$28,100,000 is provided to the Carlsbad field office. This includes \$17,100,000 for Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) operations; \$7,000,000 to implement program-wide best practices to optimize waste processing, develop new technology solutions, and develop a mobile/modular approach for small quantity sites; \$3,000,000 to continue the U.S.-Mexico Border Health Commission/Materials Corridor Partnership Initiative; and \$1,000,000 for research, development, and initial demonstration in support of an experiment to be conducted at WIPP to evaluate the mass of the neutrino.

*Office of River Protection.*—The conference agreement provides \$1,033,468,000, an increase of \$221,000,000 over the budget request, for the Office of River Protection at the Hanford site in Washington. Funding of \$665,000,000 has been provided for Project 01-D-416, the Hanford Waste Treatment Plant, to vitrify the high-level waste in underground tanks.

While the conferees share Washington State's concern regarding the Administration's inadequate budget request for the Office of River Protection and Hanford cleanup activities and recognize the right of the State to levy fines under the Tri-Party Agreement, the conferees question the constructiveness of the State's imposition of weekly fines due to the Department's failure to begin construction on the waste treatment plant. As demonstrated in this conference, the conferees continue to adequately support this project and believe the weekly fines may only be serving to distract site managers from the mission of cleanup.

*Science and technology development.*—The conference agreement provides \$255,768,000 for the science and technology development program. The conference agreement provides \$4,000,000 for the next round of new and innovative research grants in the environmental management science program in fiscal year 2002.

The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 for the international agreement with AEA Technology; \$7,000,000 for the Department's cooperative agreement with the Florida International University; \$27,100,000 for the D&D focus area program; \$33,800,000 for industry and university programs; \$5,000,000 for the Western Environmental Technology Office; \$4,000,000 to continue evaluation, development and demonstration of the Advanced Vitrification System; \$3,000,000 to continue engineering, development and deployment of remote monitoring systems for the underground test area; \$5,000,000 for the Diagnostic Instrumentation and Analysis Laboratory; and \$4,350,000 for the university robotics research program.

*Limitation on multi-year funding agreements.*—The Department is directed not to sign any new funding agreement that commits more than one year of funding for science and technology activities with any entity. The following types of agreements are exempt from this direction: basic and applied research projects that

have been competitively awarded; competitively awarded science and technology projects that are phased such that funding for the succeeding phases is contingent upon successful performance, continued scientific merit, and mission relevance of the work to environmental management; and projects requiring significant infrastructure investment which will be cost shared between the Department and the performing entity. For new science and technology projects not meeting one of the above exemptions, the Department shall provide written notification to the Committees of its intent to enter into an agreement that commits more than one year of funding a minimum of 60 days prior to award. This notification must provide a detailed description of the project, the expected benefits, and a justification for multiple year funding.

*Excess facilities.*—The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000, an increase of \$3,700,000 over the budget request, for excess facilities. These funds are to be used to initiate D&D of excess facilities owned by the environmental management program.

*Safeguards and security.*—The conference agreement includes \$205,621,000, the same as the budget request, for safeguards and security activities at laboratories and facilities managed by the Office of Environmental Management.

*Program direction.*—The conferees have provided \$355,761,000, the same as the budget request, for the program direction account.

*Funding adjustments.*—The conference agreement includes the use of \$56,770,000 of prior year balances, an increase of \$20,000,000 over the budget request, which funds Project 02–D–420 at the Savannah River Site. A security charge for reimbursable work of \$5,391,000, the same as the budget request, is included, and a general reduction of \$92,110,000, due to funding constraints.

#### DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS

The conference agreement provides \$1,092,878,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$1,080,538,000 as proposed by the Senate. Funding is provided for the following projects: \$620,504,000 for the Rocky Flats Site in Colorado; \$295,299,000 for Fernald, Ohio; \$91,000,000 for the Mound site in Ohio; \$16,000,000 for the Ashtabula site in Ohio; and \$16,100,000 for the Columbus environmental management project in Ohio. The conferees expect the Department to request adequate funds to keep each of these projects on schedule for closure by 2006 or earlier.

Funding of \$53,975,000 is provided for safeguards and security. Any savings resulting from safeguards and security costs are to be retained and used for cleanup activities at the closure sites.

#### DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION

The conference agreement provides \$153,537,000 for the defense environmental management privatization program instead of \$143,208,000 as proposed by the House and \$157,537,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes \$13,329,000 for the Paducah Disposal Facility in Kentucky, the same as the budget request.

Funding of \$52,000,000 has been provided for the Advanced Mixed Waste Treatment Project (AMWTP) in Idaho, an increase of \$12,000,000 over the budget request of \$40,000,000. Funding for



the AMWTP does not include financing and termination liability costs for fiscal year 2002 that would be required of the Department of Energy in the unlikely event of a termination for convenience as stipulated in the project contract.

#### OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement provides \$544,044,000 for Other Defense Activities instead of \$487,464,000 as proposed by the House and \$564,168,000 as proposed by the Senate. Details of the conference agreement are provided below.

#### SECURITY AND EMERGENCY OPERATIONS

For security and emergency operations funding managed at Headquarters, the conference agreement provides \$250,427,000, a reduction of \$18,823,000 from the budget request. The conference agreement provides total safeguards and security funding of \$1,004,716,000 which includes \$754,289,000 for safeguards and security activities at Departmental field offices and facilities. For field sites, this is an increase of \$63,451,000 over fiscal year 2001 funding of \$665,178,000 for safeguards and security activities.

Funding of \$116,500,000 is provided for nuclear safeguards and security, including \$2,500,000 to procure safety locks to meet Federal specifications.

The conference agreement provides \$44,927,000 for security investigations, the same as the budget request.

Funding of \$10,000,000 is provided for the Corporate Management Information System in this account, a reduction of \$10,000,000 from the budget request, and \$5,000,000 is provided in the Departmental Administration account.

*Program direction.*—The conference agreement provides \$79,000,000 for program direction, a decrease of \$4,135,000 from the budget request.

#### INTELLIGENCE

The conference agreement includes \$40,844,000, the same as the budget request, for the Department's intelligence program.

#### COUNTERINTELLIGENCE

The conference agreement includes \$46,000,000, a reduction of \$389,000 from the budget request, for the Department's counter-intelligence program.

#### ADVANCED ACCELERATOR APPLICATIONS

The conference agreement provides \$50,000,000 to continue research on advanced accelerator applications, including \$4,500,000 for research and development of technologies for economic and environmentally-sound refinement of spent nuclear fuel at the University of Nevada-Las Vegas; \$4,000,000 for reactor-based transmutation studies; and \$1,500,000 for the Idaho Accelerator Center. No funds are provided for Project 98-D-126, Accelerator Production of Tritium.

The President's National Energy Policy of May 2001 acknowledged the potential of reprocessing and transmutation technologies

to reduce the quantity and long-term toxicity of spent nuclear fuel, and recommended further consideration of such technologies. The Advanced Accelerator Applications program will provide the technical information to support a future policy decision on these options.

The Department is directed to prepare a report for Congress by May 1, 2002, providing a comparison of the chemical and pyro-reprocessing, accelerator-driven transmutation, and fast reactor transmutation alternatives, fully disclosing all waste streams and estimating the life-cycle costs to construct, operate, and decommission and decontaminate all necessary facilities. The Department should also compare the proliferation resistance of the various technologies. The baseline for all comparisons should be the once-through fuel cycle as presently used in the United States, and the amount of spent nuclear fuel presently scheduled for disposal in the geologic repository. The conferees expect this report to present the Department's strategy for siting the new processing and disposal facilities that would be required for the various reprocessing and transmutation alternatives, again assuming a capacity sufficient to process the amount of spent fuel presently scheduled for geologic disposal. The conferees encourage the participation of international collaborators, industrial partners, and U.S. universities in this effort.

#### INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT AND PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE

The conference agreement provides \$14,904,000, the same as the budget request, for the independent oversight and performance assurance program. The conferees are aware that additional duties for environmental oversight have been assigned to this office and expect the Department to submit a reprogramming to transfer an estimated \$7,000,000 to support these oversight activities which have been funded previously in the environment, safety and health program.

#### ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH (DEFENSE)

The conference agreement provides \$117,688,000 for defense-related environment, safety and health activities. From within available funds, \$53,438,000 is provided for health effects studies and \$13,500,000 for the Radiation Effects Research Foundation, the same as the budget request. The conferees have provided \$5,000,000 to continue a program at the University of Nevada-Las Vegas for Department-wide management of electronic records; \$1,750,000 for the University of Louisville and the University of Kentucky to perform epidemiological studies of workers; and \$1,000,000 for health studies of workers at the Iowa Army Ammunition Plant.

The U.S. government is currently renegotiating its diplomatic, defense, and economic relationship with the Government of the Republic of the Marshall Islands (RMI). The conferees urge the U.S. government to provide a single, combined package of assistance to support the medical and public health infrastructure needs of the Marshall Islands and believe that the negotiations should include discussion of the transition of the environmental monitoring program to the RMI.

The conference agreement includes \$22,000,000 for program direction, a reduction of \$1,293,000 from the budget request.

#### WORKER AND COMMUNITY TRANSITION

The conference agreement provides \$20,000,000 for the worker and community transition program as proposed by the Senate. Funding of \$900,000 has been provided for infrastructure improvements at the former Pinellas weapons plant.

The conference agreement provides that no funds may be used to augment the \$20,000,000 made available for obligation for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants unless the Department of Energy submits a reprogramming request subject to approval by the appropriate Congressional committees.

#### NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT

The conference agreement provides \$22,000,000 for national security programs administrative support instead of \$25,000,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate.

#### OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

The conference agreement provides \$2,893,000 for the Office of Hearings and Appeals, the same as the budget request.

#### FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

Funding adjustments include a security charge for reimbursable work of \$712,000 and a general reduction of \$20,000,000. The general reduction should be applied to programs which have unobligated balances carried over from prior fiscal years and lower priority program activities.

#### DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement provides \$280,000,000 for the defense contribution to the nuclear waste repository program instead of \$310,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$250,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

#### POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

##### BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION FUND

The conference agreement does not incorporate Senate language providing new borrowing authority to the Bonneville Power Administration. No new direct loan obligations may be made during fiscal year 2002 as proposed by the House.

##### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes \$4,891,000, the same as the budget request, for the Southeastern Power Administration.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHWESTERN POWER  
ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes \$28,038,000, the same as the budget request, for the Southwestern Power Administration.

CONSTRUCTION, REHABILITATION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE,  
WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement provides \$171,938,000, instead of \$172,165,000 as proposed by the House and \$169,465,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include bill language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for specific activities.

Of the amount appropriated, not less than \$200,000 shall be provided for corridor review and environmental review required for construction of a 230 kv transmission line between Belfield and Hettinger, North Dakota. These funds shall be non-reimbursable. Within the amount appropriated, not less than \$200,000 shall be provided for the Western Area Power Administration to conduct a technical analysis of the costs and feasibility of transmission expansion methods and technologies. These funds shall be non-reimbursable. Western shall publish a study by July 31, 2002, that contains a recommendation of the most cost-effective methods and technologies to enhance electricity transmission from lignite and wind energy.

The amount appropriated for construction and rehabilitation includes \$2,700,000 to fund high priority portions of the South of Phoenix portion of the Parker-Davis Project transmission system. The Federal share of the upfront costs is to be recovered through the transmission rates of the Parker-Davis Project. Western should pursue additional funds from those utilities requiring additional transmission capacity, and the conferees expect that any funding received will be used to offset future appropriations requirements.

Funding of \$6,000,000 is provided for the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account.

The conference agreement provides \$109,378,000 for program direction, a reduction of \$5,000,000 from the budget request.

FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND

The conference agreement includes \$2,663,000, the same as the budget request, for the Falcon and Amistad Operating and Maintenance Fund.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes \$184,155,000, a \$3,000,000 increase over the budget request for the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. The conference agreement also includes statutory language authorizing an additional five senior executive service positions for the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. The conference agreement does not include bill language proposed by the House prohibiting the use of funds to authorize construction of the Gulfstream Natural Gas Project.

The conferees direct the Commission to submit a report to Congress by January 31, 2002, on the economic impacts on western utilities and ratepayers associated with the Commission's emergency order imposing price caps on daily spot power sales resulting from the inability of western load serving utilities to recover costs from daily sales of excess power from long-term forward contracts.

## GENERAL PROVISIONS

### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Sec. 301. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House that none of the funds may be used to award a management and operating contract unless such contract is awarded using competitive procedures, or the Secretary of Energy grants a waiver to allow for such a deviation. At least 60 days before the Secretary grants such a waiver, the Secretary must submit a report setting forth, in specificity, the substantive reasons why the requirement for competition should be waived. This language slightly modifies a provision carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 302. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to prepare or implement workforce restructuring plans or provide enhanced severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants for Federal employees of the Department of Energy under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 1993, Public Law 102-484. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 303. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate that none of the funds may be used to augment the \$20,000,000 made available for obligation for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants unless the Department of Energy submits a reprogramming request subject to approval by the appropriate Congressional committees. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 304. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to prepare or initiate Requests for Proposals for a program if the program has not been funded by Congress in the current fiscal year. This provision also precludes the Department from initiating activities for new programs which have been proposed in the budget request, but which have not yet been funded by Congress. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

### (TRANSFERS OF UNEXPENDED BALANCES)

Sec. 305. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that permits the transfer and merger of unexpended balances of prior appropriations with appropriation accounts established in this bill. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 306. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House prohibiting the Bonneville Power Administration from performing energy efficiency services outside the legally defined Bonneville service territory unless the Administrator certifies in advance that such services are not available from private sector businesses. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 307. The conference agreement amends section 308 as proposed by the House regarding notice and competition required for Department of Energy user facilities.

Sec. 308. The conference agreement includes language limiting the types of waste that can be disposed of in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant in New Mexico. None of the funds may be used to dispose of transuranic waste in excess of 20 percent plutonium by weight for the aggregate of any material category. At the Rocky Flats site, this provision includes ash residues; salt residues; wet residues; direct repackaging residues; and scrub alloy as referenced in the "Final Environmental Impact Statement on Management of Certain Plutonium Residues and Scrub Alloy Stored at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site". This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 309. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate allowing the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration to authorize certain nuclear weapons production plants to use not more than 2 percent of available funds for research, development and demonstration activities. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 310. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate allowing the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration to authorize the manager of the Nevada Operations Office to use not more than 2 percent of available funds for research, development and demonstration activities necessary for operations and readiness of the Nevada Test Site.

Sec. 311. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate amending section 1 of Public Law 105-204 pertaining to depleted uranium hexafluoride by extending the date to fiscal year 2005.

Sec. 312. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the Senate prohibiting oil and gas drilling in the Finger Lakes National Forest, New York. No Federal permit or lease shall be issued during fiscal year 2002.

*Provisions not adopted by the conference.*—The conference agreement deletes section 307 of the House bill and section 306 of the Senate bill pertaining to LDRD.

The conference agreement deletes section 309 of the Senate bill allowing each Federal power marketing administration to engage in activities relating to the formation and operation of a regional transmission organization.

The conference agreement deletes section 312 of the Senate bill requiring the Secretary of Energy to conduct a study of alternative financing approaches for infrastructure and facility construction

projects at the Department of Energy. This reporting requirement is addressed in the statement of the managers.

The conference agreement deletes section 313 of the Senate bill requiring the Secretary of Energy to implement certain reporting structures for the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant in Kentucky. This requirement is addressed in the statement of the managers.

The conference agreement deletes section 314 of the Senate bill expressing the sense of the Senate on Yucca Mountain.

The conference agreement deletes section 315 of the Senate bill pertaining to consultations with the State of South Carolina on the disposition of plutonium. This issue is addressed in the statement of the managers.

#### CONFERENCE RECOMMENDATIONS

The conference agreement's detailed funding recommendations for programs in title III are contained in the following table.

## Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
-----		
ENERGY SUPPLY		
RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES		
Renewable energy technologies		
Biomass/biofuels energy systems.....	81,955	93,000
Geothermal technology development.....	13,900	29,000
Hydrogen research.....	26,881	31,000
Hydropower.....	4,989	5,300
Solar energy.....	42,932	95,000
Wind energy systems.....	20,500	41,000
Total, Renewable energy technologies.....	191,157	294,300
=====		
Electric energy systems and storage.....	51,746	63,000
=====		
Renewable support and implementation		
Departmental energy management.....	1,000	1,500
International renewable energy program.....	2,500	3,000
Renewable energy production incentive program.....	3,991	4,000
Renewable Indian energy resources.....	---	3,000
Renewable program support.....	2,059	3,000
Total, Renewable support and implementation.....	9,550	14,500
=====		
National renewable energy laboratory.....	5,000	5,000
Program direction.....	19,200	19,200
=====		
TOTAL, RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES.....	276,653	396,000
=====		
NUCLEAR ENERGY		
Advanced radioisotope power system.....	29,094	29,000
=====		
Isotopes		
Isotope support and production.....	24,683	23,683
Construction		
99-E-201 Isotope production facility (LANL).....	2,494	2,494
Subtotal, Isotope support and production.....	27,177	26,177
Offsetting collections.....	-9,000	-9,000
Total, Isotopes.....	18,177	17,177
=====		
University reactor fuel assistance and support.....	11,974	17,500
=====		
Research and development		
Nuclear energy plant optimization.....	4,500	7,000
Nuclear energy research initiative.....	18,079	32,000
Nuclear energy technologies.....	4,500	12,000
Total, Research and development.....	27,079	51,000
=====		
Infrastructure		
ANL-West operations.....	34,107	35,357
Fast flux test facility (FFTF).....	38,439	38,439



## Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
Test reactor area landlord.....	7,283	7,283
Construction		
99-E-200 Test reactor area electrical utility upgrade, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID.....	950	950
95-E-201 Test reactor area fire and life safety improvements, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID.....	500	500
Subtotal, Construction.....	1,450	1,450
Subtotal, Test reactor area landlord.....	8,733	8,733
Total, Infrastructure.....	81,279	82,529
Nuclear facilities management		
EBR-II shutdown.....	4,200	4,200
Disposition of spent fuel and legacy materials.....	16,267	16,200
Disposition technology activities.....	9,990	9,850
Total, Nuclear facilities management.....	30,457	30,250
Program direction.....	25,062	23,000
TOTAL, NUCLEAR ENERGY.....	223,122	250,456
ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH		
Office of Environment, Safety and Health (non-defense)	14,973	10,973
Program direction.....	20,527	19,527
TOTAL, ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH.....	35,500	30,500
ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES		
Technical information management program.....	1,600	1,400
Program direction.....	7,370	6,370
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES.....	8,970	7,770
Subtotal, Energy supply.....	544,245	684,726
General reduction.....	---	-18,000
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPLY.....	544,245	666,726
NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT		
Site closure.....	43,000	43,000
Site/project completion.....	64,119	64,119

## Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
Post 2006 completion.....	120,053	125,753
Excess facilities.....	1,381	3,500
	=====	=====
TOTAL, NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT.....	228,553	236,372
	=====	=====
URANIUM FACILITIES MAINTENANCE AND REMEDIATION		
Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund		
Decontamination and decommissioning.....	241,641	298,641
Uranium/thorium reimbursement.....	1,000	1,000
Depleted UF6 conversion project.....	10,000	---
	-----	-----
Total, Uranium enrichment D&D fund.....	252,641	299,641
	=====	=====
Other Uranium Activities		
Maintenance and pre-existing liabilities.....	110,784	110,784
02-U-101 Depleted uranium hexafluoride conversion project, Paducah, KY and Portsmouth, OH.....	---	10,000
96-U-201 DUF6 cylinder storage yard, Paducah, KY....	---	3,000
	-----	-----
Total, Other uranium activities.....	110,784	123,784
	=====	=====
Use of prior year balances.....	---	-5,000
	-----	-----
TOTAL, URANIUM FACILITIES MAINTENANCE AND REMEDICATION.....	363,425	418,425
	=====	=====
SCIENCE		
High energy physics		
Research and technology.....	247,870	247,870
Facility operations.....	456,830	456,830
Construction		
98-G-304 Neutrinos at the main injector, Fermilab.....	11,400	11,400
	-----	-----
Subtotal, Facility operations.....	468,230	468,230
	-----	-----
Total, High energy physics.....	716,100	716,100
	=====	=====
Nuclear physics.....	360,510	360,510
	=====	=====
Biological and environmental research.....	432,970	516,000
Construction		
01-E-300 Laboratory for Comparative and Functional Genomics, ORNL.....	10,000	11,405
	-----	-----
Total, Biological and environmental research.....	442,970	527,405
	=====	=====
Basic energy sciences		
Materials sciences.....	434,353	434,353
Chemical sciences.....	218,714	218,714
Engineering and geosciences.....	38,938	38,938
Energy biosciences.....	32,400	32,400

## Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
-----		
Construction		
02-SC-002 Project engineering and design (VL).....	4,000	3,000
99-E-334 Spallation neutron source (ORNL).....	276,300	276,300
Subtotal, Construction.....	280,300	279,300
-----		
Total, Basic energy sciences.....	1,004,705	1,003,705
=====		
Advanced scientific computing research.....	163,050	158,050
Energy research analyses.....	1,000	1,000
=====		
Multiprogram energy labs - facility support		
Infrastructure support.....	1,020	1,020
Oak Ridge landlord.....	7,359	7,359
Construction		
MEL-001 Multiprogram energy laboratory infrastructure projects, various locations.....	18,613	18,613
02-SC-001 Multiprogram energy laboratories, project engineering design, various locations.....	3,183	3,183
Subtotal, Construction.....	21,796	21,796
-----		
Total, Multiprogram energy labs - fac. support....	30,175	30,175
=====		
Fusion energy sciences program.....	248,495	248,495
Facilities and infrastructure.....	---	10,000
Safeguards and security.....	55,412	55,412
=====		
Program direction		
Field offices.....	64,400	63,000
Headquarters.....	73,525	72,500
Science education.....	4,460	4,460
Total, Program direction.....	142,385	139,960
=====		
Subtotal, Science.....	3,164,802	3,250,812
=====		
General reduction.....	---	-12,800
Less security charge for reimbursable work.....	-4,912	-4,912
=====		
TOTAL, SCIENCE.....	3,159,890	3,233,100
=====		
NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL		
Repository program.....	70,577	39,000
Program direction.....	64,402	56,000
=====		
TOTAL, NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL.....	134,979	95,000
=====		

## Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
-----		
DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION		
Administrative operations		
Salaries and expenses		
Office of the Secretary.....	4,700	4,700
Board of contract appeals.....	911	911
Office of Management, Budget and Evaluation.....	---	107,000
Chief financial officer.....	36,464	---
Congressional and intergovernmental affairs.....	5,478	4,500
Economic impact and diversity.....	5,230	5,000
General counsel.....	23,058	22,724
International affairs.....	8,481	8,481
Management and administration.....	76,392	---
Policy office.....	6,649	6,600
Public affairs.....	4,581	3,900
	-----	-----
Subtotal, Salaries and expenses.....	171,944	163,816
Program support		
Minority economic impact.....	1,498	1,200
Policy analysis and system studies.....	420	400
Environmental policy studies.....	919	600
Corporate management information program.....	---	5,000
	-----	-----
Subtotal, Program support.....	2,837	7,200
-----		
Total, Administrative operations.....	174,781	171,016
	=====	=====
Cost of work for others.....	71,837	71,837
	-----	-----
Subtotal, Departmental Administration.....	246,618	242,853
Use of prior year balances and other adjustments.....	---	-10,000
Funding from other defense activities.....	-25,000	-22,000
	-----	-----
Total, Departmental administration (gross).....	221,618	210,853
Miscellaneous revenues.....	-137,810	-137,810
	=====	=====
TOTAL, DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION (net).....	83,808	73,043
	=====	=====
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL		
Office of Inspector General.....	31,430	32,430
	=====	=====
ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION		
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES		
Directed stockpile work		
Stockpile research and development.....	305,460	349,000
Stockpile maintenance.....	362,493	350,000
Stockpile evaluation.....	180,834	178,500
Dismantlement/disposal.....	35,414	27,000
Production support.....	152,890	134,896
Field engineering, training and manuals.....	6,700	6,418
	-----	-----
Total, Directed stockpile work.....	1,043,791	1,045,814
	=====	=====

## Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
-----		
Campaigns		
Science campaigns		
Primary certification.....	55,530	52,500
Dynamic materials properties.....	97,810	87,400
Advanced radiography.....	60,510	85,803
Secondary certification and nuclear systems margins.....	47,270	44,000
Subtotal, Science campaigns.....	261,120	269,703
Engineering campaigns		
Enhanced surety.....	34,797	37,000
Weapons system engineering certification.....	24,043	26,665
Nuclear survivability.....	19,050	23,694
Enhanced surveillance.....	82,333	82,333
Advanced design and production technologies.....	75,533	75,533
Subtotal, Engineering campaigns.....	235,756	245,225
Inertial confinement fusion and high yield.....	222,943	261,443
Construction		
96-D-111 National ignition facility, LLNL.....	245,000	245,000
Subtotal, Inertial confinement fusion.....	467,943	506,443
Advanced simulation and computing.....	711,185	675,000
Construction		
01-D-101 Distributed information systems laboratory, SNL, Livermore, CA.....	5,400	8,400
00-D-103, Terascale simulation facility, LLNL, Livermore, CA.....	5,000	22,000
00-D-105 Strategic computing complex, LANL, Los Alamos, NM.....	11,070	11,070
00-D-107 Joint computational engineering laboratory, SNL, Albuquerque, NM.....	5,377	13,377
Subtotal, Construction.....	26,847	54,847
Subtotal, Advanced simulation and computing.....	738,032	729,847
Pit manufacturing and certification.....	128,545	219,000
Readiness campaigns		
Secondary readiness.....	23,169	47,169
High explosives manufacturing and weapons assembly/disassembly readiness.....	3,960	6,846
Non-nuclear readiness.....	12,204	18,187
Materials readiness.....	1,209	1,209

## Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
Tritium readiness.....	43,350	42,350
Construction		
98-D-125 Tritium extraction facility, SR.....	81,125	81,125
Subtotal, Tritium readiness.....	124,475	123,475
Subtotal, Readiness campaigns.....	165,017	196,886
Total, Campaigns.....	1,996,413	2,167,104
Readiness in technical base and facilities		
Operations of facilities.....	830,427	897,800
Program readiness.....	188,126	192,000
Special projects.....	64,493	60,385
Material recycle and recovery.....	101,311	90,310
Containers.....	8,199	8,199
Storage.....	10,643	10,643
Nuclear weapons incident response.....	89,125	88,923
Subtotal, Readiness in technical base and fac.....	1,292,324	1,348,260
Construction		
02-D-103 Project engineering and design, various locations.....	9,180	22,830
02-D-105 Engineering technology complex upgrade, LLNL.....	---	4,750
02-D-107 Electrical power systems safety communications and bus upgrades, NV.....	3,507	3,507
01-D-103 Project engineering and design (PE&D), various locations.....	45,379	16,379
01-D-107 Atlas relocation, Nevada test site.....	---	3,300
01-D-108 Microsystems and engineering sciences applications complex (MESA), SNL.....	2,000	67,000
01-D-124 HEU storage facility, Y-12 plant, Oak Ridge, TN.....	9,500	---
01-D-126 Weapons Evaluation Test Laboratory Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX.....	7,700	7,700
01-D-800 Sensitive compartmented information facility, LLNL.....	12,993	12,993
99-D-103 Isotope sciences facilities, LLNL, Livermore, CA.....	4,400	4,400
99-D-104 Protection of real property (roof reconstruction-Phase II), LLNL, Livermore, CA.....	2,800	2,800
99-D-106 Model validation & system certification center, SNL, Albuquerque, NM.....	4,955	4,955
99-D-108 Renovate existing roadways, Nevada Test Site, NV.....	---	2,000

## Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
99-D-125 Replace boilers and controls, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, MO.....	300	300
99-D-127 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, MO....	22,200	22,200
99-D-128 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Pantex consolidation, Amarillo, TX....	3,300	3,300
98-D-123 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Tritium factory modernization and consolidation, Savannah River, SC.....	13,700	13,700
98-D-124 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Y-12 consolidation, Oak Ridge, TN.....	6,850	6,850
97-D-123 Structural upgrades, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, KS.....	3,000	3,000
96-D-102 Stockpile stewardship facilities revitalization (Phase VI), various locations.....	2,900	2,900
Subtotal, Construction.....	154,664	204,864
Total, Readiness in technical base and facilities.	1,446,988	1,553,124
Facilities and infrastructure.....	---	200,000
Secure transportation asset		
Operations and equipment.....	77,571	79,071
Program direction.....	44,229	44,229
Total, Secure transportation asset.....	121,800	123,300
Safeguards and security.....	439,281	439,281
Construction		
99-D-132 SMRI nuclear material safeguards and security upgrade project (LANL), Los Alamos, NM...	9,600	9,600
Total, Safeguards and security.....	448,881	448,881
Subtotal, Weapons activities.....	5,057,873	5,538,223
Program direction (transferred to Office of the Administrator).....	271,137	---
General reduction.....	---	-80,000
Less security charge for reimbursable work.....	-28,985	-28,985
TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES.....	5,300,025	5,429,238

## Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
-----		
DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION		
Nonproliferation and verification, R&D.....	170,296	208,500
Construction		
00-D-192 Nonproliferation and international security center (NISC), LANL.....	35,806	35,806
Total, Nonproliferation and verification, R&D.....	206,102	244,306
	=====	=====
Arms control.....	101,500	75,741
	=====	=====
Nonproliferation programs with Russia		
International materials protection, control, and accounting.....	138,800	173,000
Russian transition initiative.....	---	42,000
HEU transparency implementation.....	13,950	13,950
International nuclear safety.....	13,800	10,000
Fissile materials disposition		
U.S. surplus materials disposition.....	130,089	135,089
Russian surplus materials disposition.....	57,000	61,000
Construction		
01-D-407 Highly enriched uranium (HEU) blend down Savannah River, SC.....	24,000	29,340
99-D-141 Pit disassembly and conversion facility various locations.....	16,000	11,000
99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility various locations.....	63,000	65,993
Subtotal, Construction.....	103,000	106,333
	-----	-----
Subtotal, Fissile materials disposition.....	290,089	302,422
	=====	=====
Total, Nonproliferation programs with Russia.....	456,639	541,372
	=====	=====
Subtotal, Defense nuclear nonproliferation.....	764,241	861,419
	=====	=====
Program direction (transferred to Office of the Administrator).....	51,459	---
Use of prior year balances.....	-42,000	-57,833
	=====	=====
TOTAL, DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION.....	773,700	803,586
	=====	=====
NAVAL REACTORS		
Naval reactors development.....	652,245	652,245
Construction		
01-D-200 Major office replacement building, Schenectady, NY.....	9,000	9,000
90-N-102 Expended core facility dry cell project, Naval Reactors Facility, ID.....	4,200	4,200
Subtotal, Construction.....	13,200	13,200
	-----	-----
Total, Naval reactors development.....	665,445	665,445
	=====	=====



## Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
Program direction.....	22,600	22,600
TOTAL, NAVAL REACTORS.....	688,045	688,045
OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR		
Office of the Administrator.....	15,000	312,596
Transferred from other accounts.....	(322,596)	---
Total, Program level.....	337,596	312,596
TOTAL, OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR.....	337,596	312,596
TOTAL, NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION...	6,776,770	7,233,465
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT.		
Site/project completion		
Operation and maintenance.....	872,030	960,330
Construction		
02-D-402 Intec cathodic protection system expansion project, INEEL, Idaho Falls, ID.....	3,256	3,256
02-D-420 Plutonium packaging and stabilization, Savannah River.....	---	20,000
01-D-414 Preliminary project, engineering and design (PE&D), various locations.....	6,254	2,754
99-D-402 Tank farm support services, F&H area, Savannah River site, Aiken, SC.....	5,040	5,040
99-D-404 Health physics instrumentation laboratory (INEL), ID.....	2,700	2,700
98-D-453 Plutonium stabilization and handling system for PFP, Richland, WA.....	1,910	1,910
96-D-471 CFC HVAC/chiller retrofit, Savannah River site, Aiken, SC.....	4,244	4,244
92-D-140 F&H canyon exhaust upgrades, Savannah River, SC.....	15,790	---
86-D-103 Decontamination and waste treatment facility (LLNL), Livermore, CA.....	762	762
Subtotal, Construction.....	39,956	40,666
Total, site/project completion.....	911,986	1,000,996
Post 2006 completion		
Operation and maintenance.....	1,680,979	2,105,479
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution.....	420,000	420,000
Construction		
93-D-187 High-level waste removal from filled waste tanks, Savannah River, SC.....	6,754	6,754

## Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
Office of River Protection		
Operation and maintenance.....	272,151	328,151
Construction		
01-D-416 Hanford waste treatment plant, Richland, WA.....	500,000	665,000
97-D-402 Tank farm restoration and safe operations, Richland, WA.....	33,473	33,473
94-D-407 Initial tank retrieval systems, Richland, WA.....	6,844	6,844
Subtotal, Construction.....	540,317	705,317
Subtotal, Office of River Protection.....	812,468	1,033,468
Total, Post 2006 completion.....	2,920,201	3,565,701
Science and technology.....	196,000	255,768
Excess facilities.....	1,300	5,000
Safeguards and security.....	205,621	205,621
Program direction.....	355,761	355,761
Subtotal, Defense environmental management.....	4,590,869	5,388,847
Use of prior year balances.....	-36,770	-56,770
General reduction.....	---	-92,110
Less security charge for reimbursable work.....	-5,391	-5,391
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRON. RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT	4,548,708	5,234,576
DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS		
Site closure.....	1,004,636	1,038,903
Safeguards and security.....	45,902	53,975
TOTAL, DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS.....	1,050,538	1,092,878
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION		
Privatization initiatives, various locations.....	141,537	153,537
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT.....	5,740,783	6,480,991
OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
Other national security programs		
Security and emergency operations		
Nuclear safeguards and security.....	121,188	116,500
Security investigations.....	44,927	44,927
Corporate management information program.....	20,000	10,000
Program direction.....	83,135	79,000
Subtotal, Security and emergency operations...	269,250	250,427

## Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
Intelligence.....	40,844	40,844
Counterintelligence.....	46,389	46,000
Advanced accelerator applications.....	---	50,000
Independent oversight and performance assurance Program direction.....	14,904	14,904
Environment, safety and health (Defense).....	91,307	95,688
Program direction - EH.....	23,293	22,000
Subtotal, Environment, safety & health (Defense)	114,600	117,688
Worker and community transition.....	21,246	18,000
Program direction - WT.....	3,200	2,000
Subtotal, Worker and community transition.....	24,446	20,000
National Security programs administrative support...	25,000	22,000
Office of hearings and appeals.....	2,893	2,893
Subtotal, Other defense activities.....	538,326	564,756
Use of prior year balances.....	-10,000	-20,000
Less security charge for reimbursable work.....	-712	-712
TOTAL, OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES.....	527,614	544,044
DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL		
Defense nuclear waste disposal.....	310,000	280,000
TOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES.....	13,355,167	14,538,500
POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS		
SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance		
Purchase power and wheeling.....	34,463	34,463
Program direction.....	4,891	4,891
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance.....	39,354	39,354
Offsetting collections.....	-34,463	-8,000
Offsetting collections (P.L. 106-377).....	---	-26,463
TOTAL, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION.....	4,891	4,891
SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance		
Operating expenses.....	3,339	3,339
Purchase power and wheeling.....	1,800	1,800
Program direction.....	18,668	18,668
Construction.....	6,031	6,031
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance.....	29,838	29,838
Offsetting collections.....	-1,800	-1,512
Offsetting collections (P.L. 106-377).....	---	-288
TOTAL, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION.....	28,038	28,038

## Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
-----		
WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance		
Construction and rehabilitation.....	16,064	18,764
System operation and maintenance.....	37,796	37,796
Purchase power and wheeling.....	186,124	186,124
Program direction.....	114,378	109,378
Utah mitigation and conservation.....	1,227	6,000
	-----	-----
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance.....	355,589	358,062
Offsetting collections.....	-186,124	-152,624
Offsetting collections (P.L. 106-377).....	---	-33,500
	-----	-----
TOTAL, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION.....	169,465	171,938
	=====	=====
FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND		
Operation and maintenance.....	2,663	2,663
	=====	=====
TOTAL, POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS.....	205,057	207,530
	=====	=====
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION		
Federal energy regulatory commission.....	181,155	184,155
FERC revenues.....	-181,155	-184,155
	-----	-----
TOTAL, FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION.....	---	---
	=====	=====
GRAND TOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY.....	18,106,554	19,501,126
	=====	=====
ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNTS		
Energy programs:		
Energy supply.....	544,245	666,726
Non-defense environmental management.....	228,553	236,372
Uranium facilities maintenance and remediation.....	363,425	418,425
Science.....	3,159,890	3,233,100
Nuclear waste disposal.....	134,979	95,000
Departmental administration.....	221,618	210,853
Revenues.....	-137,810	-137,810
	-----	-----
Total, Departmental administration.....	83,808	73,043
Office of the Inspector General.....	31,430	32,430
	-----	-----
Total, Energy programs.....	4,546,330	4,755,096
Environmental restoration and waste management:		
Defense function.....	(5,740,783)	(6,480,991)
Non-defense function.....	(591,978)	(654,797)
	-----	-----
Total, Environmental restoration and waste mgmt...	(6,332,761)	(7,135,788)

## Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
-----		
Atomic energy defense activities:		
National Nuclear Security Administration:		
Weapons activities.....	5,300,025	5,429,238
Defense nuclear nonproliferation.....	773,700	803,586
Naval reactors.....	688,045	688,045
Office of the Administrator.....	15,000	312,596
Subtotal, National Nuclear Security Admin.....	6,776,770	7,233,465
Defense environmental restoration and waste mgmt....	4,548,708	5,234,576
Defense facilities closure projects.....	1,050,538	1,092,878
Defense environmental management privatization.....	141,537	153,537
Subtotal, Defense environmental management.....	5,740,783	6,480,991
Other defense activities.....	527,614	544,044
Defense nuclear waste disposal.....	310,000	280,000
Total, Atomic energy defense activities.....	13,355,167	14,538,500
Power marketing administrations:		
Southeastern Power Administration.....	4,891	4,891
Southwestern Power Administration.....	28,038	28,038
Western Area Power Administration.....	169,465	171,938
Falcon and Amistad operating and maintenance fund...	2,663	2,663
Total, Power marketing administrations.....	205,057	207,530
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission:		
Salaries and expenses.....	181,155	184,155
Revenues.....	-181,155	-184,155
Total, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.....	---	---
=====		
Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal (rescission).....	---	---
Defense environmental privatization (rescission).....	---	---
=====		
TOTAL, ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNTS.....	18,106,554	19,501,126
=====		

## TITLE IV

## INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

## APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes \$71,290,000 for the Appalachian Regional Commission as proposed by the House instead of \$66,290,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees support the Appalachian-Turkish Trade Project to promote trade and investment opportunities. Funding of \$5,000,000 has been provided for a child development research center at the University of Alabama.

From within available funds, the conferees have provided \$250,000 for the University of Georgia to conduct a study to determine the feasibility of creating a commission to carry out a comprehensive program of economic and human resource development of the so-called Black Belt Region.

## DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes \$18,500,000 for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board as proposed by the House and Senate.

## DELTA REGIONAL AUTHORITY

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes \$10,000,000 for the Delta Regional Authority instead of \$20,000,000 as proposed by the Senate and no funding as proposed by the House. The conferees expect the Authority to submit quarterly financial reports providing detailed accounting data on the expenditure of funds during fiscal year 2002 and thereafter. The conferees also expect to receive from the Authority a detailed budget justification if funds are requested in fiscal year 2003.

## DENALI COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes \$38,000,000 for the Denali Commission instead of \$40,000,000 as proposed by the Senate and no funding as proposed by the House. The conferees expect the Denali Commission to submit quarterly financial reports providing detailed accounting data on the expenditure of funds during fiscal year 2002 and thereafter. The conferees also expect to receive from the Commission a detailed budget justification if funds are requested in fiscal year 2003.

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes \$516,900,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate, to be offset by revenues of \$473,520,000, as proposed by the House, for a net appropriation of \$43,380,000. This reflects the statutory language adopted by the

conference in the prior fiscal year to reduce the fee recovery requirement to 96 percent in fiscal year 2002. The conference amount provides an increase of \$10,000,000 over the budget request, with the standard formula for fee recovery being applied to this added increment of funding.

The conference agreement includes language prohibiting the implementation or enforcement of the revised 10 C.F.R. Part 35, as adopted by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission on October 23, 2000, with respect to diagnostic nuclear medicine, except for those parts of the new rule which establish revised training and experience requirements for persons seeking licensing as authorized users, until after the Commission has provided a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations explaining why the regulatory burden could not be reduced further in the new rule without adversely affecting public health and safety. The conferees direct the Commission to submit this report not later than January 31, 2002. The language included in the conference agreement is only an interim measure until a more permanent solution can be reached, either by the authorization committees or through a revised rulemaking.

#### OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement includes \$6,180,000 as proposed by the House, to be offset by revenues of \$5,933,000, for a net appropriation of \$247,000. This reflects the statutory language adopted by the conference in the prior fiscal year to reduce the fee recovery requirement to 96 percent in fiscal year 2002.

#### NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides \$3,100,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$3,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

#### TITLE V

##### GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 501. The conference agreement includes language directing that none of the funds in this Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in section 1913 of title 18, United States Code. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 502. The conference agreement includes language regarding the purchase of American-made equipment and products, and prohibiting contracts with persons falsely labeling products as made in America. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 503. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the Senate to require the Secretary of the Army to conduct a study on the environmental effects of oil and gas drilling in the Great Lakes and prohibit Federal and State issuance of per-

mits or leases for new drilling from October 1, 2001 through September 30, 2003.

*Provisions not adopted.*—The conference agreement deletes Section 503 of the House bill providing that no funds may be used to determine the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for the San Luis Unit of the Central Valley Project until certain conditions are met. This provision has been moved to Title II of the bill as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement deletes Section 505 of the House bill pertaining to the Buy American Act.

The conference agreement deletes Section 506 of the House bill prohibiting the use of funds to drill for gas and oil in the Mosquito Creek Reservoir in Ohio.



## CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 2002 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 2001 amount, the 2002 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 2002 follow:

[In thousands of dollars]	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2001 .....	\$24,512,565
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2002 .....	23,008,002
House bill, fiscal year 2002 .....	24,195,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 2002 .....	25,448,837
Conference agreement, fiscal year 2002 .....	25,086,000
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2001 .....	+573,435
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2002 .....	+2,077,998
House bill, fiscal year 2002 .....	+891,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 2002 .....	- 362,837

SONNY CALLAHAN,  
HAROLD ROGERS,  
RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN,  
TOM LATHAM,  
ROGER F. WICKER,  
ZACH WAMP,  
JO ANN EMERSON,  
JOHN T. DOOLITTLE,  
BILL YOUNG,  
PETER J. VISCLOSKY,  
ED PASTOR,  
JAMES E. CLYBURN,  
LUCILLE ROYBAL-ALLARD,  
*Managers on the Part of the House.*

PETE V. DOMENICI,  
THAD COCHRAN,  
MITCH McCONNELL,  
ROBERT F. BENNETT,  
CONRAD BURNS,  
LARRY CRAIG,  
TED STEVENS,  
HARRY REID,  
ROBERT C. BYRD,  
FRITZ HOLLINGS,  
PATTY MURRAY,  
BYRON L. DORGAN,  
DIANNE FEINSTEIN,  
TOM HARKIN,  
DANIEL K. INOUE,  
*Managers on the Part of the Senate.*