PNNL-23523

Pacific Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

U.S. Department of Energy – Office of Science

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Annual Site Environmental Report for Calendar Year 2013

September 2014

JP Duncan
MR Sackschewsky
HT Tilden
JM Barnett
J Su-Coker
MY Ballinger
BG Fritz
GA Stoetzel
KL Lowry
TW Moon
JM Becker
KM Mendez
EA Raney
MA Chamness
KB Larson

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy
under Contract DE-AC05-76RL01830

DISCLAIMER

PACIFIC NORTHWEST NATIONAL LABORATORY
operated by
BATTELLE
for the
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
under Contract DE-AC05-76RL01830

Printed in the United States of America

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the
Office of Scientific and Technical Information,
P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062;
ph: (865) 576-8401
fax: (865) 576-5728
email: reports@adonis.osti.gov

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service
5301 Shawnee Rd., Alexandria, VA 22312
ph: (800) 553-NTIS (6847)
email: orders@ntis.gov <http://www.ntis.gov/about/form.aspx>
Online ordering: http://www.ntis.gov

This document was printed on recycled paper.

(8/2010)

Addressees:

PACIFIC NORTHWEST NATIONAL LABORATORY ANNUAL SITE ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2013 (PNNL-23523), SEPTEMBER 2014

The Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) Annual Site Environmental Report is prepared and published annually by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Pacific Northwest Site Office for distribution to local, state, and federal government agencies, Congress, non-government organizations, the public, news media, and PNNL and Hanford Site employees. This report includes information for calendar year 2013, but may also include late 2012 and early 2014 data. The purpose of this report is to provide the reader with the most recent information available concerning: 1) the status of PNNL's compliance with federal, state and local governmental laws and regulations; and 2) regional environmental monitoring efforts.

The report addresses facility operations and environmental surveillance occurring on the PNNL Campus in Richland, Washington, and the PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory (MSL) near Sequim, Washington. Environmental activities at other locations are also included if they are under PNNL's responsibility (e.g., a permitted waste storage and treatment unit on the Hanford Site). To the extent possible, information was captured from existing summary reports prepared as required by the contracting entity, consistent with DOE guidance for the preparation of Annual Site Environmental Reports.

This report was prepared for DOE by PNNL staff. If you have any questions or comments about this report, please contact Mr. Thomas McDermott of my staff on (509) 372-4675, or via email at tom.mcdermott@pnso.science.doe.gov.

Sincerely,

Julie K. Erickson
Acting Manager

PNNL-23523

U.S. Department of Energy – Office of Science

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Annual Site Environmental Report for Calendar Year 2013

JP Duncan
MR Sackschewsky
HT Tilden
JM Barnett
J Su-Coker
MY Ballinger
BG Fritz
GA Stoetzel
KL Lowry
TW Moon
JM Becker
KM Mendez
EA Raney
MA Chamness
KB Larson

September 2014

Prepared for
the U.S. Department of Energy
under Contract DE-AC05-76RL01830

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
Richland, Washington 99352

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
Annual Site Environmental Report for 2013

Can We Make This Report More Useful to You?

We want to make this report useful and easy to read. To help us in this effort, please take a few minutes to let us know if the PNNL Annual Site Environmental Report meets your needs. Email us at:

pnsomanager@pnso.science.doe.gov

or print this page and mail it to:

Tom McDermott
Pacific Northwest Site Office, P.O. Box 350 MS K9-42, Richland, WA 99352

How do you use the information in this report?

- ☐ To learn general information about PNNL
- ☐ To learn about doses from PNNL activities
- ☐ To send to others outside the Tri-Cities area
- ☐ To learn about site compliance
- ☐ Other:______________________________

Does this report contain:

☐ Enough detail ☐ Not enough detail ☐ Too much detail

Is the Technical Content:

☐ Too concise ☐ Too wordy ☐ Uneven ☐ Just right

Is the text easy to understand? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If "no" is it: ☐ Too technical ☐ Too detailed ☐ Other_______

Is the report comprehensive? ☐ Yes ☐ No

(Please identify any issues you believe are missing in the Other Comments section.)

Other Comments:

____

____

____

What is your affiliation?

☐ U.S. DOE ☐ Media ☐ State Agency ☐ Federal Agency

☐ Public Interest Group ☐ Member of Native American Nation ☐ Local Agency

☐ Member of the public ☐ University ☐ Industry

Summary

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL), one of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science's 10 national laboratories, provides innovative science and technology development in the areas of energy and the environment, fundamental and computational science, and national security. DOE's Pacific Northwest Site Office (PNSO) is responsible for oversight of PNNL at its Campus in Richland, Washington, and at its facilities in Sequim, Seattle, and North Bonneville, Washington, and Corvallis and Portland, Oregon.

This report provides a synopsis of ongoing environmental management performance and compliance activities conducted during 2013. The report addresses the operations occurring on the PNNL Campus in Richland, Washington, and the PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory (MSL) in Sequim, Washington. It includes a description of the location and background for each facility, addresses compliance with all applicable DOE, federal, state, and local regulations and site-specific permits, documents environmental monitoring efforts and status, presents potential radiation doses to staff and the public in the surrounding areas, and describes DOE-required data quality assurance (QA) methods used for data verification.

Compliance with Federal, State, and Local Laws and Regulations in 2013

PNNL is committed to complying with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations and site-specific permits. In 2013, PNNL was in compliance with applicable requirements, as identified below.

***Clean Air Act* Compliance:** The Washington State Department of Health, Washington State Department of Ecology, Benton Clean Air Agency, and Olympic Region Clean Air Agency have issued permits for PNNL air emissions. Periodic inspections of emission sources occur to verify compliance with applicable requirements and permits. During calendar year (CY) 2013, PNNL maintained compliance with state and federal regulations and with issued air emissions permits. No events associated with air emissions of regulated substances or substances of concern were identified. Radioactive air emissions in CY 2013 were more than 100,000 times lower than the regulatory standard of 10 mrem/yr (0.1 mSv/yr) effective dose equivalent (EDE) for the period (Sections 2.4 and 4.2).

***Clean Water Act* Compliance:** During CY 2013, PNNL operated under permits issued by the Washington State Department of Ecology and the City of Richland (Section 2.5.1). In 2013, there were no environmentally significant releases (Section 2.9.3). The discharge of liquid effluents at MSL complied with MSL's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit in 2013.

CERCLA Compliance: No *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980* (CERCLA) compliance issues were identified in 2013 (Section 2.6.2).

RCRA Compliance: PNNL is responsible for one *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976* (RCRA)-permitted storage and treatment unit on the Hanford Site, operated by the DOE-Richland Operations Office. No issues were identified during routine inspections in 2013 (Section 2.6.4). No RCRA permits are applicable to MSL.

EISA Compliance: *Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007* (EISA) requirements are satisfied through building energy and water evaluations, stormwater management practices, fleet management, and energy savings practices (Section 2.2). In 2013, PNNL complied with these requirements.

Compliance with Biological Resources Statutes: An annual baseline biological survey of undeveloped sections of the PNNL Site was conducted in 2013, as well as 10 ecological reviews for PNNL projects, 8 on the PNNL Site, 1 in the 300 Area of the Hanford Site, and 1 at MSL. Potential project impacts were evaluated for protected plant or animal species or candidates for listing under the *Endangered Species Act of 1973*, species listed by the state of Washington as threatened or endangered, Washington State priority habitats, and bird species protected under the *Migratory Bird Treaty Act* or *Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act*. PNNL implements an interception program to control aquatic invasive plant and animal species, conforming to the requirements of the *Nonindigenous Aquatic Nuisance Prevention and Control Act of 1990***.** PNNL also implements a program to control invasive terrestrial plant species, following Washington State weed control laws (RCW 17.10) and regulations (WAC 16-750-011) (Section 2.7.1). No projects violated biological resources-related federal or state laws, regulations, or conservation concern guidance in 2013 (Sections 2.7.1 and 2.7.2).

Compliance with Cultural Resources Statutes: Several federal Acts and Orders are applicable to protecting cultural resources. *National Historic Preservation Act of 1966* Section 106 reviews are performed prior to any ground-disturbing actions. Eleven Section 106 reviews were conducted for PNNL projects in fiscal year 2013, seven on the PNNL Campus, three in the Hanford Site 300 Area, and one at MSL. No cultural/historical resource compliance issues were identified (Section 2.7.3).

Environmental Performance Measures: PNNL environmental performance measures address the goals and requirements of Executive Order 13423, "Strengthening Federal Environmental, Energy, and Transportation Management," and Executive Order 13514, "Federal Leadership in Environmental, Energy, and Economic Performance." Performance measures include energy efficiency, water conservation, sustainable buildings, and transportation fleet management activities. Objectives and goals were achieved for the majority of performance measures in 2013 (Section 3.0).

Table S.1 summarizes PNNL compliance with federal and state statutes in 2013. Section 2.0 provides further details regarding compliance issues.

Table S.1. Compliance Actions and Status of Federal and Washington State Statutes at PNNL, 2013

Regulation	What It Encompasses	2013 Compliance Actions and Standing
	Federal Statutes	
American Indian Religious Freedom Act; *Antiquities Act of 1906*; *Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1974*; *Archaeological Resources Protection Act of 1979*; *Historic Sites Act of 1935*; *National Historic Preservation Act of 1966*; and *Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act of 1990*	Cultural resources.	Eleven Section 106 cultural resource reviews were conducted for Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) projects in fiscal year (FY) 2013, seven on the PNNL Campus, three in the Hanford Site 300 Area, and one at the PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory (MSL). No cultural/historical resource compliance issues were identified. In addition, 20 projects were reviewed by cultural resource staff to ensure that they were covered by previously conducted Section 106 cultural resource reviews.
Atomic Energy Act of 1954	Management of radioactive materials.	PNNL complies with the *Atomic Energy Act of 1954* through its Radiation Protection Management and Operation Program.
Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act	Protection of bald and golden eagles.	Biological resource reviews provide assurance that proposed actions will not adversely affect bald or golden eagles. PNNL was in compliance.
Clean Air Act	Air quality including emissions from facilities and unmonitored sources.	PNNL operated under permits issued by the Washington State Department of Health, Washington State Department of Ecology, Benton Clean Air Agency, and Olympic Region Clean Air Agency. No events were reported for air emissions of regulated substances or substances of concern. Radioactive air emissions in calendar year (CY) 2013 were more than 100,000 times lower than the regulatory standard of 10 mrem/yr (0.1 mSv/yr) at both the PNNL Campus and MSL. PNNL was in compliance.
Clean Water Act	Point-source discharges to United States surface waters and indirect discharges to sewer systems.	PNNL operated under permits issued by the Washington State Department of Ecology and the City of Richland. PNNL has no stormwater discharges requiring monitoring under the federal or state National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) stormwater regulations. There were no permit exceedances in 2013. MSL operated under an NPDES permit issued by the Washington State Department of Ecology; there were no permit violations at MSL in 2013.

Table S.1. (contd)

Regulation	What It Encompasses	2013 Compliance Actions and Standing
Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972	Encourages the development of coastal zone management plans to preserve, protect, and enhance natural coastal resources and the wildlife using coastal habitats.	PNNL considers and protects coastal resources and the fish and wildlife that use those habitats. PNNL was in compliance.
Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA)	Sites already contaminated by hazardous materials.	PNNL is not part of any Hanford CERCLA operable unit and had no continuous releases in 2013. PNNL was in compliance.
Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986	The public's right to information about hazardous materials in the community and the establishment of emergency planning procedures.	In 2013, PNNL submitted a 302 (extremely hazardous substance) inventory, two Material Safety Data Sheet list reports, and two Tier Two reports. PNNL was not required to submit a Toxic Release Inventory Report for 2013. PNNL was in compliance.
Endangered Species Act of 1973	Rare plant and animal species.	In 2013, a baseline biological survey of the PNNL Site was conducted, as well as 10 ecological reviews for PNNL projects: 8 on the PNNL Site, 1 in the Hanford Site 300 Area, and 1 at MSL. No endangered or threatened species were observed. The first annual survey of biological resources on lands encompassing MSL occurred in 2013; no threatened or endangered species were observed. PNNL was in compliance.
Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA)	Shifting the United States to greater energy independence and security and promoting energy efficiency, conservation, and savings.	PNNL evaluated eight buildings under EISA energy and water evaluation requirements; improvements in energy and water use were observed. PNNL also implemented stormwater management practices to promote water drainage and reduce runoff. PNNL was in compliance.
Federal Facility Compliance Act of 1992	Amends RCRA and CERCLA and requires new mixed waste reporting requirements.	PNNL provides information as part of the Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Reports pursuant to Tri-Party Agreement Milestone M-26. PNNL was in compliance.
Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act	Storage and use of pesticides.	Commercial pesticides were applied at locations on the PNNL Campus and at MSL either by licensed PNNL staff or by a licensed commercial applicator, thereby meeting compliance requirements.
Magnuson–Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act	Essential fish habitat.	This Act provides for protection of essential fish habitat (waters and substrate for spawning, breeding, feeding, and growth to maturity). PNNL was in compliance.

Table S.1. (contd)

Regulation	What It Encompasses	2013 Compliance Actions and Standing
Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972	All marine mammals.	The biological resource review process is the primary means by which PNNL determines whether marine mammal species may be affected by a proposed action. PNNL was in compliance.
Migratory Bird Treaty Act	Migratory birds or their feathers, nests, or eggs.	In 2013, an annual baseline biological survey of the PNNL Site was conducted and 10 ecological reviews were conducted for PNNL projects: 8 on the PNNL Site, 1 in the Hanford Site 300 Area, and 1 at MSL. A number of migratory birds were observed and compliance with the Act was maintained.
National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)	Environmental impact statements, environmental assessments, and categorical exclusions for federal projects that have the potential to affect the quality of the human environment.	PNNL environmental compliance representatives and NEPA staff conducted 1,784 NEPA reviews during FY 2013 for research and support activities. The DOE-Richland Operations Office approved seven project-specific categorical exclusions in 2013; PNSO approved no new categorical exclusions in 2013. PNNL was in compliance.
Nonindigenous Aquatic Nuisance Prevention and Control Act of 1990	Prevents the spread of nonindigenous aquatic nuisance species to non-infested waters.	An aquatic invasive plant and animal species interception program has been developed and implemented by PNNL. PNNL was in compliance.
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (RCRA)	Tracking hazardous waste from generator to treatment, storage, or disposal (referred to as cradle-to-grave management).	PNNL is responsible for one RCRA-permitted storage and treatment unit. Washington State Department of Ecology personnel inspected PNNL once in 2013; no issues were identified. There are no RCRA permits applicable to MSL.
Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899	Prohibits obstruction or alteration of navigable waters.	PNNL had no projects applicable to this Act in 2013.
Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Drinking water systems.	The PNNL Campus receives all drinking water for uses in non-laboratory and laboratory spaces from the City of Richland drinking water supply, and is not subject to requirements pursuant to the *Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974*. *Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974* regulations require that underground injection control wells be registered; this has been completed. At MSL, water is provided exclusively from onsite wells and PNNL is considered the water purveyor. PNNL was in compliance.
Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986	Amends and reauthorizes CERCLA.	The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Integrated Cleanup Initiative continued in its third year, identifying and implementing improvements to land cleanup programs. PNNL was in compliance.

Table S.1. (contd)

Regulation	What It Encompasses	2013 Compliance Actions and Standing
Toxic Substances Control Act	Hazardous chemical regulation and tracking; primarily polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs).	During 2013, PNNL contributed to the 2012 PCB annual document log report for the Hanford Site and 2012 PCB annual report; both were submitted to EPA as required, thereby meeting compliance requirements.
Washington State Statutes		
Hazardous Waste Management Act of 1976	Safe planning, regulation, control, and management of hazardous waste.	PNNL manages hazardous wastes in a safe and responsible manner. Inventories and storage methods are regulated, and reports are submitted as required. PNNL was in compliance.
Revised Code of Washington Chapter 17.10	Control of noxious weeds.	PNNL implemented an invasive terrestrial plant species control program. PNNL was in compliance.
State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)	Identifies environmental impacts of state and local decisions and gives agencies the authority to deny a proposal when adverse environmental impacts are identified.	PNNL environmental compliance representatives and staff review research and support activities, completing SEPA checklists as required. PNNL was in compliance.
Shoreline Management Act of 1971	Shoreline use, environmental protection, and public access.	The PNNL biological resource review process ensures the policies of the *Shoreline Management Act of 1971* are met. PNNL was in compliance.
Washington Clean Air Act	Implements and supplements the *Clean Air Act*, overseeing air quality.	PNNL operated under permits issued by the Washington State Department of Health, Washington State Department of Ecology, Benton Clean Air Agency, and Olympic Region Clean Air Agency. No events were reported for air emissions of regulated substances or substances of concern. PNNL was in compliance.
Washington Pesticide Application Act	Control of pesticide application and use to protect public health and welfare.	Licensed PNNL staff or certified commercial applicators are used to apply pesticides.
Washington Pesticide Control Act	Proper use and control of pesticides.	Licensed PNNL staff or certified commercial applicators are used to apply pesticides.

Environmental Monitoring and Dose Assessment

Air Emissions: Airborne emissions from PNNL facilities are monitored to assess the effectiveness of emission treatment and control systems as well as pollution management practices, and to determine compliance with state and federal regulatory requirements. There were no unplanned releases of regulated substances or substances of concern from PNNL facilities in 2013 (Sections 2.4, 4.2, and 5.2).

Liquid Effluent Monitoring: Liquid effluent discharges from PNNL Campus operations are monitored under permits issued by the Washington State Department of Ecology and the City of Richland. Liquid effluent discharges from MSL operations are monitored under a permit issued by the Washington State Department of Ecology. There were no unplanned releases of regulated pollutants or contaminated wastewater from PNNL facilities, nor were releases of regulated pollutants or contaminated wastewater found during monitoring of routine discharges (Sections 2.5.1, 4.1, and 5.1).

PNNL does not have stormwater discharges requiring monitoring under federal or state NPDES stormwater regulations (Section 2.5.2).

Radiological Release of Property: PNNL uses the pre-approved guideline limits derived from guidance in DOE Order 458.1, Chg 3, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment" when releasing property potentially contaminated with residual radioactive material. No property with detectable residual radioactivity above authorized levels was released from PNNL in 2013 (Section 4.3).

Radiation Protection of Biota: Potential media exposure pathways (air, soil, water, and food) were considered in conjunction with both gaseous and particulate radioactive contamination of air pathways. Calculated dose rates for 2013 were well below dose rate limits for aquatic, terrestrial, and riparian animals and plants for both the PNNL Campus and MSL (Section 4.4).

Environmental Radiological Monitoring: No radiological releases to the environment exceeded permitted limits in 2013.

Radioactive particulates in ambient air are monitored using a particulate air-sampling network located at the perimeter of the PNNL Campus. In 2013, there was no indication that any PNNL activities increased the ambient air concentrations at the air-sampling locations. Population exposure to radionuclide air emissions was determined using the maximum exposed individual (MEI) dose estimate (9.2×10^{-6} mrem [9.2×10^{-8} mSv]) EDE times the population (432,000) found within the 80-km (50-mi) radius of the Campus. The 2013 total collective dose from radionuclide air emissions estimated from nuclides that originate from the PNNL Campus was 7.8×10^{-3} person-rem (7.8×10^{-5} person-Sv). The PNNL Campus MEI location was 0.75 km (0.47 mi) south-southeast of the Physical Sciences Facility (Section 4.2.1).

MSL has two nonpoint-source minor emission units. The associated potential-to-emit registrations indicate emission unit characteristics are primarily particulates with contributions of less than 5.0×10^{-4} mrem/yr (5.0×10^{-6} mSv/yr) EDE. The MSL MEI location was assumed to be 0.19 km (0.12 mi) from the emission point. The EDE to the MEI from routine and nonroutine point-source emissions was 5.0×10^{-5} mrem (5.0×10^{-7} mSv; Section 4.2.2). The MEI dose multiplied by the U.S population (132,000) found within the 48-km (30-mi) radius of MSL resulted in a collective dose of 6.5 $\times 10^{-3}$ person-rem (6.5×10^{-5} person-Sv).

The total dose to either the PNNL Campus or MSL MEI is well below the federal and state standard of 10 mrem/yr (0.1 mSv/yr).

Environmental Nonradiological Program Information: PNNL nonradiological air emissions are below levels requiring stack monitoring; compliance is achieved by conforming to permit conditions (Section 5.0).

Groundwater Protection

Groundwater under the PNNL Campus is monitored routinely through seven groundwater monitoring wells. Contaminants of concern (uranium, tritium, trichloroethylene, and nitrate) either were not detectable or were present in concentrations well below drinking water standards with the exception of nitrate, which exceeded drinking water standards. Nitrate is not a result of PNNL operations; it originates from offsite agricultural and industrial activities.

A ground-source heat pump is used to heat and cool the Biological Sciences Facility/Computational Sciences Facility. No chemicals are added to the system; it is an open-loop system where groundwater is extracted and re-injected into the aquifer. The Washington State Department of Ecology issued a water right for the nonconsumptive use of groundwater for the ground-source heat pump, allowing the withdrawal and use of groundwater by the four production wells at flow rates up to 7,200 L/min (1,900 gpm) and requiring injection of the water back to the aquifer. The discharge permit requires sampling and analysis of the seven groundwater monitoring wells in addition to the four heat pump injection wells, the results of which are reported monthly to the Washington State Department of Ecology. PNNL is in compliance with all sampling requirements of the discharge permit (Section 6.0), and results show no concern with respect to the ground-source heat pump water affecting movement of the contaminant plumes.

No groundwater sampling is required for environmental compliance at MSL.

Quality Assurance

Comprehensive QA programs, which include various quality control practices and methods to verify data, are maintained by monitoring and surveillance projects to ensure data quality (Section 7.0).

Acronyms and Abbreviations

ºC	degrees Celsius
ºF	degrees Fahrenheit
µg/L	microgram(s) per liter
µS/cm	microSiemen(s) per centimeter
ac	acre(s)
A.D.	Anno Domini
ALARA	as low as reasonably achievable
Battelle	Battelle Memorial Institute
BCAA	Benton Clean Air Agency
B.P.	Before Present
Bq	bequerel(s)
BSF	Biological Sciences Facility
Btu	British thermal unit(s)
ca.	circa (approximately)
CERCLA	*Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980*
CFR	*Code of Federal Regulations*
Ci	curie
cm	centimeter(s)
CSF	Computational Sciences Facility
CY	calendar year
d	day
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOE-RL	DOE-Richland Operations Office
DOE-SC	DOE Office of Science
DQO	data quality objective
EDE	effective dose equivalent
EDL	Engineering Development Laboratory
EISA	*Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007*
EMS	Environmental Management System
EMSL	William R. Wiley Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

EPCRA	*Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986*
FR	*Federal Register*
ft	foot (feet)
ft^2	square foot (feet)
ft^3	cubic foot (feet)
FY	fiscal year
g	gram(s)
gal	gallon(s)
GBq	gigabecquerel(s)
GEL	General Engineering Laboratories
GGE	gallon gas equivalent
GHG	greenhouse gas
gpd	gallon(s) per day
gpm	gallon(s) per minute
GRI	Global Reporting Initiative
Gy	gray(s)
ha	hectare(s)
HPSB	High-Performance Sustainable Building
in.	inch(es)
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
k	thousand
kg	kilogram(s)
km	kilometer(s)
km^2	square kilometer(s)
kW	kilowatt(s)
L	liter(s)
L/min	liter(s) per minute
lb	pound(s)
m	meter(s)
m^2	square meter(s)
m^3	cubic meter(s)

m/s	meter(s) per second
MAPEP	Mixed-Analyte Performance Evaluation Program
MEI	maximum exposed individual
meq	milliequivalents
mg/kg	milligrams per kilogram
mg/L	milligrams per liter
mGy/d	milligray per day
mi	mile(s)
mi^2	square mile(s)
min	minute(s)
mho	reciprocal of ohm (conductivity measurement)
mmhos/cm	millimhos per centimeter
mph	mile(s) per hour
mrem	millirem
mrem/yr	millirem per year
MRL	Marine Research Laboratory
MSL	Marine Sciences Laboratory
mSv	millisievert
$MTCO_2e$	metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent
NEPA	*National Environmental Policy Act of 1969*
NESHAP	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NTU	nephelometric turbidity unit(s)
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
pCi/m^3	picocurie(s) per cubic meter
pCi/mL	picocurie(s) per milliliter
PIC-5	Potential Impact Category 5
PNL	Pacific Northwest Laboratory
PNNL	Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
PNSO	Pacific Northwest Site Office
PSF	Physical Sciences Facility
PSL	Physical Sciences Laboratory
PTE	potential-to-emit
QA	quality assurance
QC	quality control

R&D	research and development
RAEL	radioactive air emission license
RCRA	*Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976*
RCW	Revised Code of Washington
RTL	Research Technology Laboratory
s	second(s)
SEPA	*State Environmental Policy Act*
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
WAC	*Washington Administrative Code*
WDFW	Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
yr	year

Contents

Figures

Tables

1.0 Introduction

This environmental report was prepared to meet the requirements of U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Order 231.1B, "Environment, Safety and Health Reporting," by providing a synopsis of calendar year (CY) 2013 information related to environmental management performance and compliance efforts at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL). It summarizes site compliance with federal, state, and local environmental laws, regulations, policies, directives, permits, and Orders and environmental management performance benchmarks.

This report is the primary document for reporting PNNL annual site environmental and operating performance, in addition to providing environmental information to Native American tribes, public officials, regulatory agencies, other interested groups, and the public. Appendix A lists information to assist the reader, including scientific notation, units of measure, unit conversions, and radionuclide and chemical information. Appendix B is a glossary of terms.

PNNL, one of 10 DOE Office of Science (DOE-SC) national laboratories, is a multi-program facility that delivers breakthrough science and technology in the areas of energy and environment, fundamental and computational science, and national security. Operated by Battelle Memorial Institute (Battelle) under contract to DOE-SC's Pacific Northwest Site Office (PNSO), PNNL also performs work for a diverse set of clients including the National Nuclear Security Administration, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), DOE Office of Environmental Management, and other federal agencies. PNSO is responsible for program implementation, acquisition management, and laboratory stewardship at PNNL. Through its oversight role, PNSO manages the safe and efficient operation of PNNL while enabling the pursuit of visionary research and development (R&D) in support of complex national energy and environmental missions.

1.1 Location

JP Duncan

PNNL includes facilities in Richland, Washington at the PNNL Campus and the PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory (MSL) near Sequim, Washington (Figure 1.1). Environmental activities at other locations are also included if they are under PNNL's responsibility (e.g., a permitted waste storage and treatment unit on the Hanford Site). In addition, PNNL conducts research at satellite offices at various other locations, including North Bonneville and Seattle, Washington, and Portland and Corvallis, Oregon.

1.1.1 PNNL Campus

The PNNL Campus is located in Benton County in southeastern Washington State, 275 km (171 mi) east-northeast of Portland, Oregon, 270 km (168 mi) southeast of Seattle, Washington, and 200 km (124 mi) southwest of Spokane, Washington. It is located at the northern boundary of the City of Richland and south of the DOE-Richland Operations Office's (DOE-RL's) Hanford Site 300 Area. The PNNL Campus covers approximately 247 ha (610 ac), encompassing the DOE-owned PNNL Site, adjacent land and facilities owned by Battelle that are under an exclusive-use agreement with DOE, and leased facilities located on private land and the Washington State University Tri-Cities campus (Figure 1.2). The area immediately south of the PNNL Campus includes public and privately owned land, currently envisioned to be developed with office, laboratory, residential, and retail space as part of the Tri-Cities Research District.

Figure 1.1. Locations of the PNNL Campus and PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory in Washington State



Figure 1.2. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Campus and Surrounding Area

1.1.2 PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory

In 1967, Battelle acquired acreage on Sequim Bay on the Strait of Juan de Fuca in Washington's Puget Sound near the city of Sequim, hereafter referred to as Battelle Land–Sequim. In the rain shadow of the Olympic Mountains and less than 16 km (10 mi) north of Olympic National Park, the area encompasses 60.7 ha (150 ac) of uplands and tidelands, about 3 ha (7.4 ac) of which have been developed for research operations on the northern portion of the Olympic Peninsula, in Clallam County, Washington. The developed portion of Battelle Land–Sequim includes MSL facilities, an innovative seawater treatment system, research docks, and outdoor experimental tanks and ponds (Figure 1.3), where research scientists and engineers investigate and develop technologies to address marine research, national defense, homeland and global security, and intelligence analysis. DOE has exclusive use of MSL facilities, with operations consolidated under PNSO oversight.



Figure 1.3. Battelle Land–Sequim Encompassing the PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory Facilities and Surrounding Environment

1.2 History and Mission

JP Duncan

The history and mission of the PNNL facilities managed by PNSO are discussed in the following sections.

1.2.1 PNNL Campus

The Hanford Engineer Works was established in 1943 for the production of plutonium for nuclear weapons for World War II. The federal government used the power of eminent domain to displace the residents of Richland and the small farming communities of White Bluffs and Hanford to acquire the land. Native American tribes in the area were also displaced. Demolition of the original Richland buildings began after land condemnation, and project construction began immediately thereafter. Construction workers were housed primarily in temporary barracks at the site during construction of the facilities, and a new Richland community was built to house the 16,000 Hanford Engineer Works employees.

With the advent of the Cold War, the Atomic Energy Commission expanded reactor construction and Hanford Site operations were transferred to the General Electric Company. Between 1947 and 1955, five new reactors were added to the Hanford Site to increase plutonium-production capacity. The present site of PNNL and the surrounding area was used as a construction housing camp for postwar development. From 1951, when expansion construction was nearly complete, to 1961 the land was known as Camp Hanford. It was used for housing anti-aircraft defense personnel and support activities.

In 1964, the federal government issued a request for contractors to bid to operate the Hanford Site laboratories to conduct R&D activities related to nuclear energy and the non-destructive use of nuclear materials. In January 1965, Battelle was awarded the Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL) contract and, as part of the successful proposal, was able to invest its own funds to construct facilities to conduct non-Hanford Site research to promote R&D around the Pacific Northwest. Battelle bought 93 ha (230 ac) of former Camp Hanford land from the City of Richland to build its facilities. Under the original contract, more than 2,200 former General Electric Company employees joined the Battelle workforce.

In the late 1970s, research expanded into energy, health, environmental, and national security endeavors. With the expanded areas of research, PNL contributed to areas such as robotics, environmental monitoring, material coatings, veterinary medicine, and the formation of new plastics. In 1995, PNL was renamed Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. Throughout the ensuing years, PNNL researchers have developed versatile technologies, earning numerous R&D 100 awards, Federal Laboratory Consortium awards, and Innovation awards for their R&D work and contributions.

PNNL is operated by Battelle for DOE-SC's PNSO, which was established in 2003. PNSO is responsible for overseeing all activities conducted at PNNL as well as for monitoring laboratory compliance with applicable laws, policies, and DOE Orders. Research facilities on the PNNL Campus include the William R. Wiley Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory (EMSL), the Physical Sciences Facility (PSF) complex, the Engineering Development Laboratory (EDL), Life Sciences Laboratory 2 (LSL2), and Biological Sciences Facility/Computational Sciences Facility (BSF/CSF) (Figure 1.4). The PSF complex includes the Materials and Science Technology Laboratory for the development and analysis of high-performance materials for energy, construction, and transportation technologies and systems, as well as the Radiation Detection Laboratory and Ultra-Trace Laboratory for the development of radiation detection methodologies. The Radiation Portal Monitoring Test Track and Large Detector Laboratory, also part of the PSF complex, are designed to develop and test radiation detection technologies for border entry points and national and homeland security research projects. Research in the EDL is focused on national security, with an emphasis on electromagnetics/radiography, optics/infrared spectroscopy, and acoustics/ultrasonics. LSL2 is a biology and vivarium research facility, containing special support systems to control environmental conditions within the facility. BSF is solely occupied by the Biological Sciences Division, which performs systems biology research and develops technologies focused on how cells, cell communities, and organisms sense and respond to their environment. CSF investigations include the development of visual analytics technologies, cyber analytics, and critical infrastructure assessment and protection.



Figure 1.4. Major PNNL Research Facilities (PSF = Physical Sciences Facility; BSF/CSF = Biological Sciences Facility/Computational Sciences Facility; EMSL = Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory)

1.2.2 PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory

Construction of the Marine Research Laboratory (MRL) began in 1967. Part of the acreage was originally a Native American village listed in the Washington Heritage Register in 1972 as *Suxtcikwi'in*, Washington Harbor Indian Village. Before being selected as the site of the MRL, the land was the site of the Bugge Clam Cannery, which was established in 1907. The original cannery, destroyed in a fire in 1929, was rebuilt and continued operation until Battelle acquired the land in 1967 (Russell 1971).

As part of Battelle's commitment to developing research facilities that would benefit the region and serve the environment, the MRL at Sequim was conceived to provide laboratories for marine-related work involving biology, physiology, histology, chemistry, physics, and engineering. In 1973, the MRL opened; it was later renamed Marine Research Operations and is now referred to as the MSL.

In 2002, PNNL established a Coastal Security Institute as a new component of MSL. The Institute's mission is to support intelligence, national security, and homeland security operations in coastal regions and marine environments, both domestically and globally. In October 2012, the PNNL operating contract was revised, giving DOE exclusive use of MSL and consolidating operations under PNSO oversight.

Currently, researchers at MSL provide innovative science and technology solutions critical to the nation's energy, environmental, and security future. Capabilities include environmental chemistry, water and ecosystem modeling, remote sensing, remediation technology research, environmental sensors, ecotoxicology, biotechnology, and national and homeland security.

1.3 Demographics

JP Duncan

The PNNL Campus is located in Benton County, south of the Hanford Site. The Hanford Site is mostly flat, semi-arid, and primarily restricted from public access. Residents to the north, east, and west generally live on farms or in farming communities. Residents to the south and southwest live in the urban communities of Richland, Kennewick, Pasco, and West Richland.

In 2013, an estimated 184,500 people lived in Benton County and 86,600 people lived in adjacent Franklin County, increases of 5.3 percent and 10.8 percent, respectively, over 2010 figures (USCB 2014a, b). During 2013, Benton and Franklin counties accounted for 3.9 percent of Washington's population. Based on U.S. Census population data, the population within an 80-km (50-mi) radius of the PNNL Campus is estimated to be about 432,000. This population estimate is used to calculate the radiation dose (Section 4.2).

MSL is located in Clallam County, Washington, an area of approximately 4,500 km^2 (1,740 mi^2) in the northwestern corner of Washington State. An estimated 72,300 people lived in Clallam County in 2013; this is an increase of approximately 2 percent over 2010 figures and equivalent to approximately 1 percent of Washington's population (USCB 2014c). Sequim, the nearest population center to MSL, had a population of 6,624 people in 2012 (USCB 2014d). An estimated 132,000 people (on the U.S. side of the border) live within 48 km (30 mi) of Sequim and an estimated 1.45 million reside 48–80 km (30–50 mi) from Sequim. Victoria, British Columbia, the closest major city, has an estimated population of 344,000 people. Seattle, Washington, within 80 km (50 mi) of MSL, has a population greater than 634,000.

1.4 Environmental Setting – PNNL Campus

BG Fritz

The PNNL Campus occupies land with varying degrees of previous disturbance, the severity and duration of which are indicated somewhat by current vegetation. Upland areas with lower levels of prior disturbance largely support native shrub-steppe vegetation, while more heavily disturbed uplands support more invasive, non-native shrub-steppe vegetation. Certain uplands have undergone complete habitat conversion and support facilities with landscaping. The riparian zone of the Columbia River is largely undisturbed and supports both native and non-native vegetation.

1.4.1 Geology and Soils

The PNNL Campus lies above a gentle syncline formed by the intersection of the Yakima Fold Belt and the gently west-dipping Palouse Slope. The uppermost basalt flow belongs to the Ice Harbor member of the Saddle Mountains Basalt. The overlying sediment layers are relatively thin, consisting of Ringold Formation and Hanford formation sediments. These sediment layers are predominantly coarse sandy alluvial deposits mantled by windblown sand. A generalized suprabasalt stratigraphic column showing what underlies the PNNL Campus is shown in Figure 1.5. The stratigraphic column for the upper Ringold Formation and the Hanford formation is based on information obtained from the drilling of 11 boreholes within the footprint of the BSF/CSF on the PNNL Campus (Freedman et al. 2010). Additional stratigraphic information was obtained from previously existing geologic logs for nearby irrigation wells, water-supply wells, monitoring wells, and characterization boreholes associated with environmental remediation activities. The uppermost geologic unit in the study area is the Hanford formation—a highly permeable mixture of sand and gravel that was deposited by the Ice Age floods during the late Pleistocene period. These poorly sorted and unconsolidated sediments generally cover a wide range of sizes, from boulder-sized gravel to sand, silt, and clay. Late Miocene to Pliocene-aged sediments of the Ringold Formation underlie the Hanford formation. The Ringold Formation is texturally and structurally distinct from the overlying Hanford formation and displays lower hydraulic conductivity. The Ringold Formation contains sands, gravels, and muds that are typically more consolidated and less permeable than those in the Hanford formation. The basalt underlying the Ringold Formation has a very low vertical hydraulic conductivity, forming an aquitard between the base of the unconfined aquifer and the confined aquifers within the basalt formations.

1.4.2 Hydrology

The general direction of groundwater flow under the PNNL Campus is toward the east-northeast from the Yakima River to the Columbia River (Figure 1.6). The northeasterly flow direction is likely influenced by the City of Richland recharge ponds, upgradient irrigation, and the Yakima River. In addition, the 300 Area of the Hanford Site has been shown to be a convergence zone for groundwater flow (Peterson et al. 2005), which may also contribute to the local gradient of the PNNL Campus.



Figure 1.5. Generalized Stratigraphic Column Depicting the Stratigraphy Underlying the PNNL Campus (Modified from Reidel et al. 1992; Thorne et al. 1993; Lindsey 1995; Williams et al. 2000; DOE-RL 2002; and Williams et al. 2007)

Field data collected on and around the PNNL Campus indicate that the unconfined aquifer is predominantly in the Ringold Formation; however, depending on the water table elevation, the aquifer may inundate portions of the Hanford formation. The vadose zone consists of unsaturated sediments between the ground surface and the water table. This zone occurs predominantly within sandy gravel, gravelly sand, and silty, sandy gravel of the Hanford formation (Newcomer 2007). In some areas, the Ringold Formation extends above the water table into the lower part of the vadose zone. The local thickness of the vadose zone is about 15 m (49 ft) at PNNL. In general, the thickness of the vadose zone decreases with proximity to the Columbia River, as the ground surface slopes toward the river.



Figure 1.6. Water Table Elevations (m) in 2012 (modified from DOE-RL 2013a). Groundwater flow direction is normal to the water table contour lines. The approximate PNNL Campus is bordered in red.

1.4.3 Climate and Meteorology

Temperature, precipitation, and wind across the Columbia Basin are affected by mountain barriers. The Cascade Range, west of Yakima, greatly influences the climate at the PNNL Campus because of its rain-shadow effect. The Rocky Mountains and ranges in southern British Columbia protect the region from severe, cold polar air masses moving southward across Canada and the winter storms associated with them. The Hanford Meteorology Station operates an array of remote meteorological towers across the Hanford Site. Located north of the PNNL Campus, the Hanford Meteorology Station conducts meteorological monitoring to support Hanford Site operations, emergency preparedness and response, and atmospheric dispersion calculations for dose assessments. Normal monthly average temperatures on the Hanford Site range from a low of –0.5°C (31.1°F) in December to a high of 25.1°C (77.1°F) in July (DOE-RL 2014a). The normal annual relative humidity at the Hanford Meteorology Station is 55 percent. Humidity is highest during winter, when it averages approximately 76 percent, and lowest during summer, when it averages approximately 36 percent (DOE-RL 2014a). Normal annual precipitation at the Hanford Meteorology Station is 18.1 cm (7.14 in.). Most precipitation occurs during late autumn and winter, with more than half of the annual amount occurring from November through February. In 2013, average temperatures, total precipitation, and average humidity were calculated from Hanford Site meteorological monitoring data collected at the 300 Area meteorological monitoring station, located approximately 500 m (1,640 ft) northwest of the PNNL Campus. The 300 Area meteorological tower is used for applications requiring PNNL Campus-specific climatology including engineering design and atmospheric dispersion modeling. The average temperature measured at the 300 Area station in CY 2013 was 12.1°C (53.8°F). Precipitation for 2013 totaled 11.6 cm (4.57 in.) (DOE-RL 2014a).

Winds from the northwestern quadrant are the most common during winter and summer. During spring and fall, the frequency of southwesterly winds increases, with corresponding decreases in the northwesterly flow (Poston et al. 2011). Monthly average wind speeds are lowest during winter months, averaging about 3 m/s (6 to 7 mph), and highest during summer, averaging about 4 m/s (8 to 9 mph). Wind speeds well above average are usually associated with southwesterly winds. However, summertime drainage winds are generally northwesterly and frequently exceed 13 m/s (30 mph) (Poston et al. 2011). The average wind speed in 2013 at the PNNL Campus (as measured at the 300 Area meteorological monitoring station) was 3.3 m/s (7.5 mph). The peak gust for the year was 31.9 m/s (71.4 mph) on August 25, 2013 (DOE-RL 2014a).

Atmospheric dispersion is a function of wind speed, wind duration and direction, atmospheric stability, and mixing depth. Dispersion conditions are generally good if winds are moderate to strong, the atmosphere is of neutral or unstable stratification, and there is a deep mixing layer. Good dispersion conditions associated with neutral and unstable stratification exist approximately 57 percent of the time at the Hanford Site during summer (Poston et al. 2011). Less favorable conditions may occur when wind speed is light and the mixing layer is shallow. These conditions are most common during winter, when moderate to extremely stable stratification exists (approximately 66 percent of the time). Occasionally, (primarily during winter) poor dispersion conditions, associated with stagnant air in stationary high-pressure systems, occur for extended periods. Fog has been recorded during every month of the year at the Hanford Meteorology Station; however, fog occurs mostly from November through February. In 2013, there were 40 days with fog (visibility less than or equal to 9.6 km [6 mi]), 14 less than the normal 54 days of fog for the entire period of record (1945-2013). Five dust storms were recorded at the Hanford Meteorology Station in 2013; the region has averaged four dust storms per year for the entire period of record (1945-2013).

1.4.4 Ecology

JM Becker

The PNNL Campus is located in the lowest and most arid portion of the Columbia Plateau Ecoregion (EPA 2013)—the largest ecoregion in Washington, which is bordered by the Cascade Range to the west and the Blue and Rocky mountains to the east (WWHCWG 2014). The semi-arid climate of the Columbia Plateau supports native shrub-steppe vegetation, more than half of which has been converted to agriculture. The remaining shrub-steppe habitat is mostly fragmented (WWHCWG 2014); a significant exception is the Hanford Site, which is adjacent to and just north of the PNNL Site and has been protected from agricultural use and development for more than 65 years. The PNNL Campus south of Horn Rapids Road is entirely maintained landscapes, agricultural fields, and previously disturbed, early-successional habitats. The undeveloped areas north of Horn Rapids Road retain much of the native biodiversity and community structure.

Soils on the PNNL Campus are primarily sandy and support mostly native shrub-steppe vegetation. Shrub-steppe plant communities found on the site are dominated primarily by big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata*) and native perennial bunchgrasses. Antelope bitterbrush (*Purshia tridentata*) and gray and green rabbitbrush (*Ericameria nauseosa* and *Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus,* respectively) are common shrubs co-occurring with big sagebrush. The most common perennial bunchgrass in the area is Sandberg's bluegrass (*Poa secunda*), but several stands of needle-and-thread grass (*Hesperostipa comata*) dominate sandy swales within the area, and Indian ricegrass (*Achnathrum hymenoides)* also is represented in several sandy areas containing antelope bitterbrush. The non-native cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) occurs in all plant communities on the PNNL Site. Common native forb species include Carey's balsamroot (*Balsamorhiza careyana*), long-leaved phlox (*Phlox longifolia*), yarrow (*Achillea millefolium*), turpentine spring parsley (*Cymopterus terebinthinus*), and daisy fleabane (*Erigeron* spp.). Common non-native forbs include tumble mustard (*Sisymbrium altissimum*), Russian thistle (*Salsola tragus*), and several species listed as Class B and Class C noxious weeds. Common Class B noxious weeds include diffuse knapweed (*Centaurea diffusa*), rush skeletonweed (*Chondrilla juncea*), Russian knapweed (*Acroptilon repens*), kochia (*Kochia scoparia*), puncturevine (*Tribulus terrestris*), and yellow starthistle (*Centaurea solstitialis*). Common Class C noxious weeds include field bindweed (*Convolvulus arvensis*). The Class B and Class C noxious weeds listed above are all classified as such by the state of Washington (WAC 16-750-011 and WAC 16-750-015, respectively).

Sagebrush-steppe communities support a variety of wildlife, including coyote (*Canis latrans*), mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*), northern pocket gopher (*Thomomys talpoides*), and black-tailed jackrabbit (*Lepus californicus*). Migratory bird species that have been observed and likely nest on the PNNL Campus include, but are not limited to, mourning doves (*Zenaida macroura*), lark sparrows (*Chondestes grammacus*), horned larks (*Eremophila alpestris*), western meadowlarks (*Sturnella neglecta*), and sage sparrows (*Amphispiza belli*). California quail (*Callipepla californica*) have also been observed. Several Washington State candidate animal species are known to occur or potentially occur on the PNNL Campus (Table 1.1).

In addition to shrub-steppe upland communities, a narrow riparian community exists along the Columbia River shoreline on the eastern part of the PNNL Campus. Riparian vegetation is limited in extent; narrow bands near the water consist of a number of forbs, grasses, sedges, reeds, rushes, cattails, and scattered groups of deciduous trees and shrubs. Common tree species along the shoreline include

Table 1.1. Wildlife, Fish, and Plant Species of Conservation Concern Known to Occur or That Potentially Occur on the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Campus or in the Columbia River

Common Name[(a)]	Genus and Species	Federal Status[(b)]	State Status[(c)]
		Wildlife	
Black-tailed jackrabbit	*Lepus californicus*		Candidate
Burrowing owl	*Athene cunicularia*	Species of Concern	Candidate
Loggerhead shrike	*Lanius ludovicianus*	Species of Concern	Candidate
Northern sagebrush lizard	*Sceloporus graciosus*	Species of Concern	Candidate
Sage sparrow	*Amphispiza belli*		Candidate
Townsend ground squirrel	*Spermophilus townsendii*	Species of Concern	Candidate
		Fish	
Upper Columbia River spring Chinook salmon	*Oncorhynchus tschawytscha*	Endangered	Candidate
Upper Columbia River steelhead	*Oncorhynchus mykiss*	Threatened	Candidate
		Plants	
Awned half-chaff sedge	*Lipocarpha aristulata*		Threatened
Canadian St. John's-Wort	*Hypericum majus*		Sensitive
Grand redstem	*Ammania robusta*		Threatened
Lowland toothcup	*Rotala ramosior*		Threatened
Persistent sepal yellowcress	*Rorippa columbiae*	Species of Concern	Endangered

Sources: WDFW (2014a) and WDNR (2014)

(a) The black-tailed jackrabbit, burrowing owl, and sage sparrow have been observed on the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) Site. Other wildlife species potentially occur on the PNNL Campus based on availability of suitable habitat. Plant species potentially occur in the riparian zone of the Columbia River on the PNNL Campus (Salstrom et al. 2012; WDNR 2014; Sackschewsky et al. 2013).

(b) Federal species of concern are those that may be in need of conservation actions, ranging from monitoring of populations and habitat to listing as federally threatened or endangered. Federal species of concern receive no legal protection and the classification does not imply that the species will eventually be proposed for listing as threatened or endangered (USFWS 2013).

(c) Candidate animal species are those fish and wildlife species that the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife will review for possible listing as endangered, threatened, or sensitive by Washington State (WDFW 2014a). Threatened plant species are those that are likely to become endangered within the near future in Washington if the factors contributing to population decline or habitat loss continue. Endangered plant species are in danger of becoming extinct or extirpated from the state of Washington. Sensitive species are vulnerable or declining and could become endangered or threatened in the state without active management or removal of threats (WDNR 2014).

Siberian elm (*Ulmus pumila*), white mulberry (*Morus alba*), poplars (*Populus* spp.), and tree-of-heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*). Shrub willows (*Salix exigua*) and wild rose (*Rosa woodsii*) are common shrub species in the riparian zone downstream of the Hanford Site 300 Area. Common herbaceous species along the shoreline include reed canarygrass (*Phalaris arundinacea*), a Class C noxious weed (WAC 16-750-015), Columbia tickseed (*Coreopsis atkinsonia*), cocklebur (*Xanthium strumarium*), and chicory (*Cichorium intybus*). Several Washington State threatened or endangered plant species potentially occur along the shoreline of the PNNL Campus (Table 1.1).

Riparian habitats along the Columbia River in the Columbia Plateau Ecoregion support a diverse assemblage of wildlife. The area managed by PNSO, extending from a point south of the Hanford Site 300 Area along the river shore, consists of multilayered trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species. The area may be used for daytime perching by wintering bald eagles (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*), and the riparian zone along with the upland area is used by nesting osprey (*Pandion haliaetus*). A large number of migratory bird species, including eastern kingbird (*Tyrannus tyrannus*) and Bullock's oriole (*Icterus bullockii*), use riparian trees and shrubs as nesting habitat. Many migratory bird species use the riparian habitats for resting and feeding during spring and fall migration.

Both shrub-steppe and riparian habitats are listed by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) as priority habitats for the state and are considered to be priorities for management and conservation (WDFW 2008). *Priority habitats* are those habitat types or elements with unique or significant value to a diverse assemblage of species.

The Columbia River supports a diverse fish and invertebrate community. The Columbia River is used by fall Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tschawytscha*), as well as *Endangered Species Act*-listed Upper Columbia River spring Chinook salmon (70 FR 37160) and Upper Columbia River steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) (74 FR 42605). The Columbia River constitutes critical habitat and essential fish habitat for these species. Functions of this habitat for steelhead include juvenile rearing areas, juvenile migration corridors, areas for growth and development to adulthood, adult migration corridors, and spawning areas. Functions of this habitat for Chinook salmon include juvenile rearing and juvenile and adult migration (DOE-RL 2013b). The primary invertebrate fauna include caddisfly and chironomid larvae, crayfish (*Pacifasticus leniusculus towbridgii*), and western floater (*Anodonta kennerlyi*).

1.5 Environmental Setting – PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory Vicinity

BG Fritz

Battelle Land-Sequim consists of forests, sandy beach shoreline, a bluff line, and developed areas with roads and structures (Figure 1.3). MSL facilities include buildings on the shoreline, as well as structures approximately 27 m (90 ft) higher in elevation on the bluff overlooking the ocean.

The geology immediately underlying MSL is composed of glacial till from the Vashon glaciations 10,000 to 15,000 years ago. This glacial till sits atop several alternating layers of coarse- and fine-grained units, and ultimately bedrock around 305 m (1,000 ft) below ground surface. This layered stratigraphy results in several confined aquifers below the region, as well as the uppermost unconfined aquifer. The aquifer units (both confined and unconfined) consist primarily of coarse-grained sand and gravel, while the confining units generally consist of fine-grained silt and clay deposits, but may contain discontinuous lenses of water-bearing sand and gravel (Thomas et al. 1999). The unconfined aquifer is nominally 9 m (30 ft) below ground surface under most of MSL, and it moves in a northeasterly direction toward Sequim Bay.

The region is positioned in the rain shadow of the Olympic Mountains, so it receives less than 38 cm (15 in.) of rainfall annually despite its coastal location. The area experiences cool, wet winters and warm, dry summers with average monthly temperatures ranging from –0.6°C to 21°C (31°F to 70°F). No meteorological data are currently collected onsite. Weather in this region is affected by both marine and high mountain influences. The National Data Buoy Center records daily meteorological data just offshore from MSL. The average temperature for 2013 was 9.0°C (48.2°F). Regional winds are primarily from the northwest, averaging 4.5 m/s (10 mph); however, the local topography of Battelle Land–Sequim may result in localized wind patterns. The maximum wind speed recorded in 2013 was 18.9 m/s (42.2 mph) (NDBC 2014).

1.5.1 Ecology

JM Becker

The MSL (Figure 1.3) lies in the Olympic Rain Shadow subdivision of the Puget Lowlands Ecoregion, a north-south depression between the Olympic Peninsula and western slopes of the Cascade Mountains (Ecology 2007) that flanks the coastline of Puget Sound, and features many islands, peninsulas, and bays (EPA 2013). Timber harvesting and cultivation have fragmented the original vegetation of the Puget Lowlands that once consisted of coniferous forest and expanses of prairie-oak woodland (WWF 2014). Today, second-growth coniferous forest and agricultural fields occupy much of the ecoregion's glacial moraines, outwash plains, floodplains, and terraces (EPA 2013; LandScope Washington 2014). These patterns of disturbance have influenced the development of the current vegetation and cover types at MSL and surrounding areas that consist largely of upland second-growth mixed coniferous and deciduous forest and agricultural fields, with adjacent areas of beach, feeder bluff (i.e., eroding bluffs), and spit habitat along Sequim Bay (Clallam County 2013).

The distribution of forest species is affected by the rain shadow of the Olympic Mountains (Dungeness River Audubon Center 2014a; EPA 2013). The local rain shadow results in some of the driest sites encountered in the ecoregion, including the vicinity of MSL and nearby San Juan Islands (Dungeness River Audubon Center 2014a). Moist areas tend to support stands of western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla*) and western red cedar (*Thuja plicata*), while drier sites tend to support mixed stands of Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) with Garry oak (*Quercus garryana*), Pacific dogwood (*Cornus nuttallii*), and Pacific madrone (*Arbutus menziesii*) (WWF 2014). The MSL vicinity supports a mixed coniferous forest consisting of a mixture of such tree species, including Douglas fir, western hemlock, western red cedar, and Pacific madrone, as well as riparian species such as red alder (*Alnus rubra*), bigleaf maple (*Acer macrophyllum*), and willows (*Salix* spp.) (Dungeness River Audubon Center 2007).

The relatively undisturbed nearshore areas of Puget Sound and the open coast are listed by the WDFW as a priority habitat for the state (WDFW 2008), and are therefore considered to be a priority for management and conservation (Clallam County 2013). The shore habitat (marine riparian zone) of such areas extends inland from the ordinary high-water mark to the portion of the terrestrial landscape that influences it or that directly influences the aquatic ecosystem. The shore includes feeder bluffs, such as those that front on the MSL, which are an important source of sediments that form and sustain beaches (WDFW 2008).

The nearshore and open-water environment of Sequim Bay provides potential habitat to various aquatic and terrestrial species, most notably federally listed threatened species such as the bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*) (64 FR 58910), Puget Sound Chinook salmon (70 FR 37160), Hood Canal summer-run chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*) (70 FR 37160), and Puget Sound steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) (72 FR 26722). Sequim Bay is designated critical habitat for bull trout (75 FR 63898), Puget Sound Chinook salmon, and Hood Canal summer-run chum salmon (70 FR 52630), and is proposed as critical habitat for Puget Sound steelhead (78 FR 2726). Sequim Bay also provides potential habitat for the federally threatened North American green sturgeon (*Acipenser medirostris*) (71 FR 17757), Pacific eulachon (Columbia River smelt; *Thaleichthys pacificus*) (75 FR 13012), yelloweye rockfish (*Sebastes ruberrimus*) (75 FR 22276), Puget Sound canary rockfish (*Sebastes pinniger*) (75 FR 22276), and marbled murrelet (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*) (75 FR 3424), as well as federally endangered Puget Sound bocaccio (*Sebastes paucispinis*) (75 FR 22276). Sequim Bay contains proposed nearshore and deepwater critical habitat for yelloweye rockfish, Puget Sound canary rockfish, and bocaccio (78 FR 47635). Critical habitat for the marble murrelet occurs at the southwest end of Sequim Bay about 4 mi south of the MSL (61 FR 26256). The nearshore environment of Sequim Bay is also spawning habitat for forage fish species such as Pacific sand lance (*Ammodytes hexapterus*) and surf smelt (*Hypomesus pretiosus*) (Ecology 2014; MRC 2014; WDFW 2014b).

Common mammal species in the Puget Lowlands ecoregion include raccoon (*Procyon lotor*), mink (*Mustela viso*n), coyote, and black-tailed deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*) (WWF 2014). These species likely are also common in the MSL vicinity. Travis Spit, located in Sequim Bay about 0.4 km (0.25 mi) from MSL, provides a haulout area for harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina*) (WDFW 2014c). Avian species found at the site are representative of the rich bird diversity of the north Olympic Peninsula (Dungeness River Audubon Center 2010). The groups represented and some of their most common species include waterfowl such as the bufflehead (*Bucephala albeola*); birds of prey such as the bald eagle; seabirds such as the Olympic gull (*Larus glaucescens x occidentalis*); upland game birds such as mourning dove; colonial nesting waterbirds such as the great blue heron (*Ardea herodias*); woodpeckers such as the downy woodpecker (*Picoides pubescens*); and a variety of perching birds. Six salamander and five frog and toad species occur in the MSL vicinity, the most common being the rough-skinned newt (*Taricha granulosa*) and Pacific tree frog (*Pseudacris regilla*) (Dungeness River Audubon Center 2014b). Three snake and one lizard species also occur in the MSL vicinity, the most common of which are the common garter snake (*Thamnophis sirtalis*) and northwestern garter snake (*Thamnophis ordinoides*) (Dungeness River Audubon Center 2014b). Four animal species of conservation concern are known to occur or potentially occur on Battelle Land–Sequim property (Table 1.2).

1.6 Cultural Setting – PNNL Campus

KM Mendez and MR Sackschewsky

The archaeological record of the Mid-Columbia Basin bears evidence of more than 8,000 years of human occupation. Regional development of hydroelectric dams, highways, commercial and residential real estate, and agriculture has obscured or destroyed much of archaeological record. Despite continual development in the region, there are still places within the Columbia Basin that remain largely undisturbed, including portions of the PNNL Campus. Because the arid climate provides favorable environmental conditions for preservation of materials that might otherwise decay more quickly, there is a potential that evidence of past human behavior may be present within these undisturbed areas. The history of the Mid-Columbia Basin includes three distinct periods of human occupation: the Pre-Contact period, the Euro-American period, and the Manhattan Project period.

Table 1.2. Animal Species of Conservation Concern Known to Occur or that Potentially Occur in the Vicinity of the PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory

Common Name[(a)]	Genus and Species	Federal Status[(b)]	State Status[(c)]
Bald eagle	*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*	Species of Concern	Sensitive
Peregrine falcon	*Falco peregrinus*	Species of Concern	Sensitive
Sand-verbena moth	*Copablepharon fuscum*		Candidate
Taylor's checkerspot butterfly	*Euphydryas editha taylori*	Endangered[(d)]	Endangered
Western toad	*Anaxyrus boreas*	Species of Concern	Candidate

Source: WDFW (2014a)

(a) The bald eagle, peregrine falcon, and western toad are known to occur in the vicinity of the PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory (MSL). Taylor's checkerspot butterfly and sand-verbena moth potentially occur in the vicinity of the MSL Site based on availability of suitable habitat.

(b) Species of concern are those that may be in need of conservation actions that could range from monitoring of populations and habitat to listing as federally threatened or endangered. Federal species of concern receive no legal protection and the classification does not imply that the species will eventually be proposed for listing as threatened or endangered. Proposed species are those for which the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has sufficient information about biological vulnerability and threats to support issuance of a proposed rule to list as federally threatened or endangered (USFWS 2013).

(c) Sensitive species are those that are native to the state of Washington, vulnerable or declining and likely to become endangered or threatened in a significant portion of their range within the state without cooperative management or removal of threats. Endangered species are those that are native to the state of Washington and are seriously threatened with extinction throughout all or a significant portion of their range within the state (WAC-232-12-297).

(d) Listed as Federally endangered in 2013 (78 FR 61451). Designated critical habitat occurs approximately 5 km (3 mi) north of MSL (78 FR 61506).

1.6.1 Pre-Contact Period

Archaeological investigations conducted on the Columbia Plateau enabled the creation of a cultural chronology dating back to the end of the Pleistocene (about 11,000 years before present). Table 1.3 summarizes the pre-contact cultural sequence for the PNNL Campus area.

1.6.2 Ethnographic Period

Ethnographically, the Sahaptin-speaking Cayuse, Walla Walla, Palouse, Nez Perce, Umatilla, Wanapum, and Yakama used the area. During this period, local residents relied on a pattern of seasonal rounds that included semi-permanent residences in villages along major waterways during the winter months. With the arrival of spring, small groups living in temporary camps would travel into the canyons and river valleys to gather roots. Seasonal camps were used in the inland areas during the spring and early summer months. By late summer or early fall, seasonal rounds focused on ripening berries in the mountains. It was this time of the year when the acquisition of food came to an end and families returned to the winter villages (Chatters 1980; Galm et al. 1981; Bard and McClintock 1996; Dickson 1999).

Table 1.3. Pre-Contact Cultural Sequence for the PNNL Campus Region

Cultural Period	Years Before Present	Site Types	Architecture	Subsistence
General Columbia Plateau				
Windust Phase	11,000–8,000	Rock shelters, caves, game processing sites, lithic reduction sites; isolated lithic tools. Examples include: Marmes Rockshelter, Bernard Creek, Lind Coulee, Kirkwood Bar, Deep Gully, Granite Point, Fivemile Rapids, and Bobs Point	Rock shelters and caves; open habitation sites. No evidence of constructed dwellings or storage features	Large mammals supplemented with small mammals and fish. Toolset: Windust, Clovis, Folsom, and Scottsbluff points; contracting stemmed points and/or lanceolate points; cobble tools.
Mid-Columbia Region—Vantage Area				
Cascade/ Vantage Phase	8,000–4,500	Lithic scatters, quarry sites, resource processing sites, temporary camps	Rock shelters and caves; open habitation sites.	Mobile, opportunistic foragers subsisting on fish, mussels, seeds, and mammals. Basalt leaf-shaped Cascade and stemmed projectile points, ovate knives, edge-ground cobble tools, microblades, hammerstones, core tools, and scrapers.
Frenchman Springs Period	4,500–2,500	Habitation sites along major rivers, confluences, tributaries, canyons, and rapids. Lithic scatters, quarry sites, resource processing sites, seasonal rounds of upland to lowland travel for resource procurement; seasonal camps.	House dwellings, including semi-subterranean	As earlier, but with increased use of upland resources, seeds, and roots. Groundstone and cobble tools, mortars, pestles, contracting stemmed, corner-notched, and stemmed projectile points, hopper mortar bases and pestles, knives, scrapers, and gravers. Wider tool material variety.
	I 2,500–1,200	Habitation sites at major rivers, confluences, tributaries, canyons, and rapids. Lithic scatters, quarry sites, resource processing sites, seasonal round camps. Ideological and spiritual sites.	Pithouses with wall benches	Reliance on riverine resources, fish, and botanicals; basal-notched and corner-notched projectile points (most corner -notched); variety of tools including groundstone, scrapers, lanceolate and pentagonal knives, net weights, cobble tools, drills, etc.
Cayuse Phase	II 1,200–900	Same as Cayuse Phase I	Pithouses without wall benches	Same as Cayuse Phase I
	III 900–250	Increased mobility and hunting ability due to horse introduction. Large village habitation sites along rivers, seasonal round camps. Same site types as Cayuse Phases I & II.	Pit longhouse village sites	Decrease in corner-notched points, increase in stemmed and side-notched projectile points, fine pressure flaked tools. Increase in trade goods.

Sources: Swanson (1962); Nelson (1969); Green (1975); Rice (1980); Galm et al. (1981); Thoms et al. (1983); Benson et al. (1989); Walker (1998); Morgan et al. (2001); Sharpe and Marceau (2001).

1.6.3 Euro-American Period

The Lewis and Clark expedition of 1805 began the Euro-American exploration and settlement of the region. Explorers sought trade items from Native Americans and trade routes were established. Gold miners, livestock producers, and homesteaders soon followed. By the 1860s, the discovery of gold north and east of the mid-Columbia region resulted in an influx of miners traveling through the area. Ringold, White Bluffs, and Wahluke were stops along the transportation routes used by miners and the supporting industry. Numerous features created by Euro-American and Chinese that remain along the shoreline of the Hanford Reach are believed to be related to gold mining (Sharpe 2000). The mining industry created a demand for beef, and the Columbia Basin was ideal for livestock production.

An increase in Euro-American settlement began in eastern Washington in the late 1800s. The initial permanent settlement of non-Indians in the area began slowly with livestock producers who discovered that the area was very suitable for the production of cattle. Pasture was abundant and free for the taking. Ranchers relied on the abundant bunchgrass and open rangeland to graze thousands of cattle and later sheep and horses. The open range lasted from the 1880s to ca. 1910 when homesteaders settled the area and plowed the rangeland to plant crops. However, livestock remained an important economic commodity for the area's agricultural producers. Cattle became confined by fences, while sheep pastured on the remaining open range of Rattlesnake Mountain and Horse Heaven Hills (Fridlund 1985). Agricultural producers gradually replaced the open-range livestock operations that had dominated the area in the latter part of the 1800s and early 1900s.

Homesteaders removed unwanted sagebrush and bunchgrass and plowed the land. *The Homestead Act of 1862* enabled individuals 21 years of age or older to legally own land if they were willing to live on and develop the land (DOE-RL 1997). Circa 1900, homesteaders moved west, traveling by railroad to the Columbia Basin area. Local transportation systems were very limited at that time; many of the Hanford area settlers arrived by river transportation. Steamboats and ferries were the primary transportation systems on the Columbia River in the homesteading era (Sharpe 2001). The new agricultural towns of Hanford and White Bluffs, as well as small communities of Allard-Vernita, Wahluke, and Fruitvale, and local rural residents relied almost exclusively on river transportation during the early development of the area.

The southern Columbia Basin area was unique because it produced ripe agricultural crops and orchard fruit 2 to 3 weeks ahead of surrounding areas, resulting in higher profits to local farmers. In the early 1900s, dryland wheat and livestock were the primary agricultural commodities in Benton County. As farming increased, water resources other than rainfall were needed to produce higher crop yields. Many irrigation projects began; most were privately and insufficiently funded. Land speculators began constructing large-scale irrigation canals to supply water to thousands of acres in the White Bluffs, Hanford, Fruitvale, Vernita, and Richland areas (Sharpe 1999). However, poor economic conditions associated with the Great Depression of the 1930s created economic hardship for local residents. The hardship continued until the government took over the area under the *First War Powers Act of 1941* (Marceau et al. 2003).

1.6.4 Manhattan Project Era

In 1942, the area around Hanford, Washington, was selected by the federal government as one of the three principal Manhattan Project sites. Occupying portions of Grant, Franklin, and Benton counties, the

Hanford Site was created to support the United States' plutonium-production effort during World War II. Plutonium production, chemical separation, and R&D focused on process improvements were the primary activities during the Manhattan Project, as well as the subsequent Cold War Era. The industrial components of the Manhattan Project and Cold War Era are located in discrete areas throughout the site. Reactors in the 100 Areas were used to irradiate uranium fuel to produce plutonium. Plutonium was extracted from irradiated fuel at the chemical separation facilities in the 200 Areas. The uranium fuel was manufactured in the 300 Area, prior to being delivered to the reactors in the 100 Areas for advanced power plants. The 600 Area is a broad expanse between the production areas that contained the infrastructure such as roads and rail systems that served the entire site. The 700 Area was the administration area in Richland (Marceau et al. 2003).

1.7 Cultural Setting – PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory Vicinity

KM Mendez and JA Stegen

Evidence of the earliest settlement of the northwest coast is sparse in the archaeological record. Early sites from the northern northwest coast suggest the presence of coastal populations as early as 10,000 Before Present (10k B.P.) (Ackerman et al. 1985). These early sites contain lithic assemblages made up of bifaces, scrapers, and microblades similar to those known from Alaskan tool traditions. Sites dating to the earliest occupation of the region often contain assemblages of sea mammal bones. Early components of the Namu site on the central British Columbia coast provide evidence of a heavy reliance on salmon, herring, and shellfish. The richness of these resources may have supported semi-sedentary winter occupation of the site as early as 7k B.P. (Cannon 1991).

As the Holocene era progressed and the climate of the region warmed, salmon and the human populations that subsisted on them could move into upland areas and places away from the coasts that were previously inaccessible. As the Canadian Cordilleran Glacier retreated, Puget Sound was created and new interior coastal territories opened up (Schalk 1988). By about 5k B.P., it seems that exploitation of shellfish began to play a dominant role in regional subsistence patterns. The abundance of shellfish, salmon, and other wild resources in the region formed the basis of an economic and subsistence pattern that was exceptionally stable. This stability is what allowed for the development of the classic complex hunter/fisher/gatherer societies that persisted into the 18th century (Fagan 2001).

Starting in the middle prehistoric period, the diverse groups of the northwest coast began to participate in a more homogeneous regional social system. This spread of ideas and cultural traits is thought to have been facilitated by widespread regional trade networks (Croes 1989). During this middle period (between 3800 B.P. and A.D. 500), complex cultural mechanisms developed among societies of the northwest coast. Chief among these developments was the accumulation of resource surpluses and the emergence of social ranking. A rich material culture developed during this period that included elaborate ceremonial goods and new artistic traditions (Ames and Maschner 1999).

During the late pre-contact period of the northwest coast (A.D. 500 until the ethnographic period), the classic complex hunter-fisher-gatherer societies of the region grew and flourished. This trend toward more complex societies included hallmarks such as increasing population density, heavy reliance on stored food and other resources, and architectural styles that included plank houses and fortified villages (Fagan 2001). Social mechanisms such as social stratification, redistribution of resources, and political networks were part of the culture that emerged in the region.

1.7.1 Ethnographic Period

The MSL is located within the Central Coast Salish Culture Area, which includes the southern end of the Strait of Georgia, most of the Strait of Juan de Fuca, the lower Frasier Valley, and other nearby areas. This area includes parts of present-day British Columbia and Washington State. Five traditional languages were spoken throughout the area: Squamish, Halkomelem, Nooksack, Northern Straits, and Klallam (Suttles 1991). Speakers of the Klallam language are native to the northern Olympic Peninsula, between the Hoko River and Port Discovery Bay. According to early ethnographic data, there were 13 Klallam winter villages in this region—all but 1 were located on saltwater shores (Schalk 1988). One winter village was located approximately 12.4 km (20 mi) upstream along the Elwha River.

Fishing for salmon and other anadromous fish was a major component of the subsistence pattern within the Central Coast Salish Culture Area. Anadromous species native to the region include five species of salmon (Chinook, coho, sockeye [*Oncorhynchus nerka*], chum, and pink [*O. gorbuscha*]), steelhead and cutthroat trout, and Dolly Varden [*Salvelinus malma*] (Schalk 1988). In marine settings, a reef net consisting of a rectangular net suspended between canoes was used to catch salmon. In freshwater settings, fishing gear included harpoons, leisters, gaff hooks, four-pronged spears, dip nets, basket traps, weirs, and trawl lines (Suttles 1991). In addition to salmon, saltwater fish such as halibut, herring, lingcod, and flounder were exploited. The relatively calm sandy beaches and highly productive estuarine conditions of the eastern portion of the Strait of Juan de Fuca supported large populations of invertebrates such as the little neck clam, butter clam, horse clam, and the basket cockle (Schalk 1988).

The Klallam-speaking people were one of the few groups in the region to practice whaling; however, whales were only hunted opportunistically, when spotted from shore (Schalk 1988). Klallam whalers used harpoons to hunt whales from canoes (Suttles 1991). On land, Salish hunters trapped, drove, and stalked deer as a main source of terrestrial game. Other game species included elk, black bear, mountain goats, and beavers, as well as many species of waterfowl. Ethnographic data suggest that hunting among the Klallam was limited to a small number of specialized hunters who hunted in the mountains, and that terrestrial game played a relatively small role in the overall subsistence pattern (Schalk 1988). Women gathered at least 40 different edible plants including sprouts, stems, bulbs, roots, berries, fruits, and nuts. Other gathered resources include marine mollusks such as mussels, clams, and cockles, as well as sea urchins, crabs, and barnacles (Suttles 1991).

Woodworking was an important aspect of Salish technology, and wooden materials hold an important place in the material culture in this area. A variety of tools, including both chipped and ground stone, were produced for this purpose. Some wooden products in Salish material traditions include house posts, beams, planks, canoes, various boxes, dugout dishes, tools, and weapons, as well as ceremonial paraphernalia (Suttles 1991). Cordage was made using a range of plant and animal fibers including cedar bark, willow bark, sinew, kelp, and hide. These materials were used to manufacture a wide range of products including nets, towels, cradle mattresses, skirts, mats, and different types of containers and baskets. A unique weaving tradition was practiced by groups in the Central Coast Salish Culture Area that used wool produced from mountain goat wool, waterfowl down, fireweed cotton, and the fur of a now extinct breed of dog (Suttles 1991).

Most travel in the region was by canoe. Central Coast Salish groups manufactured different styles of dugout canoes for various purposes including saltwater fishing, freshwater fishing, transportation, and war (Suttles 1991). Winter village sites were located on the water in areas where canoes could be beached. Villages often consisted of one or more rows of plank houses paralleling the shore. Houses were constructed on a framework of posts and beams with plank walls and shed roofs (Suttles 1991).

One important aspect of Central Coast Salish society was the practice of ritual feasts and gift-giving events known at potlatches. The potlatch was a practice that marked an important event or a change in an individual's status (Suttles 1991; Fagan 2001). A typical potlatch included several or all of the houses of a village preparing a feast and giving large quantities of accumulated wealth and gifts to guests from neighboring villages. The redistribution of accumulated goods was important to establishing and reinforcing status or fame. Direct reciprocity was not expected, but elaborate gift-giving rituals were seen as an investment in securing relationships and support networks between villages and neighbors (Suttles 1991).

1.7.2 Historic Period

The earliest Euro-American settlement in Clallam County and the Sequim area was known as Whiskey Flat; it was located on the cliffs above the Strait of Juan de Fuca in the 1850s (Morgan 1996). By the end of the nineteenth century, the settlement of New Dungeness had grown and the county courthouse was moved to Port Angeles. At this time, the Sequim area was a developing agricultural area. The Sequim Prairie irrigation ditch was completed in 1896, which allowed for expanded farming in the area (Morgan 1996).

In 1907, the Bugge Clam Cannery was established. A fire destroyed the plant in 1929, but the facility was rebuilt and operated until 1967. In 1967, Battelle hired John Graham and Company, a prominent architecture firm in Seattle, to design a master plan for a marine research laboratory to be located in Sequim, Washington, on 48.6 ha (120 ac) at the mouth of Sequim Bay on the Strait of Juan de Fuca, which Battelle had acquired the previous year (Battelle-Northwest 1967). The laboratory at Sequim was intended to "provide facilities for research projects which require ocean waters or oceanic environments" (Battelle-Northwest 1967).

2.0 Compliance Summary

PNNL is committed to conducting compliant operations in a manner that is sustainable and protects the environment. This section provides a summary of PNNL compliance with applicable federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations, Executive Orders, and DOE Orders, directives, policies, and guidance.

2.1 Sustainability and Environmental Management System

JP Duncan

In December 2012, the DOE-Battelle Prime Contract for the management and operation of PNNL (PNNL Contract; DOE/PNSO 2013) was modified to incorporate applicable requirements from DOE Order 436.1, "Departmental Sustainability," along with its associated performance goals, objectives, and systems. The Order and related Executive Orders and statutes are briefly discussed in the following sections.

2.1.1 DOE Order 436.1, "Departmental Sustainability"

DOE Order 436.1 was approved on May 2, 2011. The purpose of this Order is to

> "…1) ensure the Department carries out its missions in a sustainable manner that addresses national energy security and global environmental challenges, and advances sustainable, efficient and reliable energy for the future,
>
> 2) institute wholesale cultural change to factor sustainability and greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions into all DOE corporate management decisions, and
>
> 3) ensure DOE achieves the sustainability goals established in its Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan (SSPP) pursuant to applicable laws, regulations and Executive Orders (EO), related performance scorecards, and sustainability initiatives….."

PNNL has incorporated these requirements through contract modifications, which include the development of a Site Sustainability Plan (e.g., PNNL 2013), incorporating sustainable acquisition requirements into applicable processes, and the development of an Environmental Management System (EMS) that is certified to, or conforms with, the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 14001:2004(E) standards.

The PNNL Site Sustainability Plan, identifying the status and accomplishments of sustainability projects related to DOE's sustainability goals, is prepared and submitted to DOE annually in accordance with DOE's guidance. The PNNL Site Sustainability Plan includes Pollution Prevention Program activities, accomplishments, and continuous improvement opportunities. Section 3.0 provides additional information concerning PNNL's ISO-certified EMS and the status of sustainability goals.

2.1.2 Executive Order 13423, "Strengthening Federal Environmental, Energy, and Transportation Management"

Executive Order 13423 of January 24, 2007 (72 FR 3919), established a policy for federal agencies to conduct legally, environmentally, economically, and fiscally sound environmental, transportation, and energy-related activities in an integrated, efficient, continuously improving, and sustainable manner. The Order requires federal agencies to set goals for the following: improved energy efficiency; reduced GHG emissions; use of renewable energy sources; renewable energy generation; reduced water consumption; acquisition of goods and services; reduced use of toxic and hazardous chemicals and materials, including ozone-depleting substances; increased waste minimization, prevention, and recycling; use of sustainable building practices; reduced use of petroleum products for vehicles; and use of electronic products. In addition, Executive Order 13423 (72 FR 3919) requires that an EMS be used as the mechanism for managing environmental goals, as well as other impacts on the environment from site operations, and that environmental objectives and targets be established. It also requires establishment of environmental management training, environmental compliance review and auditing, and leadership awards to recognize outstanding environmental, energy, or transportation management performance. PNNL has developed objectives and goals as directed by Executive Order 13423 (72 FR 3919); details are available in Section 3.0.

2.1.3 Executive Order 13514, "Federal Leadership in Environmental, Energy, and Economic Performance"

Executive Order 13514 of October 5, 2009 (74 FR 52117) reaffirmed, and in some cases, bolstered the policy and goals established by Executive Order 13423 (72 FR 3919), including increased GHG accounting and reporting. Executive Order 13514 (74 FR 52117) set goals for the following: the reduction of Scope 1, 2, and 3 GHGs[1]; improved water-use efficiency and management; the promotion of pollution prevention and waste elimination; the advancement of regional and local integrated planning; the implementation of sustainable building lifecycle management practices; the advancement of sustainable acquisition; and the promotion of electronics stewardship. Executive Order 13514 also requires the continued implementation of a formal sustainable EMS. Details of PNNL's conformance with the Order are available in Section 3.0.

2.2 *Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007*

JP Duncan

The *Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007* (EISA) was enacted "to move the United States toward greater energy independence and security." It promotes the production of clean, renewable fuels, R&D of biofuels, improved vehicle technology, energy savings through improved standards including appliances and lighting, improved energy savings in buildings and industry, the reduction of stormwater runoff and water conservation and protection, the development and extension of new technologies (including solar, geothermal, marine and hydrokinetic, and energy storage), carbon capture and

[1] Scope 1 emissions are generated from site operations and activities; Scope 2 emissions are associated with the purchase of energy (electricity, heat, or steam) used by site contractors; and Scope 3 emissions are associated with ancillary activities related to site operations, including business travel, employee commuting, vendor activities, and delivery services.

sequestration research, and energy transportation and infrastructure provisions. In fiscal year (FY) 2013, PNNL completed the first of a 4-year cycle for eight buildings subject to EISA energy and water evaluation requirements, and completed whole-building metering for electricity, natural gas, and water. Stormwater management practices are implemented to promote water drainage and reduce runoff. In accordance with Energy Secretary Chu's requirement to implement cool roof technologies (roofs with thermal resistances of at least R-30) on DOE buildings and facilities (DOE 2010), PNNL has realized a total cool roof area of 61,700 m^2 (664,000 ft^2), or 61 percent, in FY 2013. Also, a 125-kW photovoltaic array continued operation in 2013, contributing to onsite energy generation, and together with renewable energy certificate purchases, provided nearly 71 percent of the PNNL electricity consumption from renewables.

2.3 *National Environmental Policy Act of 1969*

MR Sackschewsky and JA Stegen

The *National Environmental Policy Act of 1969* (NEPA) was enacted to ensure that potential environmental impacts, as well as technical factors and costs, are considered during federal agency decision-making. The PNNL NEPA Compliance Program supports Laboratory compliance with NEPA and the Washington *State Environmental Policy Act* (SEPA). Program activities include preparing sitewide project- and activity-specific categorical exclusions, environmental assessments, and Washington State SEPA checklists. NEPA reviews of PNNL activities are conducted by both PNSO and DOE-RL NEPA compliance staff. The DOE office responsible for concurring with and approving the NEPA documentation depends on the proposed project location and source of funding. NEPA compliance is verified through assessments conducted by PNNL and DOE.

PNNL environmental compliance representatives and NEPA staff conducted 1,784 NEPA reviews during CY 2013 for research and support activities (1,284 Electronic Prep and Risk System reviews, 467 EMSL user proposals, and 33 facility-modification permits). NEPA staff reviewed the Electronic Prep and Risk reviews to verify that potential project environmental impacts were adequately considered, and NEPA (and as appropriate, SEPA) coverage was correctly applied. In nearly every case, activities were adequately addressed in previously approved NEPA documentation, such as categorical exclusions, environmental assessments, environmental impact statements, and supplement analyses. When there was no adequate previously approved documentation, PNNL staff prepared additional NEPA documentation, such as project-specific categorical exclusions for approval by DOE.

PNSO published two environmental assessment documents in 2013. In June, PNSO completed a Supplemental Analysis of the Final Environmental Assessment of Construction and Operation of a Physical Sciences Facility to support construction of additional research buildings and supporting infrastructure on the PNNL Site. In July, PNSO completed a new environmental assessment to evaluate Future Development in Proximity to the William R Wiley Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory.

Categorical exclusions represent an effective and necessary means of addressing activities that 1) clearly fit within a class of actions that DOE has determined do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the environment, 2) do not possess extraordinary circumstances that may affect the environment, and 3) are not "connected" to other actions with potentially significant impacts. PNNL categorical exclusions were updated in November and December 2011 to reflect the changes to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 1021 (10 CFR 1021). A total of seven PNNL-related sitewide categorical exclusions were approved by DOE-RL in 2013, covering the following types of activities on the Hanford Site:

- routine maintenance in the 300 Area
- small-scale R&D, laboratory operations, and pilot projects in the 300 Area
- microbiological and biomedical research projects in the 300 Area
- siting, constructing, modifying, and operating small-scale structures on the Hanford Site
- site characterization and environmental monitoring on the Hanford Site
- facility, safety, and environmental improvements in the 300 Area
- small-scale R&D projects using nanoscale materials.

These activities are relevant to PNNL projects conducted in facilities located in the 300 Area of the Hanford Site and field work occurring on the Hanford Site; the list of categorical exclusions is available at http://www.hanford.gov/page.cfm/CategoricalExclusions.

There were no new PNSO-approved sitewide categorical exclusions in 2013. PNSO previously approved 13 sitewide categorical exclusions to cover PNNL research and operations activities.

In instances where projects clearly are within the definition of a categorical exclusion, but a sitewide categorical exclusion is not applicable, a project- or activity-specific categorical exclusion is prepared. DOE-RL approved one project-specific categorical exclusion in March 2013 for a Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analysis to be conducted within the boundary of the Hanford Reach National Monument. There were no PNSO-approved project-specific categorical exclusions issued in 2013.

NEPA staff also reviewed a randomly generated statistical subset of 473 maintenance actions to confirm that maintenance activities 1) did not involve significant environmental impacts; 2) were limited in scope, cost, and duration; 3) were adequately addressed under existing NEPA reviews; and 4) showed no trends that might indicate the need for a more intensive and directed review.

2.4 Air Quality

JM Barnett

Federal regulations that apply to air quality at the PNNL Campus and MSL and the permits necessary to maintain compliance are discussed in this section.

2.4.1 *Clean Air Act*

The *Clean Air Act* (42 USC 7401) is administered by the EPA. It regulates air emissions from stationary and mobile sources, both criteria and hazardous pollutants. The Act authorized EPA to establish National Ambient Air Quality Standards for the protection of public health and welfare. The establishment of these pollutant standards was combined with state implementation plans to facilitate attainment of the standards. The *Washington Clean Air Act*, which implements and supplements the federal law, has been revised periodically to keep pace with changes at the federal level. The Washington State Department of Ecology is responsible for developing most statewide air-quality rules, and enforces

40 CFR 52, 40 CFR 60, 40 CFR 61, 40 CFR 63, 40 CFR 68, and 40 CFR 82, as well as the state requirements in WAC 173-400, WAC 173-460, WAC 173-480, and WAC 173-491. The Benton Clean Air Agency (BCAA) implements and enforces most federal and state requirements on the PNNL Campus through BCAA Regulation 1 (BCAA 2011). The Olympic Region Clean Air Agency implements and enforces most federal and state requirements at MSL.

2.4.2 *Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990* and the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

Section 112 of the *Clean Air Act* addresses emissions of hazardous air pollutants. The *Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990* revised Section 112 to require standards for major and certain specific stationary source types. The amendments also revised the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) regulations (40 CFR 61, Subpart H) to govern emissions of radionuclides from DOE facilities. These regulations address the measurement of point-source emissions, but are inclusive of fugitive emissions with regard to complying with established regulations for radioactive air emissions, including standards, monitoring provisions, and annual reporting requirements. The NESHAP cover all pollutants not regulated by the National Ambient Air Quality Standards that are classified as hazardous. PNNL is in compliance with all NESHAP requirements at both the PNNL Campus and MSL.

2.4.3 Radioactive Emissions

Federal regulations in 40 CFR 61, Subpart H, require the measurement and reporting of radionuclides emitted from DOE facilities and the resulting public dose from those emissions. These regulations impose a standard of 10 mrem/yr (0.1 mSv/yr) effective dose equivalent (EDE), which is not to be exceeded. Washington State adopted the 40 CFR 61 standard in its regulations (WAC 246-247) that require the calculation and reporting of the EDE to the maximum exposed individual (MEI) from both point-source emissions and from fugitive source emissions of radionuclides. WAC 246-247 further requires the reporting of radionuclide emissions, including radon, from all PNNL Campus sources. On the PNNL Campus, the PSF, EMSL, the Research Technology Laboratory (RTL), and the LSL2 have the potential to emit radionuclides. In 2013, two sitewide radioactive air permits, commonly called Potential Impact Category 5 (PIC-5) permits, were issued for very low potential emissions: the Volumetrically Released Radioactive Material permit and the Non-Dispersible Radioactive Material permit. Details regarding ambient air, stack emissions monitoring, and PIC-5 programs for the PNNL Campus and at MSL are reported annually. Data for 2013 are available in the *Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Campus Radionuclide Air Emissions Report for Calendar Year 2013* (Snyder et al. 2014a). Radioactive air emissions results for MSL are available in the *Marine Sciences Laboratory Radionuclide Air Emissions Report for Calendar Year 2013* (Snyder et al. 2014b). During CY 2013, the PNNL Campus and MSL maintained compliance with state and federal regulations and with issued air emissions permits, as described below. In particular, radioactive air emissions were more than 100,000 times lower than the regulatory standard of 10 mrem/yr (0.1 mSv/yr) EDE for the period.

Radioactive emission point sources at the PNNL Campus are actively ventilated stacks that use electrically powered exhausters and from which emissions are discharged under controlled conditions. The point sources are major, minor, and fugitive emissions units. MSL has two nonpoint minor emission units. The regulatory standard for a maximum dose to any member of the public is 10 mrem/yr (0.1 mSv/yr) EDE (40 CFR 61, Subpart H), and applies to radionuclide air emissions, other than radon, from DOE facilities. During 2013, radioactive emissions from both the PNNL Campus and MSL were well below the federal and state 10-mrem/yr (0.1-mSv/yr) standard.

2.4.4 Air Permits

PNNL has several permits that control airborne emissions from facilities within the PNNL Campus boundary. These include the radioactive air emission license (RAEL) issued by the Washington State Department of Health (RAEL-05), and the nonradiological effluent permits issued by the Benton Clean Air Agency, listed below:

- Battelle Inhalation Laboratory (Order of Approval No. 06004-00)
- Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory (Order of Approval No. RO 2012-0009)
- Life Sciences Laboratory 2 (Order of Approval No. 2007-0006)
- Physical Sciences Facility (Order of Approval No. 2007-0013)
- Richland North Building Support (Order of Approval No. 2012-0017)
- Richland North Research (Order of Approval No. 2012-0016).

The MSL has two air permits for airborne emissions: the RAEL issued by the Washington State Department of Health (RAEL-014) and the nonradiological air-effluent license issued by the Olympic Region Clean Air Agency (Notice of Intent 13NOI968).

2.5 Water Quality and Protection

TW Moon

Federal regulations that apply to water quality at the PNNL Campus and MSL are discussed in this section, which addresses drinking water, wastewater, and stormwater regulations and permitting processes.

2.5.1 *Clean Water Act*

The *Clean Water Act* establishes the basic structure for regulating discharges of pollutants into the waters of the United States as well as quality standards for surface waters. The basis of the *Clean Water Act* was enacted in 1948 and was called the *Federal Water Pollution Control Act*, but the Act was significantly reorganized and expanded in 1972. The "Clean Water Act" became the Act's common name with amendments in 1972. Under the *Clean Water Act*, the EPA has implemented pollution control programs such as setting wastewater standards for industry and implementing water-quality standards for all contaminants in surface waters. The *Clean Water Act* made it unlawful to discharge any pollutant from a point source into navigable waters, unless a permit is obtained. The EPA's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program controls these point-source discharges. Point sources are discrete conveyances such as pipes or manmade ditches. Industrial, municipal, and other facilities must obtain permits if their discharges go directly to surface waters. Responsibility for the NPDES program has been delegated from EPA to the Washington State Department of Ecology.

While there are no direct discharges of wastewater from the PNNL Campus to surface waters, the Washington State Department of Ecology has issued Permit No. WA0020419 to the City of Richland for discharges from its Publicly Owned Treatment Works to the Columbia River. To ensure that it meets its NPDES permit conditions, the City of Richland issues industrial wastewater discharge permits to industrial users as codified in Richland Municipal Code, Chapter 17.30.

On the PNNL Campus, three industrial wastewater discharge permits regulate the discharge of process wastewater to the City of Richland sanitary sewer system. Industrial wastewater discharge permit CR-IU005 regulates discharges from EMSL, Permit CR-IU011 regulates process wastewater discharged from the PSF, and Permit CR-IU001 regulates discharges from other facilities on the PNNL Campus. All waste streams regulated by these permits are reviewed by PNNL staff and evaluated for compliance with the applicable permit prior to discharge.

Process wastewater from MSL facilities is discharged to Sequim Bay under the authorization of Washington State Department of Ecology NPDES Permit No. WA0040649. All waste streams regulated by this permit are reviewed by PNNL staff and evaluated for compliance prior to discharge.

2.5.2 Stormwater Management

Stormwater on the PNNL Campus is managed via underground injection control wells and grassy swales. The underground injection control wells are registered with the Washington State Department of Ecology as required by WAC 173-218. Stormwater discharges to the grassy swales do not require registration. Best management practices are used to minimize pollution in stormwater. These practices include storing chemicals inside or under cover to prevent contact with stormwater, routinely sweeping and cleaning parking lots, prompt notification and cleanup of spills, and good housekeeping.

Stormwater at MSL is managed via a stormwater drain system that includes grated drain boxes for paved areas and a trench that drains to an infiltration pond. Drain boxes provide simple oil separation through the use of a submerged discharge outlet. In addition, two drain boxes in the boat storage yard and in the wastewater treatment system area contain multimedia filtration (sedimentation chamber, oil adsorbent, and granular activated carbon adsorbent). The infiltration pond is an engineered stormwater collection basin with an overflow trench.

Stormwater discharges from the PNNL Campus and MSL are not subject to the federal or state pollutant discharge elimination system stormwater regulations. However, stormwater management practices that promote water drainage and reduce runoff as outlined under EISA Section 438 are considered and implemented as part of PNNL sustainability practices (PNNL 2013).

2.5.3 *Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974*

The *Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974* is the main federal law that ensures the quality of Americans' drinking water. Under the Act, the EPA sets standards for drinking water quality and oversees the states, localities, and water suppliers who implement those standards. The *Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974* was originally passed by Congress in 1974 to protect public health by regulating the nation's public drinking water supply. The law was amended in 1986 and 1996, and requires many actions to protect drinking water and its sources—rivers, lakes, reservoirs, springs, and groundwater wells.

The Act focuses on all waters actually or potentially designed for drinking use, whether from above-ground or underground sources. The Act authorizes the EPA to establish minimum standards to protect tap water, and requires all owners or operators of public water systems to comply with these primary (health-related) standards. State governments, which can be approved to implement these rules for EPA, also encourage attainment of secondary standards.[2] Under the *Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974*, EPA also establishes minimum standards for state programs to protect underground sources of drinking water from endangerment by underground injection of fluids.

The PNNL Campus receives all drinking water for uses in non-laboratory and laboratory spaces from the City of Richland drinking water supply, and is not subject to the *Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974*. However, the registration of underground injection wells for stormwater (Section 2.5.2) and injection of ground-source heat pump return flow water (Section 6.0) have been completed as required by the Act.

Water for MSL facilities is provided exclusively from Battelle Land–Sequim onsite wells. PNNL is considered the water purveyor, and is responsible for all monitoring and sampling of the drinking water distribution system. Stormwater is managed as described in Section 2.5.2.

2.6 Environmental Restoration and Waste Management

HT Tilden

This section describes PNNL activities to protect the environment through the proper management of waste.

2.6.1 Tri-Party Agreement

The *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order* (also known as the Tri-Party Agreement [Ecology et al. 1989]) is an agreement among the Washington State Department of Ecology, the EPA, and the DOE (Tri-Party Agreement agencies) to achieve compliance on the Hanford Site with the *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980* (CERCLA) and the *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976* (RCRA) treatment, storage, and disposal unit regulations and corrective action provisions. The Tri-Party Agreement is an interagency agreement (also known as a federal facility agreement) under Section 120 of CERCLA, a corrective action order under RCRA, and a consent order under the Washington State *Hazardous Waste Management Act of 1976* that 1) defines RCRA and CERCLA cleanup commitments, 2) establishes responsibilities, 3) provides a basis for budgeting, and 4) reflects a concerted goal to achieve regulatory compliance and remediation with enforceable milestones.

The Tri-Party Agreement is available on the Internet at the following website: http://www.hanford.gov/?page=81. Printed copies of Revision 8 of the Tri-Party Agreement, which is current as of July 25, 2012, are publicly available at DOE's Public Reading Room located in the Washington State University Tri-Cities Consolidated Information Center, 2770 University Drive, Richland, Washington, and at public reading rooms in Seattle and Spokane, Washington, and Portland, Oregon.

---

[2] Secondary standards are set to give public water systems guidance about removing contaminants that may cause the water to appear cloudy or colored, or to taste or smell bad even though the water is actually safe to drink.

PNNL is not part of any Hanford Site CERCLA operable unit or subject to any cleanup action under the Tri-Party Agreement. PNNL maintains administrative controls similar to those at adjacent uncontaminated portions of the Hanford Site 300 Area. PNNL provides information to DOE-RL and its contractors with regard to the facilities it occupies on the Hanford Site in order to prepare the annual land disposal restrictions report required by Tri-Party Agreement Milestone M-26. Some wells located on the PNNL Campus are monitored by Hanford Site contractors as part of the regional groundwater monitoring network. Sampling data are available in *Hanford Site Groundwater Monitoring for 2012* (DOE-RL 2013a).

2.6.2 *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980*

CERCLA was promulgated to address response, compensation, and liability for past releases or potential releases of hazardous substances, pollutants, and contaminants to the environment. CERCLA was amended by the *Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986*, which made several important changes and additions, including clarification that federal facilities are subject to the same provisions of CERCLA as any nongovernmental entity. Executive Order 12580, "Superfund Implementation" (52 FR 2923), directs that the DOE, as the lead agency, must conduct CERCLA response actions (i.e., removal and remedial actions). Such actions would be subject to oversight by EPA and/or the Washington State Department of Ecology.

Under the Tri-Party Agreement (Ecology et al. 1989), waste sites were grouped into "operable units" based on geographic proximity or similarity of waste-disposal history. Two operable units, listed on the National Priorities List in November 3, 1989, are located near the PNNL Campus and are part of the "Hanford 300 Area" in accordance with 40 CFR 300.

A portion of the PNNL Campus was investigated as part of the Hanford 300-FF-2 Operable Unit in the late 1990s. Site characterization efforts found vestiges of petroleum hydrocarbons, irrigation canals, and recent debris (windblown garbage, porcelain china, battery cores, cans, and glass). After a site evaluation, the EPA issued a CERCLA Final Record of Decision (EPA and DOE-RL 2013) that concluded that PNNL Campus areas require no further remedial action under CERCLA.

Groundwater under the northern portion of the PNNL Campus is routinely monitored for contaminants migrating from Hanford Site contamination plumes and nitrates from offsite. See Section 6.0 for further information concerning groundwater monitoring on the PNNL Campus.

No MSL facilities require action under CERCLA guidelines.

2.6.3 Washington State Dangerous Waste/Hazardous Substance Reportable Releases to the Environment

The Washington State Dangerous Waste Regulations (WAC 173-303-145) require that spills or non-permitted discharges of dangerous waste or hazardous substances to the environment be reported to the Washington State Department of Ecology. This requirement applies to discharges to soil, surface water, groundwater, or air when such discharges threaten human health or the environment, regardless of the quantity of dangerous waste or hazardous substance released.

During CY 2013, no spills or non-permitted discharges that posed a threat to human health or the environment occurred at the PNNL Campus or MSL. Minor spills were cleaned up immediately and disposed of in accordance with applicable requirements.

2.6.4 *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976*

RCRA was enacted to protect human health and the environment through cradle-to-grave management of hazardous waste from its generation through treatment, storage, and disposal. The Washington State Department of Ecology has the authority to enforce RCRA requirements in the state under WAC 173-303, "Dangerous Waste Regulations."

PNNL, in cooperation with DOE-RL, operates one RCRA-permitted storage and treatment unit—the 325 Hazardous Waste Treatment Units. This unit is located in the Radiochemical Processing Laboratory in the Hanford 300 Area, and is permitted as part of the Hanford Facility RCRA Permit. The Hanford Facility RCRA Permit expired on September 27, 2004. However, DOE and PNNL continue to operate under the expired permit until the permit is reissued, as authorized by WAC 173-303.

With the exception of the 325 Hazardous Waste Treatment Units, PNNL facilities operate under the generator requirements of WAC 173-303. During CY 2013, PNNL facilities followed the generator requirements for waste management and shipped nonradioactive waste to offsite facilities for proper disposal.

RCRA and the Washington Administrative Code (WAC 173-360) also include requirements for the proper management of underground storage tanks. Battelle uses underground storage tanks for the storage of diesel fuel for two emergency generators. In 2012, new major requirements for personnel training for underground storage tank operation were adopted by the Washington State Department of Ecology and implemented at PNNL.

Washington State Department of Ecology and EPA personnel inspected PNNL facilities for RCRA compliance once in 2013. No issues were identified as part of this inspection.

2.6.5 *Federal Facility Compliance Act of 1992*

The *Federal Facility Compliance Act of 1992*, enacted by Congress on October 6, 1992, amended Section 6001 of RCRA to specify that the United States waives sovereign immunity from civil and administrative fines and penalties for RCRA violations. In addition, RCRA requires the EPA to conduct annual inspections of all federal facilities. Authorized states are also given authority to conduct inspections of federal facilities to enforce compliance with state hazardous waste programs. A portion of the Act also requires the DOE to provide mixed waste information to the EPA and the states. PNNL provides this information as part of the Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report pursuant to Tri-Party Agreement Milestone M-26 (DOE-RL 2014b).

2.6.6 *Toxic Substances Control Act*

Toxic Substances Control Act requirements that apply to PNNL primarily involve regulation of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). Federal regulations for PCB use, storage, and disposal are provided in 40 CFR 761, "Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) Manufacturing, Processing, Distribution in Commerce, and Use Prohibitions." PCB wastes at PNNL are stored and/or disposed of in accordance with this regulation; however, some radioactive PCB waste is transferred to extended storage at the Hanford Site, pending the development of adequate treatment and disposal technologies and capacities.

The *2012 Hanford Site Polychlorinated Biphenyl Annual Document Log* (DOE-RL 2013c) and the *2012 Hanford Site Polychlorinated Biphenyl Annual Report* (DOE-RL 2013d) describe the PCB waste management and disposal activities occurring on the Hanford Site, including PNNL Campus activities related to PCBs. These documents are provided to the EPA annually as required by 40 CFR 761.180. MSL did not generate enough PCB waste to require reporting under 40 CFR 761.180 in 2012.

2.6.7 *Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act*

The *Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act* is administered by the EPA. Washington State Department of Agriculture rules implementing the Act requirements include the *Washington Pesticide Control Act* (RCW 15.58), the *Washington Pesticide Application Act* (RCW 17.21), and rules related to general pesticide use codified in WAC 16-228, "General Pesticide Rules." In 2013, commercial pesticides were applied either by licensed PNNL staff or by a licensed commercial applicator.

2.6.8 *Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986*

The *Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986* (EPCRA) requires each state to establish an emergency response commission and local emergency planning committees, and develop a process for gathering and distributing information about hazardous chemicals present in local facilities. These local emergency planning committees develop emergency plans for local planning districts. Facilities that produce, use, release, or store toxic or hazardous substances in quantities above threshold levels must submit information about the chemicals to emergency planning committees in support of emergency planning.

EPCRA has four major provisions: emergency planning, emergency release notification, hazardous chemical inventory reporting, and toxic chemical release inventory reporting. Each provision requires reporting when thresholds are exceeded (Table 2.1).

For purposes of EPCRA, the quantities of chemicals in the Hanford 300 Area facilities that PNNL occupies are combined with those present in PNNL Site facilities.

Table 2.1. Provisions of the *Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986*

Section	CFR Section	Reporting Criteria	Due Date	Agencies Receiving Report
302	40 CFR 355: Emergency Planning	The presence of an extremely hazardous substance in quantity equal to or greater than threshold planning quantity at any one time.	Within 60 days of threshold planning quantity exceedance.	SERC; LEPC
302	40 CFR 355: Emergency Planning	Change occurring at a facility that is relevant to emergency planning.	Within 30 days after the change has occurred.	LEPC
304	40 CFR 355: Emergency Release Notification	Release of an extremely hazardous substance or a CERCLA hazardous substance in quantity equal to or greater than reportable quantity.	Initial notification: immediate (within 15 minutes of knowledge of reportable release). Written follow-up: within 14 days of the release.	SERC; LEPC
311	40 CFR 370: Reporting Requirements – Material Safety Data Sheet Reporting	The presence at any one time at a facility of an OSHA hazardous chemical in quantity equal to or greater than 4,500 kg (10,000 lb) or an extremely hazardous substance in quantity equal to or greater than threshold planning quantity or 230 kg (500 lb), whichever is less.	Revised list of chemicals due within 3 months of a chemical exceeding a threshold.	SERC; LEPC; local fire departments
312	40 CFR 370: Reporting Requirements – Tier Two Report	The presence at any one time at a facility an OSHA hazardous chemical in quantity equal to or greater than 4,500 kg (10,000 lb), or an extremely hazardous substance in quantity equal to or greater than threshold planning quantity or 230 kg (500 lb), whichever is less.	Annually by March 1	SERC; LEPC; local fire departments
313	40 CFR 372: Reporting Requirements – Toxic Release Inventory Report	Manufacture, process, or use at a facility, any listed Toxic Release Inventory chemical in excess of its threshold amount during the course of a calendar year. Thresholds are 11,300 kg (25,000 lb) for manufactured or processed or 4,500 kg (10,000 lb), except for persistent, bio-accumulative, toxic chemicals, which have thresholds of 45 kg (100 lb) or less.	Annually by July 1	EPA; SERC

CERCLA = *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980*.
CFR = *Code of Federal Regulations*.
EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
LEPC = Local Emergency Planning Committee.
OSHA = Occupational Safety and Health Administration.
SERC = State Emergency Response Commission.

PNNL electronically submitted a Tier Two report to the Washington State Emergency Response Commission, Benton County Emergency Management, and the Richland Fire Department on February 26, 2013.[3] The report provides updated inventories of diesel fuel and lead-acid batteries (which contain sulfuric acid, an extremely hazardous substance), the only two chemicals exceeding the combined reporting threshold at the PNNL Campus. Battelle also filed a Tier Two report to the Washington State Emergency Response Commission, Clallam County Emergency Management, and Clallam Fire District 3 on February 26, 2013[4] for diesel fuel at MSL. Diesel fuel is used to power generators during electrical service interruptions.

Neither the PNNL Campus nor MSL was required to submit a Toxic Release Inventory Report for 2013, because all Toxic Release Inventory chemicals are maintained below inventory thresholds.

Table 2.2 provides an overview of PNNL reporting under EPCRA during 2013 and early 2014.

Table 2.2. *Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986* Compliance Reporting, 2013 and Early 2014

Section	Description of Reporting	Reporting Status	Notes
302	Emergency planning notifications	Yes	PNNL Campus reported sulfuric acid in excess of reporting thresholds due to the addition of inventories from facilities on Battelle Land–Richland.
304	Extremely hazardous substance release notification	Not required	No releases occurred.
311	Material Safety Data Sheet	Yes	Added diesel fuel in excess of reporting thresholds at both the PNNL Campus and MSL.
312	Chemical inventory	Yes	The 2012 and 2013 Tier Two Emergency and Chemical Inventory reports for both the PNNL Campus and MSL were submitted on February 26, 2013 and February 19, 2014.
313	Toxic release inventory	Not required	No emissions greater than reporting threshold requirement.

MSL = PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory.
PNNL = Pacific Northwest National Laboratory.

[3] Tilden HT. February 19, 2014. "EPCRA Tier Two Inventory Report – PNNL Site." [Email to J Beck, Benton County Emergency Services, Richland, Washington, and KR Hubele, Richland Fire Department, Richland, Washington]. Submitted to Ecology 2/19/14 via Secure Access Washington website.
[4] Tilden HT. February 19, 2014. "EPCRA Tier Two Inventory Submittal." [Email to JI Wisecup, Clallam County Emergency Services, Port Angeles, Washington, and P Williams, Clallam County Fire District 3, Sequim, Washington]. Submitted to Ecology 2/19/14 via Secure Access Washington website.

2.7 Natural and Cultural Resources

JM Becker

The *Pacific Northwest Site Office Cultural and Biological Resources Management Plan* (DOE/PNSO 2008) provides direction and guidance relative to protecting and managing biological and cultural resources on the PNNL Site. The Management Plan was developed as a requirement of DOE Policy 141.1, "Department of Energy Management of Cultural Resources," to provide for the protection and management of biological resources, identify impacts of unauthorized public use on prehistoric sites, identify actions that will protect sensitive sites, and provide details of annual monitoring activities to identify potential impacts.

2.7.1 Biological Resources – PNNL Site

JM Becker and MA Chamness

A number of federal laws and Executive Orders contain requirements for protecting biological resources. This section summarizes the requirements and catalogs PNNL's compliance activities in 2013.

The *Endangered Species Act of 1973* contains requirements for the designation and protection of wildlife, fish, plant, and invertebrate species that are in danger of becoming extinct due to natural or manmade factors and the conservation of the habitats upon which they depend. Under Section 7 of the Act, federal agencies are required to evaluate actions that they perform, fund, or permit to determine if any species listed as endangered or threatened may be affected by the proposed action. Consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service is required if the action may affect listed species. The biological resource review process is the primary means by which PNNL determines if any listed species may be affected by a proposed action. Biological resource reviews in 2013 demonstrated PNNL compliance.

The *Migratory Bird Treaty Act* makes it illegal to take, capture, or kill any migratory bird, or to take any part, nest, or egg of any such birds. PNNL projects with a potential to affect avian species listed under the Act comply with the requirements of this Act by using the PNNL ecological compliance review process as described in the *Hanford Site Biological Resources Management Plan* (DOE-RL 2001).

The *Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act* prohibits anyone without a permit to disturb, wound, kill, harass, or take bald eagles or golden eagles (*Aquila chrysaetos*), alive or dead, including their parts, nests, or eggs. The Act also applies to impacts made around previously used nest sites, if, upon an eagle's return, normal breeding, feeding, or sheltering habits are influenced negatively. The PNNL ecological review process provides assurance that a proposed action will not adversely affect bald or golden eagles. Mitigation includes performing work outside of the winter season, staying out of established buffer areas, or entering buffer areas at mid-day, thereby minimizing impacts by avoiding eagle roosting periods.

The *Magnuson–Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act* is the primary law governing marine fisheries management in the United States. It provides a national program for the conservation and management of the U.S. fishery resources in order to prevent overfishing, rebuild overfished stocks, ensure conservation, and facilitate long-term protection of essential fish habitats (waters and substrate *necessary* to fish for spawning, breeding, feeding, or growth to maturity). Under Section 305(b)(2) of the Act, federal agencies must consult with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Fisheries on any action that might adversely affect essential fish habitat. The PNNL biological resource review process supports the protection of fishery resources.

The *Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899* is the oldest federal environmental law in the United States. Section 10 of the Act prohibits the creation of any obstruction, excavation, or fill within a navigable waterway without a permit, including but not limited to building of any wharfs, piers, jetties, or other structures; authorization is delegated to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. PNNL evaluates the need for Section 10 permits as part of the biological review for each project.

The *Nonindigenous Aquatic Nuisance Prevention and Control Act of 1990* provides for the development and execution of environmentally sound control methods that prevent the unintentional introduction and dispersal of nonindigenous aquatic nuisance species into waters of the United States. PNNL has developed and implements an aquatic invasive plant and animal species interception program to support maintaining compliance with this Act. The program details control mechanisms for nuisance species on aquatic equipment used in infested waters, to prevent incidental introduction into uninfested waters.

Executive Order 11990, "Protection of Wetlands" (42 FR 26961), requires federal agencies to minimize the loss or degradation of wetlands on federal lands, and to preserve and enhance the natural and beneficial values of those lands. Compliance with this Order, as well as the wetland provisions of the *Clean Water Act*, is achieved through the biological review process at PNNL.

Executive Order 11988, "Floodplain Management" (42 FR 26951), requires federal agencies to evaluate the potential effects of any actions within a floodplain to minimize any direct or indirect impacts on the floodplain's natural and beneficial values. Floodplain management and consequences of flood hazards need to be considered when developing water- and land-use plans, as well as alternatives to floodplain use. The biological resource review process at PNNL identifies any impacts on floodplains within a proposed project area.

The Washington State *Shoreline Management Act of 1971* establishes policy for shoreline use and environmental protection along shorelines that include rivers and streams with a mean annual flow greater than 0.6 m^3/s (20 ft^3/s), which includes the Columbia River in Benton and Franklin counties. The shoreline jurisdiction extends 61 m (200 ft) landward of these waters, and includes associated wetlands, floodways, and up to 60 m (200 ft) of floodway-contiguous floodplains. The Act requires that preferred shoreline uses be consistent with the control of pollution and the prevention of damage to the natural environment, and requires protection of natural resources, including the land, vegetation, wildlife, water, and aquatic life, from adverse effects. County Shoreline Master Programs implement the policies of the *Shoreline Management Act of 1971* at the local level and establish a shoreline-specific combined comprehensive plan, zoning ordinance, and development permit system. The PNNL biological resource review process ensures the policies of the Act are met.

Programs and activities performed to ensure compliance with the preceding biological resource statutes and drivers are discussed in the following paragraphs.

Lists that document priority habitats and species of concern in Washington State are maintained by the WDFW (WDFW 2008, 2014a) and Washington State Department of Natural Resources (WDNR 2014). Lists that document plant and animal species with federal endangered, threatened, proposed, or candidate status are maintained in 50 CFR 17 (50 CFR 17.11; 50 CFR 17.12). A list that documents migratory birds protected under the *Migratory Bird Treaty Act* is maintained by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS 2013).

PNSO prepared the *Pacific Northwest Site Office Cultural and Biological Resources Management Plan* (DOE/PNSO 2008) in response to the direction and guidance provided in DOE Policy 141.1, "Department of Energy Management of Cultural Resources," and guidance in DOE Order 450.1A, "Environmental Protection Program," relative to protecting and managing cultural and biological resources. The plan provides direction on the requirements for annual surveys and monitoring for species of concern, review of project activities for environmental impacts, and identification and control of invasive species. The PNNL Site region of the PNNL Campus is surveyed to fulfill these guidance requirements.

As stipulated in the Management Plan (DOE/PNSO 2008), projects involving soil disturbance or work outdoors are routinely evaluated to determine their potential to affect biological resources. Ten ecological reviews were conducted for PNNL projects in CY 2013, eight on the PNNL Site, one at MSL, and one in the 300 Area of the Hanford Site. Potential project impacts were evaluated for plant or animal species protected under the *Endangered Species Act of 1973* and candidates for such protection, species listed by the state of Washington as threatened or endangered, Washington State priority habitats, and bird species protected under the *Migratory Bird Treaty Act* and *Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act*. No project impacts violated related federal or state law, regulation, or conservation priority guidance.

Staff ecologists perform annual pedestrian and visual reconnaissance of biological resources found on undeveloped portions of the PNNL Site. The primary objective of the field surveys is to determine the occurrence of plant and animal species protected under the federal *Endangered Species Act of 1973;* candidates for such protection; priority habitats and species listed as threatened, endangered, candidate, sensitive, or monitored by the state of Washington; and species protected under the federal *Migratory Bird Treaty Act*. In addition, specific biological resource reviews are conducted prior to implementing any project activities that may disturb such resources.

A baseline biological survey of undeveloped sections of the PNNL Site was conducted by PNNL ecologists in August 2013, complying with PNSO management plan requirements. Plant communities (Figure 2.1) were classified based on the dominant species of overstory (shrubs) and understory (grasses and forbs). The percent cover of dominant vegetation was visually estimated and recorded. Direct and indirect wildlife observations (e.g., sightings and indicators) were recorded.

The uplands and a small section of the riparian corridor along the Columbia River were also surveyed in 2013. High water precluded surveys of the remainder of the riparian corridor. Only species visible from the river bank just above the riparian zone could be noted. The most recent survey of the riparian corridor was completed in 2010 (Chamness et al. 2010). Due to annual variability in wildlife use and detectability, plant species occurrences, survey routes, and observers, the 2013 survey data must be combined with data from previous surveys (Larson and Downs 2009; Chamness et al. 2010; Becker and Chamness 2012; Duncan et al. 2013) to produce the most complete list of plants and animals known to occur on the PNNL Site.



Figure 2.1. Plant Communities Found on the Undeveloped Portions of the PNNL Site

No federally or state-listed threatened or endangered plant or animal species were observed in the uplands of the PNNL Site during the 2013 surveys. However, evidence of use by black-tailed jackrabbits, a state candidate species, was observed. The black-tailed jackrabbit was also recorded in the 2009, 2010, and 2012 annual surveys. The species is associated with shrub-steppe, and suitable habitat exists for it across much of the upland portion of the PNNL Site. A list of plant and animal species identified in the PNNL Site areas surveyed in 2013 and their status is provided in Appendix C.

2.7.1.1 Noxious Weed Control

Several species listed as Class B and Class C noxious weeds have been identified on the PNNL Site (Larson and Downs 2009). Common Class B noxious weeds include diffuse knapweed, rush skeletonweed, Russian knapweed, kochia, puncturevine, and yellow starthistle. Common Class C noxious weeds include field bindweed (*Convolvulus arvensis*). The Class B and Class C noxious weeds listed above are all classified as such by the state of Washington (WAC 16-750-011 and 16-750-015, respectively). Class B noxious weeds are species designated for control where they are not yet widespread to prevent new infestations (NWCB 2010). Starting in 2010, licensed PNNL staff, in coordination with staff ecologists, use hand-spraying methods to control populations of these specific weeds while minimizing impacts on other vegetation. The herbicide Milestone™ (along with a water conditioner, drift control and sticking agents, and blue dye for visibility) was spread using backpack sprayers. Most areas require spraying over 2 or more years to eradicate weeds that were not completely killed or that germinated from seeds in the soil.

In 2013, hand-spraying began on May 1 and was completed for the season on June 27, treating nearly 8 ha (20 ac) (Figure 2.2). Target species were rush skeletonweed, yellow starthistle, and Russian knapweed. Russian knapweed is reportedly difficult to control using herbicides. However, because no biological controls are available for this species, test plots were set up in 2012 in the most densely infested area to determine the effectiveness of Milestone™ on spring growth (Duncan et al. 2013). A survey of the plots in spring of 2013 indicated almost total eradication of Russian knapweed within the plots, while the population outside the plots was still thriving. Consequently, Russian knapweed was specifically targeted in 2013.

Diffuse knapweed was not targeted in 2013. Numerous diffuse knapweed plants were heavily infested with a seed weevil, providing effective natural biological control of that species in many areas. Also, weevils were collected and relocated to plants where no weevils were present, augmenting the control.



Figure 2.2. Areas Treated for Noxious Weeds on the PNNL Site in 2013

2.7.2 Biological Resources – PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory Vicinity

JM Becker and MA Chamness

The same federal laws and Executive Orders that provide the framework for protection of biological resources on the PNNL Site apply to biological resources at MSL and nearby waters. However, additional federal laws provide for protection of marine mammals and coastal resources in the vicinity of MSL.

The *Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972* provides a program for the protection of all marine mammals based on some species or stocks being in danger of extinction or depletion due to human activities. The purpose of the Act is to ensure that actions that may affect marine mammal species or stocks do not cause them to fall below their optimum sustainable population level. Consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service is required if an action may affect any marine mammal species. The biological resource review process is the primary means by which PNNL determines if marine mammal species may be affected by a proposed action.

The *Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972* establishes two national programs, the National Coastal Zone Management Program and the National Estuarine Research Reserve System, and is administered by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management. The Act encourages and provides for federal assistance to states/tribes to voluntarily develop a coastal zone management program to preserve, protect, develop, and where possible, restore or enhance valuable natural coastal resources such as wetlands, floodplains, estuaries, beaches, dunes, barrier islands, and coral reefs, as well as the fish and wildlife using those habitats. The Act considers ecological, cultural, historical, and aesthetic values, as well as the need for compatible economic development, and encourages the siting of major facilities in or adjacent to areas of existing development.

The Act outlines a national estuarine research reserve system, which serves as a field laboratory to promote greater understanding of estuaries and anthropogenic impacts on them. The *Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendments of 1990* include Section 6217, which calls upon states/tribes with federally approved coastal zone management programs to develop coastal nonpoint pollution control programs to improve, safeguard, and restore the quality of coastal waters. Section 6217 is administered jointly by the EPA and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. PNNL maintains compliance with this Act through its biological review process.

The Washington State Coastal Zone Management Program, adopted in 1976 under purview of the federal *Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972*, is implemented by the Washington State Department of Ecology Shorelands and Environmental Assistance Program. Under the Washington Coastal Zone Management Program, federal activities that affect any land use, water use, or natural resource of the coastal zone must comply with Washington State's *Shoreline Management Act of 1971*, including the associated county Shoreline Master Program where the activity would occur (see descriptions of the *Shoreline Management Act of 1971* and Shoreline Master Program in Section 2.7.1). The PNNL biological resource review process reinforces the policies of the Washington Coastal Zone Management Program.

The first annual survey of biological resources on the upland portions of the MSL vicinity was conducted in 2013. The annual survey comprised three individual field surveys—a vegetation survey of general upland cover types (above the ordinary high-water mark of Sequim Bay) in late February, and two surveys of avian species protected under the federal *Migratory Bird Treaty Act*, one in mid-April and one in mid-May 2013. Surrounding areas, including the tidal zone and wetlands, were not surveyed; however, reconnaissance-level biological information from these areas is included. Information about wetlands was obtained from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service *National Wetland Inventory* (USFWS 2014) and wetland types, boundaries, and acreages are based on interpretation of aerial imagery, and should be considered approximate. The land-cover types and habitats on MSL and in the nearshore environment of Sequim Bay are depicted in Figure 2.3. Appendix D provides a list of plant and animal species identified during the surveys of MSL and species known to occur there based on anecdotal observations made by PNNL staff.

Information from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the WDFW was obtained regarding plant and animal species listed as threatened or endangered under the federal *Endangered Species Act of 1973*; candidates and species proposed for such protection; species that may warrant such protection; and priority habitats and wildlife species listed as threatened, endangered, candidate, sensitive, or monitored by the State of Washington. The vegetation survey was conducted prior to the growing season and in a single day and was therefore insufficient to cover the flora of MSL in detail and to identify all plant species listed (threatened, endangered, candidate, sensitive, monitor) by the state of Washington (WDNR 2014).

The MSL uplands (and adjacent areas) consist of the following general cover types: mixed conifer forest, deciduous forest and meadow, field; spit, and developed (facilities) (Figure 2.3). Wetland habitat on and adjacent to MSL includes estuarine and marine wetland and freshwater emergent wetland (USFWS 2014). Vegetation surveys were conducted, recording dominant overstory and understory plant species along roads and other readily accessible vantage points within these cover types, as were direct and indirect wildlife observations (e.g., sightings and signs).

The mixed conifer forest survey included the bluff top located just east of the MSL-5 facility and overlooking Sequim Bay, where understory vegetation was removed to ground level in September 2012 (Figure 2.3). It did not include the habitat on the ridge that parallels Washington Harbor Road on the north end of MSL. The deciduous forest and open field surveys occurred primarily in the area west of MSL (Figure 2.3). The survey of spit habitat took place on the west side of the spit, around the eastern perimeter of the lagoon below the Sequim Bay ordinary high-water mark, and included a small area of estuarine and marine wetland. Other areas of estuarine and marine wetland and freshwater emergent wetland on and adjacent to MSL lands were not surveyed, but the wetland types are discussed generically. In addition, vegetation on the east side and at the base of the bluff (above the Sequim Bay ordinary high-water mark) below the MSL-5 facility was surveyed (Figure 2.3).

Avian surveys were conducted on and in the vicinity of MSL in the following habitat types: mixed conifer forest, open field (including peripheral shrub thickets), marsh (around the southeastern tip of the lagoon), beach shoreline (where the MSL-1, MSL-2, and MSL-7 facilities face the shoreline), and manmade habitats (around buildings, parking lots, and adjacent landscaped areas) (Figure 2.3). All bird species seen or heard were recorded and are listed in Appendix D.



Figure 2.3. Plant Communities and Locations of Former Bald Eagle Nests at MSL

2.7.2.1 Federally and State-Listed Species

One federally listed subspecies (the Taylor's checkerspot butterfly [*Euphydryas editha taylori*]) (78 FR 61451), two State-listed species (the bald eagle and peregrine falcon [*Falco peregrinus*]), and one State candidate species (the sand-verbena moth [*Copablepharon fuscum*]), are known to occur on or near MSL. These four species are discussed below. In addition, the meaning of a "management buffer" for the northern spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis*), also a federally listed species, is discussed, because it is indicated by the WDFW to be on or near MSL land (WDFW 2014c).

Taylor's Checkerspot Butterfly (*Euphydryas editha taylori*) – Federal Endangered and State Endangered

The Taylor's checkerspot butterfly occupies open habitat dominated by grassland vegetation throughout its range. On the northeast Olympic Peninsula, the species occupies prairies, shallow-soil balds (small treeless areas on slopes dominated by herbaceous vegetation), grassland bluffs, roadsides, and former clear-cut areas within a forested matrix, as well as a coastal stabilized dune site along the Strait of Juan de Fuca (78 FR 61451; Larsen et al. 1995). Female butterflies and larvae feed on plants that contain defensive chemicals, which appear to influence the selection of oviposition sites and deter predation (77 FR 61938; Larsen et al. 1995). These plants include narrow-leaf plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*), a prevalent species in the open field and meadow areas on and adjacent to MSL, as well as nearby on Travis Spit (Stuart 2006, 2007). Some areas on Travis Spit are considered to be excellent habitat for Taylor's checkerspot butterfly (Stuart 2007), but the subspecies is not known to occur at that location (Stuart 2007) or on MSL land.

The WDFW has recorded six Taylor's checkerspot butterfly occurrences and one breeding area occurrence near MSL land (WDFW 2014c). These data reference a population of the subspecies located 2 to 3 mi northwest of MSL at the coastal stabilized dune site along the Strait of Juan de Fuca. This population was discovered in 2006 and has since been detected annually at this location (78 FR 61451). The location of this population (on private property) has been designated as critical habitat, and totals 61 ha (151 ac) (78 FR 61506).

Northern Spotted Owl (*Strix occidentalis*)—Federal Threatened and State Endangered

A WDFW "management buffer" for the northern spotted owl is located on or adjacent to MSL land (WDFW 2014c). The management buffer indicates that a spotted owl occurrence has been identified. However, the MSL is more than 3 km (2 mi) away from the outer edge of a 4.4-km (2.7-mi) "regulatory management buffer," which encompasses the occurrence in an area equal in size to the median home range of the species (the Hoh-Clearwater/Coastal Link Spotted Owl Special Emphasis Area) (WAC 222-10-041), centered on the species occurrence in the township. The 4.4-km- (2.7-mi-) radius buffer is a regulatory buffer, while the "management buffer" located as far as 2 mi away adjacent to MSL land serves only to avoid disclosure of the specific occurrence location, according to the sensitive data policy of the WDFW (WDFW 2014c).

Bald Eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*)—Federal Species of Concern and State Sensitive, Protected under the *Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act* and the *Migratory Bird Treaty Act*

Three former bald eagle nest locations are known to be on or adjacent to MSL land (Figure 2.3). PNNL internal records indicate the earliest sighting of a nest is from 1997; the latest is from 2007. It is uncertain to what extent there have been active eagle nests in the intervening years. Based on anecdotal information provided by PNNL staff, eagles have previously successfully fledged young on MSL land. The WDFW has periodically monitored the occurrence of nesting eagles and has a record from 2005 of breeding territory located on or adjacent to MSL land (WDFW 2014c). Bald eagles were observed during the February, April, and May field surveys perched in Douglas fir trees atop the bluffs along Sequim Bay, but no nests were observed. These are likely foraging perches, because they are prominent and within sight of open water where eagles are known to feed (Stinson et al. 2007). Management of eagles on MSL property follows provisions of the *Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act* noted in the *National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines* (USFWS 2007).

Peregrine Falcon (*Falco peregrinus*)—Federal Species of Concern and State Sensitive

A peregrine falcon was observed during the April 2013 field survey, and PNNL personnel have reported observing the species onsite in prior years during winter. An undated WDFW report describes peregrine falcon winter habitat use on or adjacent to MSL land (WDFW 2014c). Peregrines feed on a variety of smaller birds. In winter and fall, peregrines forage in areas with large shorebird or waterfowl concentrations, especially in coastal areas. The Sequim area is known to support significant numbers of winter resident peregrines annually (Hays and Milner 2004).

Sand-Verbena Moth (*Copablepharon fuscum*)—May Warrant Listing as Federally Endangered or Threatened and State Candidate

The sand-verbena moth is dependent on yellow sand-verbena (*Abronia latifolia*), the moth's only host plant. Yellow sand-verbena grows exclusively in sandy coastal habitats because only large coastal sand features, such as dunes, beaches, and spits, support this plant. The sand-verbena moth requires the yellow sand-verbena in all life stages except pupation. Adult moths feed on the nectar and lay eggs in the flowers, and larvae feed on the leaves and flowers (WDNR 2013).

In 2001, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service found that a petition to list the sand-verbena moth as federally endangered or threatened may be warranted, and at that time initiated a review of the status of the species to determine if listing was justified. There is little information about the biology and habitat requirements of the sand-verbena moth, and data on its distribution is incomplete (76 FR 9309). There are 10 known populations of the species, 5 in British Columbia, Canada, and 5 in Washington State on the east end of the Strait of Juan de Fuca (WDNR 2013). The closest population to MSL is located 2 to 3 mi northwest, along the Strait of Juan de Fuca (NatureServe 2014).

Surveys for yellow sand-verbena were conducted by Washington Rare Plant Care and Conservation staff in August of 2011 at MSL and the surrounding areas (Gibson, Travis, and Treadwell spits located just to the north and east of MSL). An approximate 5-m^2 (54-ft^2) patch of yellow sand-verbena was identified on the northernmost tip of the MSL Spit located at the north end and adjacent to MSL. Four other occurrences of yellow sand-verbena with patches ranging in size from approximately 50 to 1,500 m^2

(540 to 16,100 ft^2) were located nearby at the south end of Gibson Spit and along almost the entire northern margin of Travis Spit.

2.7.2.2 Upland and Wetland Cover Types

The MSL is located within the western hemlock zone, the most extensive vegetation zone in Washington State. Climax forest generally consists of western hemlock/western red cedar, where Douglas fir is a subclimax species that is often dominant in forest stands. In the drier parts of the western hemlock zone, such as on the northeastern side of the Olympic Peninsula, prairie, oak woodland, and pine forest are also found (Franklin and Dyrness 1988).

Mixed Coniferous Forest

Mixed coniferous forest at MSL begins above the ordinary high-water mark of Sequim Bay and extends west of the facilities and along Washington Harbor Road (Figure 2.3). Dominant tree species include Douglas fir, western hemlock, and western red cedar. Other common tree species also include Pacific madrone, bigleaf maple, red alder, and grand fir (*Abies grandis*). Subcanopy tree species include Indian plum (*Oemleria cerasiformis*) and non-native English holly (*Ilex aquifolium*). Common shrub species include salal (*Gaultheria shallon*), hollyleaved barberry (*Mahonia aquifolium*) and Cascade barberry (*M. nervosa*), baldhip rose (*Rosa gymnocarpa*), trailing blackberry (*Rubus ursinus*) and Himalayan blackberry (*R. discolor*), oceanspray (*Holodiscus discolor*), red flowering currant (*Ribes sanguineum*), vine maple (*Acer circinatum*), snowberry (*Symphoricarpos albus*), and Scotch broom (*Cytisus scoparius*), a Washington State Class B noxious weed (WNWCB 2010). Common fern species include sword fern (*Polystichum munitum*) and western bracken fern (*Pteridium aquilinum*).

Deciduous Forest and Meadow

This cover type occurs in the vicinity of the retention pond adjacent to MSL land (Figure 2.3). Dominant tree species include red alder and Indian plum. Other tree species include western red cedar and willow (*Salix* sp.). Common shrub species include baldhip rose and trailing blackberry. Common fern species include western bracken fern. Common herbaceous species include orchardgrass (*Dactylis glomerata*).

Feeder Bluffs

Feeder bluffs are located east of the MSL-5 facility above the tidal zone (beach) (Figure 2.3). The base of the feeder bluffs is eroding, which provides an important source of sediment that sustains the associated beach (WDFW 2008). Vegetation at the base of the bluffs below MSL-5 consists of red alder, Himalayan blackberry, and wildrye (*Elymus* sp.).

Spit

Spit habitat is located in the northeastern portion of MSL. It includes the area situated just to the west (along the east margin of the lagoon) and just to the east (tidal zone) of the Sequim Bay ordinary high-water mark (Figure 2.3). The west side of the spit includes estuarine and marine wetland— one parcel with classification code E2EM1N, and two parcels with classification code E2USN (USFWS 2014). The generic descriptions of these wetland types and their classification codes are provided in the following subsection.

The portion of the spit located west of the ordinary high-water mark was surveyed in 2013. Dense mats of pickleweed (*Salicornia virginica*) and salt grass (*Distichlis spicata*) occur closest to the lagoon, while dense stands of Puget Sound gum weed (*Grindelia integrifolia*) and common yarrow (*Achillea millefolium*) occur just upgradient of the lagoon. No difference in this vegetation was noted for the portions of the west side of the spit that are classified as estuarine and marine wetland.

Estuarine and Marine Wetland

Estuarine and marine wetland on MSL land, in addition to that noted above for the west side of the spit, also occurs just northeast of the MSL-7 facility, extending northward along the tidal zone to the northernmost extent of the property boundary (Figure 2.3). This wetland, with a classification code of E2EM1N, encompasses the lower part of the tidal zone located east and slightly downgradient from the Sequim Bay ordinary high-water mark (USFWS 2014).

The wetland classification code provides a key to its generic description provided by Cowardin et al. (1979). E2EM1N estuarine and marine wetlands are deepwater tidal habitats and adjacent tidal wetlands that are influenced by water runoff from land (in this case from Bell Creek that feeds the lagoon) and often semi-enclosed by land (e.g., Gibson Spit and Travis Spit). E2EM1N estuarine and marine wetlands are located along low-energy coastlines (e.g., semi-enclosed portion of Sequim Bay) and have variable salinity. Tidal wetlands are confined to the area between extreme low and high water (intertidal). Vegetation is emergent and is usually dominated by perennial plants that consist of erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens, that are present during most of the growing season in most years and are persistent (remain standing until the beginning of the next growing season [e.g., last year's growth of pickle weed, salt grass, and gum weed, noted above for the spit, that were still standing during the February 2013 survey]). Tidal water floods and exposes the land surface at least once daily (USFWS 2014).

Beds of seawrack (*Zostera marina*), a native benthic eelgrass species, are known to occur between the south end of Gibson Spit and the MSL dock (Moline et al. 2007), just east of the estuarine and marine wetland between the MSL-7 facility and the northernmost extent of the property boundary (Figure 2.3). Seawrack is a perennial species of intertidal zones (Haynes 2007), and thus likely also occurs within the estuarine and marine wetland. Eelgrass beds are known to provide valuable fisheries habitat, stabilize sediment, influence currents, and contribute significant amounts of biomass to numerous food webs. A widespread loss of seagrass habitat has been documented throughout the world, including the Pacific coastal areas of the United States. The loss of eelgrass habitat in the United States has been cited as one of the factors contributing to recent fisheries declines (Moline et al. 2007).

Estuarine and marine wetland classified as E2USN includes MSL land that extends south from the beach below the MSL-5 facility (Figure 2.3) to an offsite marina area located south of Battelle Land–Sequim. This wetland type is similar to E2EM1N, except that it has unconsolidated substrates with less than 75 percent areal cover of stones and boulders or bedrock, and less than 30 percent areal cover of vegetation (type not specified). It includes landforms such as beaches, bars, and flats (USFWS 2014).

The entire lagoon is classified as an E2USN estuarine and marine wetland (USFWS 2014). The southernmost margin of this wetland is found just north of Washington Harbor Road, bordering MSL land (Figure 2.3).

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

A small area of freshwater emergent wetland, classified as PEM1C, is located within the southwest corner of MSL land (Figure 2.3) (USFWS 2014). This is a non-tidal palustrine wetland. Vegetation is emergent and is usually dominated by perennial plants that consist of erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens, that are persistent and present most of the growing season in most years. Surface water is present for extended periods, especially early in the growing season, but is absent by the end of the growing season in most years (USFWS 2014).

A second freshwater emergent wetland (PEM1C) is located on the southwest side of the lagoon, just north of Washington Harbor Road, which is adjacent to the northwest corner of MSL (Figure 2.3).

Wetlands Summary

About 2.6 ha (6.4 ac) of estuarine and marine wetland (E2EM1N) and 4 ha (10 ac) of estuarine and marine wetland (E2USN) occur within the borders of the MSL property. A total of 1.2 ha (2.9 ac) of freshwater emergent wetland (classified as PEM1C) occurs within and adjacent to the MSL property. The combined acreage of all three wetland types is 7.8 ha (19.3 ac).

2.7.2.3 Avifauna

The MSL lies within the Pacific flyway and provides a variety of Puget Sound lowland habitats that support migratory birds. Fifty-three avian species were observed at MSL in 2013. These include both migrant species (present during spring and fall) and resident species (breeding season, winter, or year-round). Waterfowl include the Canada goose (*Branta canadensis*), mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*), bufflehead (*Bucephala albeola*), and harlequin duck (*Histrionicus histrionicus*). Waterbird species include the great blue heron (*Ardea herodias*), Brandt’s cormorant (*Phalacrocorax penicillatus*), Olympic gull (*Larus glaucescens x occidentalis*), pigeon guillemot (*Cepphus columba*), and belted kingfisher (*Megaceryle alcyon*). Open-water areas, such as Sequim Bay, the lagoon, and the retention pond, provide habitat for waterfowl and waterbirds. Birds of prey, in addition to the bald eagle and peregrine falcon discussed earlier, include the Cooper’s hawk (*Accipiter cooperii*) and red-tailed hawk (*Buteo jamaicensis*), both of which may nest on MSL land. Mixed conifer forest and open field habitats provide hunting areas for the Cooper’s hawk and red-tailed hawk, respectively. Gallinaceous birds include the mourning dove and rock dove (*Columba livia*). Rock doves typically nest on facility infrastructure and mourning doves are common in open field habitats; both may nest onsite. Woodpeckers include the downy woodpecker and hairy woodpecker (*Picoides villosus*), both of which nest in forest habitat and may nest onsite. Swallow species include the northern rough-winged swallow (*Stelgidopteryx serripennis*), violet-green swallow (*Tachycineta thalassina*), cliff swallow (*Petrochelidon pyrrhonota*), and barn swallow (*Hirundo rustica*). The bluffs overlooking Sequim Bay and the MSL facilities provide nesting habitat for these species. Several other perching birds were observed that prefer to breed in forest habitat, including the Steller’s jay (*Cyanocitta stelleri*), Hammond’s flycatcher (*Empidonax hammondii*), chestnut-backed chickadee (*Poecile rufescens*), Pacific wren (*Troglodytes pacificus*), and Swainson’s thrush (*Catharus ustulatus*), or in shrubby areas within forest habitat, including the Wilson's warbler (*Cardellina pusilla*), yellow-rumped warbler (*Dendroica coronata*), and orange-crowned warbler (*Vermivora celata*), all of which may nest at MSL. The savannah sparrow (*Passerculus sandwichensis*) and song sparrow (*Melospiza melodia*) breed in open field habitats and may nest onsite. Fox sparrows (*Passerella iliaca*) breed in riparian thickets and may nest onsite. Habitat generalists that may nest on the MSL lands include the American robin (*Turdus migratorius*), dark-eyed junco (*Junco hyemalis*), and pine siskin (*Spinus pinus*). All avian species observed during the 2013 surveys are listed in Appendix D.

2.7.3 Cultural Resources

KM Mendez and MR Sackschewsky

A number of federal Acts and Orders provide the framework for protection of cultural resources at the PNNL Campus and MSL. This section summarizes the requirements and catalogs PNNL's compliance activities in 2013.

The *National Historic Preservation Act of 1966* (16 USC 470) and its amendments establish historical preservation as a national policy and define it as the protection, rehabilitation, restoration, and reconstruction of districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects that are significant in American history, architecture, archaeology, or engineering. The Act also expands the National Register of Historic Places listing to include resources of state and local significance, and it establishes the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation as an independent federal agency. At PNNL, compliance with the *National Historic Preservation Act of 1966* is achieved through the cultural resource review process.

The *Antiquities Act of 1906* provided for the protection of historical and prehistoric remains and structures on federal lands. It established a permit system for conducting scientific archaeological investigations and established criminal penalties and fines to manage looting and vandalism of archaeological sites on public lands. By the 1970s, the penalties were no longer commensurate with the severity of the offense, and in 1974 the Act was proclaimed to be unconstitutionally vague by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. In response, Congress enacted the *Archaeological Resources Protection Act of 1979* (16 USC 470aa).

The *Archaeological Resources Protection Act of 1979* (16 USC 470aa) provides for the protection of archaeological resources and sites on federal and tribal lands. It also describes the conditions required preceding the issuance of a permit to excavate or remove any archaeological resource, the curation and record requirements for removal or excavation, and the penalties for convicted violators. At PNNL, the cultural resource review process supports compliance with the *Archaeological Resources Protection Act of 1979*.

The *Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act of 1990* (25 USC 3001) established a means for Native Americans to request the return of human remains and other sensitive cultural articles held by federal agencies. It also contains provisions regarding the requirement to inventory any remains and associated funerary objects, the intentional excavation of remains or cultural items, and the illegal trafficking of those items.

The *American Indian Religious Freedom Act* (42 USC 1996) was established in 1978 for the protection and preservation of the traditional religious ceremonial rights and cultural practices of American Indians. These rights include access to sacred sites, repatriation of sacred items held in museums, and freedom to worship through traditional ceremonies. The Act also required governmental agencies not to interfere with Native American religious practices and to accommodate access to and the use of religious sites to the extent that the use is practicable and consistent with an agency's essential functions. Because the *American Indian Religious Freedom Act* could not enforce its provisions, the *American Indian Religious Freedom Act Amendments of 1994* were established to provide for the management of federal lands "in a manner that does not undermine or frustrate traditional Native American religions or religious practices" (103 HR 4155).

The *Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1974* (16 USC 469) provides for the preservation of historical American sites, buildings, objects, and antiquities of national significance. It also imparts the preservation of historical and archaeological data (including relics and specimens), which might otherwise be irreparably lost or destroyed, and requires preservation of significant historical and archaeological data affected by any federal or federally related land modification activity.

In accordance with *National Historic Preservation Act of 1966* Section 106 requirements, cultural resources reviews are conducted for all federal undertakings to identify their potential to affect cultural resources. The Section 106 review process results in one of three findings: 1) No Historic Properties Affected, 2) No Adverse Effect, or 3) an Adverse Effect. Eleven Section 106 cultural resource reviews were conducted for PNNL projects in 2013: seven on the PNNL Campus, three in the Hanford Site 300 Area, and one at MSL. These reviews resulted in the following determinations: nine reviews resulted in a finding of No Historic Properties Affected, and two resulted in a finding of No Adverse Effect—one in the Hanford Site 300 Area and one at MSL. In addition to these Section 106 reviews, 20 projects were reviewed by cultural resource staff to ensure that the project activities were covered by previously conducted Section 106 cultural resource reviews.

To ensure that important cultural resources are protected on the PNNL Site, the 2008 DOE *Pacific Northwest Site Office Cultural and Biological Resources Management Plan* (DOE/PNSO 2008) requires annual monitoring of three eligible properties to identify potential threats and recommend appropriate actions, if necessary. As stipulated in the Management Plan, trip results are analyzed and reported to local Native American tribes and the Washington State Historic Preservation Office. The annual cultural resources monitoring trip was conducted on November 18, 2013. Monitoring was conducted by the PNNL cultural resources contractor CH2M HILL, with the participation of staff from PNNL and tribal cultural resources staff. Photographs and field notes were taken at set points for each archaeological site to assess the site condition and identify potential changes to the site caused by human or natural causes. In addition, information was collected to add to current knowledge of the sites.

As noted during previous PNNL Site monitoring, portions of landscape fabric were visible in areas at one site, where windborne sediments have been removed by aeolian processes. An old excavation and associated push pile near the revegetated portions of the site, which was noted in the previous year's monitoring trip, continued to be retaken by native vegetation. Based on the amount of vegetation both in the excavation and on the push pile it appears that this feature is likely associated with original construction activities. The animal burrow under the northern fence identified during the previous monitoring trip was revisited. It appears that the burrow is still occasionally used by wildlife, but it does not seem to have expanded or caused any impacts on cultural resources. The area of off-road driving identified during the previous monitoring trip was revisited; no new off-road driving was apparent since the last monitoring trip, indicating that protection measures have been effective. Erosion impacts were identified during the previous year's monitoring trip at a site near the Columbia River, revealing historical debris including metal objects, brick, and bottle glass protruding from the cut bank face. No new erosion or previously unidentified artifacts were observed during the 2013 trip, but this area will continue to be monitored.

2.8 Radiation Protection

GA Stoetzel

PNNL is subject to the radiation protection statutes and regulations designed to protect the health and safety of the public, workforce, and the environment.

2.8.1 DOE Order 458.1, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment"

DOE Order 458.1, issued in February 2011, superseded DOE Order 5400.5, Chg 2. Administrative changes were made to DOE Order 458.1 in March 2011 (Chg 1), June 2011 (Chg 2), and January 2013 (Chg 3). Section 2.d (As Low As Reasonably Achievable [ALARA]) and Section 2.k (Release and Clearance of Property) of DOE Order 458.1 were added to PNNL's contract with PNSO during July 2011, and fully implemented on September 1, 2012. During the reporting period of this site environmental report, PNNL was working under the requirements of DOE Order 458.1.

Section 2.d of DOE Order 458.1 requires each contractor to establish an environmental ALARA process to control and manage radiological activities so that doses to members of the public and releases to the environment are kept ALARA. The ALARA process must be applied to the design or modification of facilities and the conduct of radiological work activities.

Section 2.k of DOE Order 458.1 provides the requirements with which each contractor must comply when releasing property that potentially contains residual radioactivity. Dose constraints for the public are established based on the type of property (i.e., personal property and real property). Requirements for releasing property based on process knowledge, radiological surveys, or a combination of both are provided. The process of obtaining pre-approved release limits and activity-specific release limits for releasing property is also described. The public is required to be notified annually of property released from PNNL facilities. This notification is done through the issuance of this annual site environmental report.

PNNL radiation protection procedures implement DOE Order 458.1 to include guidance on the environmental ALARA program, the use of process knowledge and historical knowledge when releasing property, the preparation and approval of authorized limits requests, and the preparation of an annual site environmental report.

2.8.2 DOE Order 435.1, "Radioactive Waste Management"

The purpose of DOE Order 435.1 is to establish requirements to ensure DOE radioactive waste is managed in a manner that is protective of worker and public health and safety, as well as the environment. The Order takes a "cradle-to-grave" approach to managing waste, and includes requirements for waste generation, storage, treatment, disposal, and post-closure monitoring of facilities.

Radioactive waste shall be managed such that the requirements of other DOE Orders, standards, and regulations are met, including the following:

- 10 CFR 835, "Occupational Radiation Protection"
- DOE Order 440.1A, "Worker Protection Management for DOE Federal and Contractor Employees"
- DOE Order 458.1, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment."

DOE Order 435.1 establishes requirements for the management of high-level waste, transuranic waste, and low-level waste. It also covers mixed waste (i.e., high-level waste, transuranic waste, and low-level waste that also contain chemically hazardous constituents). DOE Order 435.1 (approved in 1999) superseded a previous set of requirements (DOE Order 5820.2A, dated September 26, 1988) for managing radioactive waste. DOE Order 435.1, Chg 1, approved in 2001, includes minor revisions to the original Order.

PNNL's Radioactive Waste Management Basis Program identifies the hazards associated with radioactive waste management at PNNL along with their potential impacts. Controls for the protection of the public, workers, and the environment are also presented. Controls are implemented through internal PNNL workflows and waste management procedures.

2.8.3 *Atomic Energy Act of 1954*

The *Atomic Energy Act of 1954* was promulgated to ensure the proper management of radioactive materials. Through the Act, the DOE regulates the control of radioactive materials under its authority, including the treatment, storage, and disposal of low-level radioactive waste from its operations, and establishes radiation protection standards for itself and its contractors. Accordingly, the DOE promulgated a series of regulations (e.g., 10 CFR 820, 10 CFR 830, and 10 CFR 835) and directives (e.g., DOE Order 435.1, Chg 1 [Section 2.8.2] and DOE Order 458.1 [Section 2.8.1]) to protect public health and the environment from potential risks associated with radioactive materials. PNNL complies with the *Atomic Energy Act of 1954* through its Radiation Protection Management and Operation Program.

2.9 Major Environmental Issues and Actions

HT Tilden

Releases of radioactive and regulated materials to the environment are reported to the DOE and other federal and state agencies as required by law. The specific agencies notified depend on the type, amount, and location of each release event. This section describes releases to the environment that occurred at PNNL during CY 2013.

2.9.1 Continuous Release Reporting

A continuous release is a hazardous release exceeding reporting thresholds under CERCLA (Section 2.6.2) that is "continuous" and "stable in quantity and rate" where reduced reporting requirements apply. There were no continuous releases on the PNNL Campus or at MSL in 2013.

2.9.2 DOE Order 232.2, "Occurrence Reporting and Processing of Operations Information"

DOE Order 232.2 requires the reporting of incidents that could adversely affect the public or workers, the environment, or the mission that occur at DOE sites and/or during DOE operations. Releases requiring regulatory agency notification (Section 2.9.3) and receipt of formal or informal regulator correspondence alleging violations (Section 2.6) are required to be reported to DOE through the reporting system. PNNL reports all incidents to the DOE as required.

2.9.3 Unplanned Releases

No environmentally significant releases occurred at PNNL in 2013.

2.10 Summary of Permits

HT Tilden

Table 2.3 summarizes air, liquid, and hazardous waste permits for the PNNL Campus and MSL during 2013.

Table 2.3. PNNL Air, Liquid, and Hazardous Waste Permits, 2013

Issuer	Permit #	Location(s) Regulated	Activity(ies) Regulated	Expiration Date[a]
		Air Emissions		
Washington State Department of Health	FF-01[b]	PNNL-occupied locations on Hanford Site	Radioactive air emissions	12/31/2017
Washington Department of Health	RAEL-005	PNNL Campus	Radioactive air emissions	6/24/2015
Washington Department of Health	RAEL-014	PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory	Radioactive air emissions	10/1/2017
Washington State Department of Ecology	00-05-006, Renewal 2	PNNL-occupied locations on Hanford Site	Radioactive and nonradioactive air emissions	3/31/2018
Washington Department of Health	WN-L027-1[c]	PNNL Campus	Radioactive materials possession and radioactive air emissions	8/31/1992
Washington Department of Health	WN-L064-1[c]	PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory	Radioactive materials possession and radioactive air emissions	1/31/2015
Benton Clean Air Agency	Order 2007-0013	PNNL Campus	Nonradioactive air emissions	None
Benton Clean Air Agency	Order 98-01[d]	PNNL Campus	Nonradioactive air emissions	None
Benton Clean Air Agency	Order 2012-0013	Physical Science Facility	Nonradioactive air emissions	None
Benton Clean Air Agency	Order 2012-0016	PNNL Campus	Nonradioactive air emissions	None
Benton Clean Air Agency	Order RO 2012-0009	Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory	Nonradioactive air emissions	None

Table 2.3. (contd)

Issuer	Permit #	Location(s) Regulated	Activity(ies) Regulated	Expiration Date[(a)]
Benton Clean Air Agency	Order 2007-0006, Rev. 1	Life Sciences Laboratory II	Nonradioactive air emissions	None
Benton Clean Air Agency	Order 06004-00, Rev. 3	Battelle Inhalation Laboratory	Nonradioactive air emissions	None
Olympic Region Clean Air Agency	Order of Approval 08-NOC-621	PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory, MSL-7	Nonradioactive air emissions	None
Olympic Region Clean Air Agency	Order of Approval 05-NOC-415	PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory	Nonradioactive air emissions	None
		Liquid Effluents[(e)]		
City of Richland	CR-IU001	PNNL Campus	Liquid effluent discharges to city sewer	3/31/2015
City of Richland	CR-IU005	W.R. Wiley Environmental and Molecular Sciences Laboratory	Liquid effluent discharges to city sewer	3/30/2017
City of Richland	CR-IU011	Physical Sciences Facility (new buildings north of Horn Rapids Road)	Liquid effluent discharges to city sewer	12/31/2014
City of Richland	CR-IU010[(b)]	PNNL-occupied locations in Hanford Site 300 Area	Liquid effluent discharges to city sewer	10/20/2016
Washington State Department of Ecology	ST 4511[(b)]	PNNL-occupied locations in Hanford Site 300 Area	Discharge of wastewater from maintenance, construction, and hydro testing activities; allows for cooling water, condensate, and industrial stormwater discharges to ground	2/16/2010
Washington State Department of Ecology	ST-9251	PNNL Campus	Reuse of cooling water for irrigation	6/30/2015
Washington State Department of Ecology	ST-9274	Biological Sciences Facility and Computational Sciences Facility	Reinjection of well water used in ground-source heat pump	6/4/2015
Washington State Department of Ecology	WA0040649	PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory	Treated liquid effluent discharges to Sequim Bay	11/30/2017
		Hazardous Waste		
Washington State Department of Ecology	WA7890008967	325 Hazardous Waste Treatment Units (located in the 300 Area)	Treatment and storage of dangerous waste (primarily mixed waste)	9/27/2004

(a) Expired permits generally remain in force while renewal applications are processed by the issuing agency.
(b) Permit issued to DOE-Richland Operations Office and/or its contractor(s); PNNL (Pacific Northwest National Laboratory) is obligated to comply with these permits through an operating agreement between the DOE-Richland Operations Office and Pacific Northwest Site Office.
(c) These licenses were terminated in 2013; radioactive air emissions authorization moved to RAEL-005 and RAEL-014 on October 1, 2012.
(d) Modified to remove the content of Order 2012-0016 on October 1, 2012.
(e) PNNL also conducts activities in leased facilities with wastewater permits issued to the owner. These permits are not listed here, but compliance-related impacts from PNNL activities are included in this report.

3.0 Environmental Management System

J Su-Coker and KL Lowry

PNNL has a mature, robust EMS that was established in 1996. Since 2002, ISO 14001 certification has been maintained, which includes yearly independent third-party verification of the certification. The EMS is integrated into PNNL's Integrated Safety Management (ISM) Program, which ensures that staff are aware of scope, risks/hazards, and controls available to address functions, processes, and procedures used to plan and perform work safely. The outcome of the integration is to accomplish PNNL missions while protecting the worker, the public, and the environment.

Management at PNNL conducts assessments periodically to evaluate environmental performance from a programmatic perspective, to determine if there are issues that require attention, and to facilitate the identification and communication of best management practices. PNNL management also routinely evaluates progress on key environmental improvement projects.

The EMS is audited periodically to verify that it is operating as intended and in conformance with the ISO 14001 standards. In 2013, an EMS surveillance audit determined that the system remains in conformance with the ISO 14001:2004 Standard (Figure 3.1). The ISO 14001-registered EMS is a key component of PNNL's success in achieving sustainability.


NSF International Strategic Registrations
789 North Dixboro Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105
(888) NSF-9000 | www.nsf-isr.org

Certificate of Registration

This certifies that the Environmental Management System of

Battelle - Pacific Northwest Division

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory 902 Battelle Boulevard
Mail Stop J2-25
Richland, Washington, 99354, United States

has been assessed by NSF-ISR and found to be in conformance to the following standard(s):

ISO 14001:2004

Scope of Registration:
Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy: Research and development activities and associated facilities operations

Certificate Number: 6Z781-EM5
Certificate Issue Date: 03-DEC-2012
Registration Date: 20-OCT-2011
Expiration Date *: 19-OCT-2014

William Niedzwiecki,
President & General Manager,
NSF-ISR, Ltd.

Authorized Registration and /or Accreditation Marks. This certificate is property of NSF-ISR and must be returned upon request. *Company is audited for conformance at regular intervals. To verify registrations call (888) NSF-9000 or visit our web site at www.nsf-isr.org

Figure 3.1. Certificate of Registration for PNNL Conformance to ISO 14001:2004 Standards

In addition, the 2013 EMS performance data submitted to the Federal Facilities Environmental Stewardship & Compliance Assistance Center received a "Green" score for the EMS performance metrics listed below.

- Environmental aspects were identified or reevaluated using an established procedure and updated as appropriate (see additional discussion below).
- Measurable environmental goals, objectives, and targets were identified, reviewed, and updated as appropriate (see Section 3.1).
- Operational controls were documented to address significant environmental aspects consistent with objectives and targets were fully implemented.
- Environmental training procedures were established to ensure that training requirements for individual competence and responsibility were identified, carried out, monitored, tracked, recorded, and refreshed as appropriate to maintain competence.
- EMS requirements were included in all appropriate contracts, and contractors fulfilled defined roles and specified responsibilities.
- EMS audit/evaluation procedures were established, audits were conducted, and nonconformities were addressed or corrected.
- Senior leadership review of the EMS was conducted and management responded to recommendations for continual improvement.

PNNL examines its operations to determine which categories of environmental impacts (referred to as "aspects" in the ISO 14001 Standard) have the greatest potential to occur, and therefore, require consideration and control through the EMS process. PNNL performs annual environmental aspect and impact analyses, including risk analysis and work evaluations, to ensure regulatory requirements and any concerns of the public or other interested parties are addressed. The ten most significant aspects and the EMS controls used to minimize potential impacts of each aspect are as follows:

- **Chemical Use and Storage**: As a research laboratory, PNNL has many buildings where chemicals/biological materials are used and/or stored for research operations and maintenance activities. Controls used to avoid potential hazards include training, inventory control procedures, approvals prior to requisitioning, and work procedures for chemical/biological material use, including adequate safety requirements. PNNL implements a "ChemAgain" program, which redistributes surplus chemicals internally in an effort to reduce PNNL's chemical waste. In FY 2013, approximately 480 chemical containers were reallocated to internal staff.
- **Regulated Waste Generation**: The use of chemical and radioactive materials creates waste streams that may be regulated as dangerous waste, radioactive waste, or both dangerous and radioactive (mixed waste). Wastes within these categories are subject to the regulations of the Washington State Department of Ecology (for dangerous and mixed waste) and DOE (for radioactive and mixed waste). In addition to the controls imposed by these requirements, PNNL seeks to reduce generated wastes. Projects are regularly reviewed and procedures are scrutinized to minimize the production of regulated wastes. Any generated waste may be treated to be made less hazardous or non-hazardous for proper disposal.

- **Radioactive Material Use and Storage**: Research at PNNL may involve the use of radioactive materials. All radioactive materials are labeled and controlled. Controls include restricted access to radiation areas and special training requirements for staff requiring access.
- **Emissions to Air**: Potential air emissions are evaluated and permits are obtained when required. Active controls for the management of chemicals, radioactive materials, and regulated wastes seek to minimize PNNL air emissions. Sources of air emissions include boilers, diesel generators, vehicle exhaust, R&D activities, and facility and grounds maintenance and operations.
- **Effluents to Water**: PNNL seeks to minimize liquid discharges to the environment. Discharges include laboratory drain water to sewer systems and stormwater to dry wells in parking lots, which are regulated by state and local permits and/or regulations. Discharges are evaluated to ensure they conform to regulations and permits.
- **Physical Interaction with Environment**: Some PNNL projects are performed outdoors in direct contact with the environment. These include facility construction, maintenance, and modifications, as well as occasional R&D activities. Work proposed to be performed outdoors is reviewed to minimize potential impacts and ensure the protection of workers, the public, and environmental resources.
- **Energy Use**: Using energy judiciously is a prime objective of PNNL. Energy reduction goals are established and activities to reduce energy consumption are implemented.
- **Solid Waste Generation**: The use of office products, electronics, and equipment, along with construction, demolition, and normal maintenance activities, creates non-regulated solid waste streams. Reduction or elimination of environmental hazards, conservation of environmental resources, and maximization of operational sustainability is achieved through the incorporation of electronic stewardship practices, reuse of materials, and operation of recycling programs. In FY 2013, all major employee events were zero waste; nearly 100 percent of the waste was recycled or reused. Food scraps from those events were provided to local farmers for animal feed. PNNL further reduces degradation and depletion of environmental resources by purchasing environmentally friendly items (e.g., that contain recycled content).
- **Water Use**: PNNL recognizes the value of water in the eastern Washington environment. PNNL maintains water-use reduction goals and implements actions to reduce water consumption.
- **Fuel Usage**: PNNL seeks to minimize the use of petroleum-based fuels by purchasing vehicles that use alternative fuels, such as Ethanol-85, and through the acquisition of high-fuel-efficiency vehicles, including hybrids and all-electric vehicles. PNNL has recently acquired electric vehicles for on-campus transportation and has installed solar-powered electric vehicle charging stations across the main Richland campus. In addition, PNNL was instrumental in obtaining the first bio-fuel service station in Richland, Washington, and when appropriate, uses bio-diesel to fuel generators.

The benefits of implementing a well-performing EMS include enabling upfront planning to incorporate sustainability and pollution prevention opportunities, early identification of environmental requirements to avoid project delays, high-level integration with existing programs to improve efficiency, reduced operational costs, and enhanced public recognition as a “good neighbor.”

PNNL has been using a multi-disciplinary EMS Core Team as a best practice to drive continuous improvement in its sustainability environmental performance and enable an integrated approach in managing the environmental aspects and impacts. The EMS Core Team is a diverse, authorized working group composed of key EMS program leads and managers. Core Team members are held accountable for the successful execution of PNNL's sustainability goals and targets.

3.1 Sustainability Goals and Targets

Signed in 2009, Executive Order 13514, "Federal Leadership in Environmental, Energy, and Economic Performance" (74 FR 52117), establishes sustainability goals for federal agencies and focuses on improving their environmental, energy, and economic performance. In addition to guidance, recommendations, and plans, which are due by specific sustainability due dates, Executive Order 13514 has set numerical targets for agencies.

PNNL's comprehensive and diverse approach to fulfilling Executive Order 13514 requirements and advancing DOE's sustainability mission is captured in the PNNL Site Sustainability Plan (PNNL 2013), which details the annual status and strategy for achieving long-term goals. The plan includes practical actions to conserve energy, water, and financial resources, improve the comfort and productivity of PNNL staff, and benefit the environment. Accomplishments from FY 2013 are highlighted below.

3.1.1 Greenhouse Gas Reduction and Comprehensive Greenhouse Gas Inventory

Scope 3 GHG emissions, related to site operations including business travel, employee commuting, vendor activities, and delivery services, have decreased 9.5 percent compared to the FY 2008 baseline (Figure 3.2). In FY 2012, a PNNL-wide telework program was started to reduce GHG emissions from employee commuting. By the end of FY 2013, more than 20,000 telework days were recorded, which has averted an estimated 196 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent ($MTCO_2e$).

Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions, generated from operations and activities (Scope 1) or associated with the purchase of energy (Scope 2), have shown a 4.5 percent increase compared to 2012, primarily driven by additional supercomputer loads (Figure 3.3). PNNL will continue implementing energy-conservation measures where cost-effective.



Figure 3.2. Scope 3 Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions from DOE Buildings on the PNNL Campus, FY 2008–2013 ($MTCO_2e$ = metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent)



Figure 3.3. Scope 1 and 2 Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions from DOE Buildings on the PNNL Campus, FY 2008–2013 ($MTCO_2e$ = metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent)

3.1.2 High-Performance Sustainable Buildings

In FY 2013, PNNL certified two additional buildings and has met High-Performance Sustainable Buildings (HPSB) criteria for 31 percent of its portfolio, exceeding the DOE goal of 15 percent by FY 2015 (Figure 3.4). The Radiochemical Processing Laboratory is the first DOE-SC nuclear laboratory to achieve HPSB status using the guidance criteria for existing buildings.



Figure 3.4. High-Performance Sustainable Building (HPSB) Totals Have Exceeded DOE Goals

3.1.3 Fleet Management

PNNL has expanded the use of alternative fuel vehicles, including electric vehicles. Petroleum use is down by over 4,000 gal since FY 2012 (Figure 3.5).

PNNL has exceeded the alternative fuel use goal consistently since 2006 (Figure 3.6).



Figure 3.5. Petroleum Fuel Use, FY 2005–2013 (GGE = gallon gas equivalent)



Figure 3.6. Alternative Fuel Use, FY 2006–2013 (GGE = gallon gas equivalent)

3.1.4 Water-Use Efficiency and Management

In FY 2013, implementation of water-saving projects and operational improvements has resulted in an overall reduction of 59 percent compared with the 2007 baseline (Figure 3.7). PNNL has met the FY 2020 water-reduction goal.



Figure 3.7. Water-Use Intensity, FY 2007–2013

3.1.5 Pollution Prevention and Waste Reduction

In FY 2013, approximately 57 percent of non-hazardous sanitary waste was diverted through recycling and composting. Nearly 100 percent of the waste from construction and demolition projects was recycled (Figure 3.8).



Figure 3.8. Diversion of Non-Hazardous Waste from Landfills, FY 2007–2013

3.1.6 Power Usage Effectiveness

PNNL's newest supercomputer, Cascade, located at EMSL can do in 1 hour what would take a typical laptop over 20 years to complete. Recently ranked thirteenth on the Top 500 List of the world's fastest supercomputers, Cascade uses nearly eight times less energy than its predecessor.

3.1.7 Ozone-Depleting Substances

Executive Order 13423 (72 FR 3919) requires DOE sites to reduce ozone-depleting substances through sustainable acquisition of products and services. PNNL's approach to reducing ozone-depleting substances includes implementing administrative controls through procedures for maintenance, repair, and disposal as well as minimizing procurement of Class I ozone-depleting substances for new and replacement refrigeration systems. Over the last 10 years, PNNL Class I ozone-depleting substance usage has decreased approximately 30 percent (see Section 5.2).

Table 3.1 summarizes each DOE goal, accompanied by PNNL's performance status, planned actions, and an assessment of the risk of non-attainment.

3.2 Awards and Recognition

In 2013, the DOE awarded PNNL two Sustainability Awards. PNNL was the only recipient in each of these two categories.

- PNNL received an award for Innovative and Holistic Sustainability Implementation. This award recognizes innovative methods and cross-disciplinary research at the site level to support sustainability goal attainment. Several facets of PNNL's sustainability program were noted, including use of the Global Reporting Initiative tool for goal setting, planning, and environmental performance monitoring. Use of local and regional partnerships and an internal "Sustainability Pay$" program were also recognized by the award.
- PNNL's second award was for Sustainable Campus. This award recognizes a campus that has been designed or modified to promote sustainable operations. Campus sustainability initiatives noted include sustainability features in new buildings, water conservation through the use of landscape master planning, and pedestrian- and bicycle-friendly campus enhancements. Use of teleworking has also been expanded to support the DOE's Scope 3 GHG emission reduction goals.

Table 3.1. U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science Goals, PNNL's Performance Status, Planned Actions and Risk of Non-Attainment for FY 2013

DOE Goal	Performance Status Through FY13	Planned Actions and Contribution	Risk[(a)] of Non-attainment
Greenhouse Gas Reduction and Comprehensive Greenhouse Gas Inventory			
28% Scope 1 & 2 GHG reduction by FY 2020 from a FY 2008 baseline (FY 2013 target: 17%)	FY 2008 Baseline: 36,076 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent ($MTCO_2e$) FY 2013 Actual: 41,706 $MTCO_2e$ (367 $MTCO_2e$ adjusted for renewable energy certificates [RECs]) FY 2020 Goal: 25,975 $MTCO_2e$ Status: Including REC's goal achieved	Continue REC purchases for near-term GHG reduction goal and implement energy-conservation measures, where cost-effective.	Low
13% Scope 3 GHG reduction by FY 2020 from a FY 2008 baseline (FY 2013 target: 4%)	FY 2008 Baseline: 23,775 $MTCO_2e$ FY 2013 Actual: 21,526 $MTCO_2e$ FY 2020 Goal: 20,684 $MTCO_2e$ Status: 9.5% reduction	Continue promoting telework and high-end video usage to reduce travel; encourage staff through bus and carpool promotions and incentives.	Low
Buildings, Energy Savings Performance Contract Initiative Schedule, Regional and Local Planning			
30% energy intensity (British thermal units [Btu] per gross square foot [GSF]) reduction by FY 2015 from a FY 2003 baseline (FY 2013 target: 24%)	FY 2003 Baseline: 197,817 Btu/GSF FY 2013 Actual: 151,021 Btu/GSF FY 2015 Goal: 138,472 Btu/GSF Status: 23.7% reduction	Continue implementing Consolidated Energy Data Report (CEDR) projects and operational improvements.	Medium
Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA) Section 432 energy and water evaluations	Completed first year of the 4-year EISA cycle of eight buildings	Continue executing EISA evaluations.	Low
Individual buildings metering for 90% of electricity (by October 1, 2012); for 90% of steam, natural gas, and chilled water (by October 1, 2015) (FY 2013 target: 90% and 50%, respectively)	FY 2013: 100% metering of electricity, 100% metering of natural gas, 95.7% metering of water	Improve building performance through data analysis from the meters.	Low
Unless uneconomical, install cool roof for replacements unless project already has Critical Decision-2 (CD-2) approval. New roofs must have thermal resistance of at least R-30.	FY 2013: 61% of PNNL roof area per Facilities Information Management System (FIMS) are cool roofs	Unless uneconomical, all new roofs will have a thermal resistance of at least R-30 and be solar reflective, consistent with former DOE Secretary Chu requirements.	Low
15% of existing buildings greater than 5,000 GSF are compliant with the HPSB Guiding Principles by FY 2015 (FY 2013 target: 11%)	31% of PNNL buildings > 5,000 GSF per FIMS are HPSB compliant	Continue trending toward 100% of facilities meeting HPSB.	Low

Table 3.1. (contd)

DOE Goal	Performance Status Through FY13	Planned Actions and Contribution	Risk[a] of Non-attainment
Buildings, Energy Savings Performance Contract Initiative Schedule, Regional and Local Planning			
All new construction, major renovations, and building alterations greater than 5,000 GSF must comply with the Guiding Principles	Institutionalized the Guiding Principles commitment in PNNL Engineering Standards	Achieve Guiding Principles for all new construction greater than 5,000 GSF.	Low
Fleet Management			
10% annual increase in fleet alternative fuel consumption by FY 2015 relative to FY 2005 baseline (FY2013 target:114% cumulative since FY 2005)	FY 2006 Baseline: 456 gallons (gal) of gasoline equivalent (GGE) (FY 2005 usage not measured) FY 2013 Actual: 10,590 (GGE) FY 2020 Goal: 1,183 gal Status: Exceeded goal	Actively manage alternate fuel use through fleet oversight and staff training; increase percentage of alternative fuel vehicles (AFVs) when available.	Low
2% annual reduction in fleet petroleum consumption by FY 2020 relative to FY 2005 baseline (FY 2013 target: 16% cumulative since FY 2015)	FY 2005 Baseline: 38,824 gal (GGE) FY 2013 Actual: 31,244 gal (GGE) FY 2020 Goal: 28,674 gal Status: 19.5% reduction	Continue assessing the transition to AFVs.	Low
100% of light duty vehicle (LDV) purchases must consist of AFVs by FY 2015 and thereafter (75% FY 2000–FY 2015)	Of total 46 LDVs in PNNL fleet, 37 (80%) are AFVs; added 4 E85 AFVs and 1 hybrid in FY 2013	Continue working with fleet vendors to replace vehicles with AFV types where available.	Low
Reduce fleet inventory of non-mission critical vehicles by 35% by FY 2013 relative to a FY 2005 baseline	Removed all 19 non-mission critical vehicles	Continue assessing fleet use and right-sizing, if appropriate.	Low
Water-Use Efficiency and Management			
26% potable water intensity (gal/GSF) reduction by FY 2020 from a FY 2007 baseline (FY 2013 target: 12%)	FY 2007 Baseline: 66.85 gal/GSF FY 2013 Actual: 27.28 gal/GSF FY 2020 Goal: 49.47 gal/GSF Status: Exceeded goal	As feasible, continue implementing potable water projects to reduce overall use.	Low
20% water consumption (gal) reduction of industrial, landscaping, and agricultural (ILA) water by FY 2020 from a FY 2010 baseline (FY 2013 target: 6%)	FY 2010 Baseline: 97,522,000 gal FY 2013 Actual: 124,857,000 gal FY 2020 Goal: 78,017,600 gal Status: 28.0% Increase	Continue implementing Landscaping Plan with focus on reducing ILA where possible.	Medium
Pollution Prevention and Waste Reduction			
Divert at least 50% of non-hazardous solid waste, excluding construction and demolition (C&D) debris, by FY 2015	FY 2013: Diverted 57% of non-hazardous solid waste	Continue conducting assessments for waste reducing opportunities.	Low

Table 3.1. (contd)

DOE Goal	Performance Status Through FY13	Planned Actions and Contribution	Risk[(a)] of Non-attainment
Pollution Prevention and Waste Reduction			
Divert at least 50% of C&D materials and debris by FY 2015.	FY 2013: Diverted nearly 100% of C&D waste	Continue monitoring C&D recycling performance and raising awareness on waste diversion requirements.	Low
Sustainable Acquisition			
Procurements meet requirements by including necessary provisions and clauses (Sustainable Procurements/Biobased Procurements)	100% of acquisitions have sustainability requirements and clauses	Continue being proactive with sustainable item procurement.	Low
Electronic Stewardship and Data Centers			
All data centers are metered to measure monthly power utilization effectiveness (PUE) of 100% by FY 2015 (FY 2013 target: 80%)	Two of PNNL's three data centers (67%) are fully metered	Complete data center metering before FY 2015.	Low
Maximum annual weighted average PUE of 1.4 by FY 2015 (FY 2013 target: 1.60)	FY 2013: Annual weighted average PUE is 2.1. One of PNNL's three data centers is below 1.4 PUE	Implement projects to trend toward goal.	Medium
Electronic Stewardship: 100% of eligible personal computers (PCs), laptops, and monitors with power management actively implemented and in use by FY 2012	100% of eligible equipment is compliant	Ensure new equipment has power management features.	Low
Renewable Energy			
20% of annual electricity consumption from renewable sources by FY 2020 (FY 2013 target: 7.5%)	FY 2013: 70.7% of annual electric consumption from onsite generation and REC purchases	Continue operating our 125 kilowatt (kW) onsite photovoltaic (PV) array and purchasing RECs.	Low
Climate Change Adaptation			
Climate Change Adaptation - Address DOE Climate Adaptation Plan goals	Completed all actions planned for FY 2013	Continue to seek opportunities to participate in existing partnership with agencies in the Pacific Northwest region.	Low

(a) Risk:
Technical Risks: Technology is/is not available in current facilities and systems to attain goal.
Management Risks: Management systems and/or policies may require changes for which approval authority is outside DOE or requires an internal policy or procedural change.
Financial Risks: Funds are/are not identified in current or out-year targets to achieve goal.
Each risk is assigned a rating of high, medium, or low, defined as follows.
High Risk: Risk in one of the three categories is so significant that goal non-attainment is likely or expected.
Medium Risk: Risk in one of the three categories is significant enough that goal non-attainment is moderate.
Low Risk: Any risks are satisfactorily mitigated such that goal attainment is likely.

4.0 Radiological Environmental Monitoring and Dose Assessment

This section describes the environmental monitoring programs for radiological constituents and the associated estimated dose assessments for the PNNL Campus and MSL.

4.1 Liquid Radiological Discharges and Doses

TW Moon

With the exception of the PSF, all other PNNL Campus and MSL facilities that contain radiological materials are prohibited from discharging wastewater to the receiving sewer or wastewater treatment systems. Wastewater from laboratories in the PSF that use radiological materials is discharged to four retention tanks. Once a tank is filled, the wastewater is analyzed for radiological components based on screening limits in WAC 246-221-190, “Disposal by Release into Sanitary Sewerage Systems.” If the analytical results indicate that the wastewater is below the screening criteria, the wastewater is released to the City of Richland’s sanitary sewer system. If the analytical results indicate that the wastewater is above the screening criteria, the wastewater is transported to a waste treatment facility. These wastes may be transferred and discharged to a treatment facility authorized or permitted to receive radiological material.

4.2 Radiological Discharges and Doses from Air

BG Fritz

Radionuclide air emissions are routinely monitored at both the PNNL Campus and MSL. Monitoring results are reported in an annual air emission report for each location (Snyder et al. 2014a, b). CY 2013 data are summarized in the following sections.

4.2.1 Radiological Discharges and Doses from Air – PNNL Campus

The federal regulatory standard for a maximum dose to any member of the public is 10 mrem/yr EDE. The standard is set forth in 40 CFR 61, Subpart H (2002), and applies to radionuclide air emissions, other than radon, from DOE facilities. For CY 2013, the PNNL Campus MEI location was 0.75 km (0.47 mi) south-southeast of the PSF. The dose to the PNNL Campus MEI from routine and nonroutine point-source emissions was 1.7 × 10-5 mrem (1.7 × 10-7mSv) EDE. Including the Potential Impact Category 5 (PIC-5) doses increases the total MEI dose to 1.8 × 10-5 mrem (1.8 × 10-7 mSv) EDE. Table 4.1 lists the relative contributions of each nuclide to the MEI dose.

Washington State has adopted the federal dose standard (10 mrem/yr EDE) found in 40 CFR 61, Subpart H (2002) (WAC 246-247-040(1)), which is applied to PNNL Campus radionuclide air emissions. In addition to the maximum dose attributable to radionuclides emitted from point sources, WAC 246-247-040(6) requires that the dose to the MEI also include doses attributable to fugitive emissions, radon, and nonroutine events. The total dose to the MEI from all PNNL Campus radionuclide emissions, including major and minor points, fugitive emissions, PIC-5, and radon-222, is 2×10^{-5} mrem ($2 \times 10^{-}7$ mSv) EDE.

There were no nonroutine emissions in 2013. The total dose of 2×10^{-5} mrem (2×10^{-7} mSv) EDE is more than 100,000 times smaller than the 10 mrem/yr WAC 246-247 (2011) compliance standard.

Table 4.1. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Emissions and Dose Contributions by Radionuclide, 2013 (Snyder et al. 2014a)

Radionuclide	Releases (Ci)	Dose to MEI (mrem EDE)	Percent of Total EDE
Hydrogen-3 (tritium)	3.3×10^{-6}	1.4×10^{-9}	<1%
Cobalt-60	2.2×10^{-8}	7.9×10^{-9}	<1%
Nickel-57[(a)]	5.0×10^{-10}	7.9×10^{-12}	<1%
Rubedium-83[(a)]	1.5×10^{-8}	4.5×10^{-9}	<1%
Strontium-90 [(b)]	1.2×10^{-6}	3.4×10^{-6}	19%
Cadmium-109[(a)]	3.6×10^{-9}	6.9×10^{-10}	<1%
Iodine-131[(a)]	2.0×10^{-8}	1.7×10^{-7}	1%
Iodine-132[(a)]	2.8×10^{-8}	4.5×10^{-11}	<1%
Xenon-127[(a)]	7.3×10^{-6}	1.4×10^{-9}	<1%
Xenon-133[(a)]	9.5×10^{-4}	2.2×10^{-8}	<1%
Cesium-137[(b)]	1.2×10^{-8}	2.9×10^{-7}	2%
Barium-140[(a)]	2.0×10^{-8}	3.6×10^{-9}	<1%
Gold-194[(a)]	1.1×10^{-9}	7.6×10^{-12}	<1%
Gold-196[(a)]	5.0×10^{-9}	7.3×10^{-11}	<1%
Lead-210[(a)]	7.4×10^{-10}	2.6×10^{-8}	<1%
Radium-226[(a),(c)]	1.2×10^{-9}	5.1×10^{-8}	<1%
Uranium-233/234	2.3×10^{-8}	3.9×10^{-7}	2%
Uranium-235[(a)]	9.1×10^{-10}	1.5×10^{-8}	<1%
Uranium-236[(a)]	9.2×10^{-11}	1.5×10^{-9}	<1%
Plutonium-238	1.2×10^{-11}	4.5×10^{-10}	<1%
Plutonium-239/240[(d)]	2.2×10^{-7}	9.2×10^{-6}	51%
Americium-241[(e)]	9.8×10^{-10}	1.9×10^{-7}	1%
Americium-243	9.4×10^{-8}	3.2×10^{-6}	18%
Curium-243/244	2.4×10^{-11}	6.2×10^{-10}	<1%
Table 2.3 nuclides	6.8×10^{-5}	9.8×10^{-9}	<1%
PIC-5 emissions - VRRM	n/a	9.4×10^{-7} [(f)]	5%
PIC-5 emissions - NDRM	n/a	6.6×10^{-8} [(f)]	<1%
Total	$\mathbf{1.0 \times 10^{-3}}$	$\mathbf{1.8 \times 10^{-5}}$	**100%** [(g)]

Table 4.1. (contd)

(a) Release based on 40 CFR 61, Appendix D (1989) or release records.
(b) Gross beta from PSF building monitoring is assumed to be strontium-90. Gross beta from RTL-520 monitoring is assumed to be cesium-137. Also, calculated cesium-137 based on 40 CFR 61, Appendix D (1989) and LSLII gross beta.
(c) Dose includes progeny isotope radon-222.
(d) Gross alpha from PSF building and RTL-520 monitoring is assumed to be plutonium-239; it also includes plutonium-239 and plutonium-240 calculated based on 40 CFR 61, Appendix D (1989).
(e) Gross alpha from LSLII assigned as Americium-241.
(f) The VRRM (volumetrically released radioactive material) and NDRM (non-dispersible radioactive material) doses are assigned based on permit value.
(g) Tabulated nuclide-specific values do not add to 100% because of rounding.
To convert Ci to GBq, multiply Ci by 37.
To convert from mrem to μSv, multiply mrem by 10.
PIC-5 = Potential Impact Category 5.

The estimated regional population radiation dose (i.e., the collective EDE) from PNNL Campus air emissions in 2013 was calculated using a simplified method that overestimates the collective dose. The population consists of approximately 432,000 people residing within an 80-km (50-mi) radius of the Hanford Site 300 Area (Hamilton and Snyder 2011). The close proximity of the Hanford Site 300 Area and rural region within 80 km (50 mi) of the PNNL Campus permits the 300 Area 80-km (50-mi) population estimate to be applicable. Pathways evaluated for population exposure include inhalation, air submersion, ground-shine, and food consumption.

Population exposure to radionuclide air emissions was determined using the MEI dose estimate (9.2×10^{-6} mrem [9.2×10^{-8} mSv]) times the population (432,000) found within the 80-km (50-mi) radius of the PNNL Campus. The 2013 total collective dose from radionuclide air emissions estimated in this very conservative manner from nuclides that originate from the PNNL Campus was 7.8×10^{-3} person-rem (7.8×10^{-5} person-Sv) (Snyder et al. 2014a). This represents a slight increase compared to the 2012 estimate of 4.0×10^{-3} person-rem (4.0×10^{-5} person-Sv) and a dose many orders of magnitude below the average annual individual background dose of 279 mrem (2.79 mSv) from natural terrestrial and cosmic radiation and inhalation of naturally occurring radon (DOE-RL 2012).

No operations from the storage and disposal of radium-bearing material resulting in radon emissions are conducted at the PNNL Campus; therefore, 40 CFR 61, Subpart Q, does not apply to PNNL Campus operations. In addition, no uranium milling or uranium ore processing activities are conducted at the PNNL Campus; therefore, 40 CFR 61, Subpart T, does not apply to PNNL operations.

4.2.2 Radiological Discharges and Doses from Air – PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory

The PNNL MSL in Sequim transitioned in October 2012 from private operation under Battelle to an exclusive-use contract with PNSO. The operations, which remain unchanged, were regulated previously as a private facility rather than as a DOE radiological air emissions facility.

MSL has two nonpoint-source minor emission units associated with the MSL-1 and MSL-5 facilities (Figure 1.3) that are registered with the state of Washington under RAEL–014. These emission units are unchanged from when the site was licensed as a private facility. Radioactive air emissions continue to be well below the criterion for classification as a minor emission unit (i.e., the potential-to-emit [PTE] contribution is less than 0.1 mrem/yr [0.001 mSv/yr] EDE to the MEI).

The MSL-1 and MSL-5 facilities have several locations where radioactive air emissions may originate and exit the building; however, the emission units are characterized as nonpoint sources (WAC 246-247). The associated PTE registrations indicate emissions are primarily particulates with building PTE contributions of less than 5×10^{-4} mrem/yr (5×10^{-6} mSv/yr) EDE. Radiological operations at MSL facilities emit very low levels of radioactive materials (Snyder et al. 2014b, Table 4.2). The 40 CFR 61, Appendix D, method of determining unabated emissions was used.

The COMPLY Code Version 1.6 (Level 4) was used for estimating dose for comparison to the EPA standard of 10 mrem/yr (0.1 mSv/yr) EDE to any member of the public (40 CFR 61, Subpart H, and WAC 246-247). This code is approved for use for compliance determination (40 CFR 61, Appendix E).

Table 4.2. 2013 PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory Inventory and Emissions Estimates (Snyder et al. 2014b)

Nuclide[a]	Emission Type	Site Inventory (Ci)	MSL-1 Release[b] (Ci)	MSL-5 Release[b] (Ci)
Hydrogen-3 (tritium)	Beta/gamma	1.37×10^{-6}	–	1.37×10^{-9}
Carbon-14	Beta/gamma	6.41×10^{-7}	–	6.41×10^{-10}
Potassium-40	Beta/gamma	4.78×10^{-9}	–	4.78×10^{-12}
Iron-55	Beta/gamma	3.45×10^{-11}	–	3.45×10^{-14}
Cobalt-57	Beta/gamma	9.46×10^{-12}	–	9.46×10^{-15}
Cobalt-60	Beta/gamma	1.75×10^{-11}	–	1.75×10^{-14}
Strontium-90	Beta/gamma	8.32×10^{-4}	–	8.32×10^{-13}
Technetium-99	Beta/gamma	1.70×10^{-7}	–	1.70×10^{-13}
Ruthenium-106	Beta/gamma	4.05×10^{-10}	–	4.05×10^{-13}
Antimony-125	Beta/gamma	5.32×10^{-10}	–	5.32×10^{-13}
Iodine-129	Beta/gamma	1.15×10^{-14}	–	1.15×10^{-17}
Cesium-134	Beta/gamma	3.14×10^{-9}	–	3.14×10^{-12}
Cesium-137	Beta/gamma	1.35×10^{-6}	–	3.72×10^{-11}
Europium-152	Beta/gamma	6.18×10^{-11}	–	6.18×10^{-14}
Europium-154	Beta/gamma	1.68×10^{-11}	–	1.68×10^{-14}
Europium-155	Beta/gamma	1.77×10^{-11}	–	1.77×10^{-14}
Lead-210	Alpha	1.28×10^{-10}	–	1.28×10^{-13}
Polonium-208	Alpha	6.96×10^{-7}	–	6.96×10^{-10}
Radium-226	Alpha	2.98×10^{-10}	–	2.98×10^{-13}
Radium-228	Alpha	4.96×10^{-11}	–	4.96×10^{-14}
Thorium-228	Alpha	2.60×10^{-10}	–	2.60×10^{-13}
Thorium-230	Alpha	1.53×10^{-10}	–	1.53×10^{-13}
Thorium-232	Alpha	1.35×10^{-8}	–	1.35×10^{-11}
Uranium-234[c]	Alpha	3.80×10^{-4}	1.90×10^{-10}	2.23×10^{-10}

Table 4.2. (contd)

Nuclide[a]	Emission Type	Site Inventory (Ci)	MSL-1 Release[b] (Ci)	MSL-5 Release[b] (Ci)
Uranium-235[d]	Alpha	3.72×10^{-5}	1.86×10^{-11}	2.01×10^{-11}
Uranium-238[e]	Alpha	2.92×10^{-3}	1.46×10^{-9}	1.50×10^{-9}
Plutonium-238	Alpha	8.16×10^{-11}	–	8.16×10^{-14}
Plutonium-239	Alpha	3.75×10^{-10}	–	3.75×10^{-13}
Plutonium-240	Alpha	3.75×10^{-10}	–	3.75×10^{-13}
Americium-241	Alpha	4.34×10^{-10}	–	4.34×10^{-13}
		Total beta/gamma	**0.00×10^{0}**	**2.23×10^{-9}**
		Total alpha	**1.67×10^{-9}**	**2.45×10^{-9}**

(a) The half-life for each radionuclide can be found in Appendix A, Table A.7.
(b) Emissions estimated using 40 CFR 61, Appendix D methods.
(c) To convert uranium-234 inventory or releases to units of grams, divide Ci by 9.5×10^{-3}.
(d) To convert uranium-235 inventory or releases to units of grams, divide Ci by 2.1×10^{-6}.
(e) To convert uranium-238 inventory or releases to units of grams, divide Ci by 3.3×10^{-7}.
To convert Ci to GBq, multiply Ci by 37.
To convert from mrem to µSv, multiply mrem by 10.
MSL = Marine Sciences Laboratory.

The dose standard in 40 CFR 61, Subpart H, applies to radionuclide air emissions other than radon from DOE facilities. Dose is estimated as the product of the emission rate (Ci/yr [37 GBq/yr]) and unit dose factor (mrem/yr [mSv/yr] EDE at the MEI location per Ci/yr [37 GBq/yr] released). The americium-241 unit dose factor was applied to all alpha-emitters and the cesium-137 unit dose factor was applied to all beta/gamma-emitters, as a conservative measure.

For CY 2013, the MSL MEI location was determined to be 0.19 km (0.12 mi) from the emission point (Snyder et al. 2014b). The dose to the MSL MEI from routine and nonroutine point-source emissions was 5.0×10^{-5} mrem (5.0×10^{-7} mSv) EDE.

An estimated 132,000 people (on the U.S. side of the border) live within 48 km (30 mi) of Sequim, Washington; another estimated 1.45 million Americans reside 48 to 80 km (30 to 50 mi) from Sequim. The Victoria, British Columbia metropolitan area (32–48 km [20–30 mi] distant) has an estimated population of 358,000 people, almost three times the U.S. population within 48 km (30 mi) of MSL. The collective dose was calculated using a simplified method that greatly overestimates the dose. The MEI dose multiplied by the 30-mi U.S. population results in a collective dose of 6.5×10^{-3} person-rem (6.5×10^{-5} person-Sv). These extremely overestimated doses are 1 percent or less of the average annual individual background dose from natural terrestrial and cosmic radiation and inhalation of naturally occurring radon.

No storage or disposal of radium-bearing materials occurs at MSL; therefore, 40 CFR 61, Subpart Q, does not apply to MSL operations. No uranium mill tailings or ore disposal activities have been conducted at MSL; therefore, 40 CFR 61, Subpart T, does not apply to MSL operations.

4.3 Release of Property Having Residual Radioactive Material

GA Stoetzel

Principal requirements for the release of DOE property having residual radioactivity are set forth in DOE Order 458.1, Chg 3, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment." These requirements are designed to ensure the following:

- Property is evaluated, radiologically characterized, and—where appropriate—decontaminated before release.
- The level of residual radioactivity in property to be released is as near background levels as is reasonably practicable, as determined through DOE's ALARA process requirements, and meets DOE-authorized limits.
- All property releases are appropriately certified, verified, documented, and reported; public participation needs are addressed; and processes are in place to appropriately maintain records.

Property as defined in DOE Order 458.1 consists of real property (i.e., land and structures), personal property, and material and equipment. PNNL has two paths for releasing property to the public: 1) pre-approved surface contamination guidelines for releasing property potentially contaminated on the surface, and 2) pre-approved volumetric release limits for releasing small-volume research samples. A summary of the two release paths is provided in the following sections. No property with detectable residual radioactivity above DOE-authorized levels was released from PNNL during CY 2013.

4.3.1 Property Potentially Contaminated on the Surface

PNNL uses the previously approved surface activity guideline limits (Table 4.3) derived from guidance in DOE Order 458.1 when releasing property potentially contaminated on the surface. As part of research activities conducted in PNNL facilities, PNNL releases hundreds of items of personal property annually for excess to the general public, including office equipment, office furniture, labware, and research equipment. The PNNL Radiation Protection organization has a documented process for releasing items based on process knowledge, radiological surveys, or a combination of both. No property with detectable residual radioactivity above the pre-approved surface activity guidelines was released from PNNL during CY 2013.

In 2013, in accordance with PNNL Prime Contract Section J, Appendix J, paragraph eight (DOE/PNSO 2013), PNNL (Battelle) initiated a survey program with an objective to release five Battelle Memorial Institute-owned buildings by September 30, 2017 for unrestricted use. These facilities include the EDL, the Physical Sciences Laboratory, and the LSL2 on the Richland campus, as well as MSL-1 and MSL-5 at Sequim, Washington. Program activities completed during CY 2013 included the approval of the Program Management Plan, the awarding of a subcontract for completing release surveys, and the selection of an independent subcontractor to verify final survey results.

Table 4.3. Pre-Approved Surface Activity Guideline Limits

Radionuclides	Allowable Total Residual Surface Contamination Limits (dpm/100 cm^2) Removable	Total Average	Total Maximum
U-natural, uranium-235, uranium-238, and associated decay products	1,000	5,000	15,000
Transuranic elements[(a)], radium-226, radium-228, thorium-230, thorium-228, protactinium-231, actinium-227, iodine-125, iodine-129	20	100	300
Natural thorium, thorium-232, strontium-90, radium-223, radium-224, uranium-232, iodine-126, iodine-131, iodine-133	200	1,000	3,000
Beta/gamma-emitters (nuclides with decay modes other than alpha emission or spontaneous fission) except strontium-90 and others noted above	1,000	5,000	15,000
Select hard-to-detect radionuclides (carbon-14, iron-55, nickel-59, nickel-63, selenium-79, technetium-99, palladium-107, and europium-155)	10,000	50,000	150,000
Tritium organic compounds; surfaces contaminated with tritium gas, tritiated water vapor, and metal tritide aerosols	10,000	Not applicable	Not applicable

(a) All transuranic elements except plutonium-241, which is treated as a beta/gamma emitter (1,000 dpm/100 cm^2 removable and 5,000 dpm/100 cm^2 total).
dpm = disintegrations per minute.

4.3.2 Property Potentially Contaminated in Volume

PNNL uses pre-approved volumetric release limits when releasing small-volume research samples and wastewater potentially contaminated in volume (Table 4.4). The DOE approved these release limits in response to an authorized limits request submitted by PNNL in 2000 and 2007 (DOE 2001, 2007). During CY 2013, PNNL released hundreds of liquid research samples with a total volume on the order of 1,800 L (475 gal) using the pre-approved release limits in Table 4.4. The liquid samples were not released to the public, but were used by staff without radiological controls in PNNL facilities. When disposed of, the samples were treated as radioactive waste.

Table 4.4. Pre-Approved Volumetric Release Limits

Radionuclide Groups	Volumetric Release Limit (pCi/mL)
Transuranic elements, iodine-125, iodine-129, radium-226, actinium-227, radium-228, thorium-228, thorium-230, protactinium-231, polonium-208, polonium-209, polonium 210	1
Natural thorium, thorium-232	3
Strontium-90, iodine-126, iodine-131, iodine-133, radium 223, radium-224, uranium-232	9
Natural uranium, uranium-233, uranium-235, uranium-238	30
Beta/gamma-emitters (radionuclides with decay modes other than alpha emission or spontaneous fission) except strontium-90 and others noted in above rows	45
Tritium	450

4.4 Radiation Protection of Biota

JM Barnett

DOE Order 458.1 (Chg 3) indicates that DOE sites establish procedures and practices to protect biota. PNNL has adopted dose rate limits of 1 rad/d (10 mGy/d) for aquatic animals and terrestrial plants and 0.1 rad/d (1 mGy/d) for riparian and terrestrial animals for the demonstration of the protection of biota (DOE 2002). These limits are equally applied to the PNNL Campus and MSL.

4.4.1 Radiation Protection of Biota – PNNL Campus

Environmental media pathways were evaluated during the development of the PNNL Campus data quality objectives (DQOs) in support of radiological emissions monitoring. Potential media exposure pathways such as air, soil, water, and food were considered in conjunction with both gaseous and particulate radioactive contamination of the air pathway. The DQO process determined that only the air pathway necessitates monitoring (no radiological emissions via liquid pathways or directly to contaminated land areas). It also determined that the extremely small amount of emissions would be impossible to differentiate from background levels in nearby locations such as the Columbia River and food sources; these results did not change with the addition of the LSL2 and RTL facilities to the PNNL sources in 2012 (Barnett et al. 2012a). While these measures are used primarily to demonstrate protection of the public, they also adequately demonstrate protection of biota. Therefore, biota monitoring for radionuclides both near and far from the PNNL Campus is not conducted.

Routine operations were conducted on the PNNL Campus during CY 2013—there were no unplanned radiological emissions. The resultant external dose rates were less than 1×10^{-4} rad/d (1×10^{-3} mGy/d) from contaminated water to aquatic animals and terrestrial plants and less than 1×10^{-3} rad/d (1×10^{-2} mGy/d) from contaminated soil to riparian and terrestrial animals (Table 4.5). These conservative dose rates are well below dose rate limits, which are based on the PNNL-reported total radionuclide emissions for CY 2013 (Snyder et al. 2014a). Assumptions are that all of the radioactive material is concentrated into either 1 m^3 (35 ft^3) of contaminated water or 1 m^2 (10.8 ft^2) of contaminated soil with a soil density of 224 kg m^2 (14 lb/ft^2) to a depth of 15 cm (6 in.) (Napier 2006). The screening-level dose coefficients used are found in DOE-STD-1153-2002 (DOE 2002). The resulting water and soil concentrations are very conservative and used for basic screening and simplicity of calculation for comparison to the adopted biota dose rate limits.

Table 4.5. Screening-Level Dose Rates for the PNNL Campus, Calendar Year 2013

Nuclide[a]	Particulate Emissions[a] (Bq/yr)	Screening Level for 1 rad/d Dose Rate[b] (Gy/yr per Bq/m^3)	Screening Level for 0.1 rad/d Dose Rate[b] (Gy/yr per Bq/kg)	Radionuclide Concentration in 1 m^3 Water[c] (Bq/m^3)	Radionuclide Concentration in 1 m^2 Soil[d] (Bq/kg)	Dose Rate for Aquatic Animals and Terrestrial Plants (mGy/d)	Dose Rate for Riparian and Terrestrial Animals (mGy/d)
Gross α[e,f]	7.8×10^{3}	6.8×10^{-9}	1.4×10^{-5}	7.8×10^{3}	3.5×10^{1}	1.4×10^{-4}	1.3×10^{-3}
Gross β[e,g]	4.8×10^{4}	6.6×10^{-9}	1.3×10^{-5}	4.8×10^{4}	2.1×10^{2}	8.7×10^{-4}	7.6×10^{-3}
Hydrogen-3 (tritium)	1.2×10^{5}	1.4×10^{-11}	2.9×10^{-8}	1.2×10^{5}	5.5×10^{2}	4.7×10^{-6}	4.3×10^{-5}
Cobalt-60	8.1×10^{2}	6.6×10^{-9}	1.3×10^{-5}	8.1×10^{2}	3.6×10^{0}	1.5×10^{-5}	1.3×10^{-4}
Nickel-57[g]	1.9×10^{1}	6.6×10^{-9}	1.3×10^{-5}	1.9×10^{1}	8.3×10^{-2}	3.3×10^{-7}	2.9×10^{-6}
Rubedium-83[g]	5.6×10^{2}	6.6×10^{-9}	1.3×10^{-5}	5.6×10^{2}	2.5×10^{0}	1.0×10^{-5}	8.8×10^{-5}
Cadmium-109[g]	1.3×10^{2}	6.6×10^{-9}	1.3×10^{-5}	1.3×10^{2}	5.9×10^{-1}	2.4×10^{-6}	2.1×10^{-5}
Iodine-131	7.4×10^{2}	1.4×10^{-9}	2.9×10^{-6}	7.4×10^{2}	3.3×10^{0}	2.8×10^{-6}	2.6×10^{-5}
Iodine-132[g]	1.0×10^{3}	6.6×10^{-9}	1.3×10^{-5}	1.0×10^{3}	4.6×10^{0}	1.9×10^{-5}	1.6×10^{-4}
Cesium-137	4.4×10^{2}	2.0×10^{-9}	4.0×10^{-6}	4.4×10^{2}	2.0×10^{0}	2.4×10^{-6}	2.2×10^{-5}
Barium-140[g]	7.4×10^{2}	6.6×10^{-9}	1.3×10^{-5}	7.4×10^{2}	3.3×10^{0}	1.3×10^{-5}	1.2×10^{-4}
Gold-194[g]	4.1×10^{1}	6.6×10^{-9}	1.3×10^{-5}	4.1×10^{1}	1.8×10^{-1}	7.4×10^{-7}	6.5×10^{-6}
Gold-196[g]	1.9×10^{2}	6.6×10^{-9}	1.3×10^{-5}	1.9×10^{2}	8.3×10^{-1}	3.3×10^{-6}	2.9×10^{-5}
Lead-210	2.7×10^{1}	1.1×10^{-9}	2.2×10^{-6}	2.7×10^{1}	1.2×10^{-1}	8.3×10^{-8}	7.4×10^{-7}
Radium-226	4.4×10^{1}	6.8×10^{-9}	1.4×10^{-5}	4.4×10^{1}	2.0×10^{-1}	8.3×10^{-7}	7.6×10^{-6}
Uranium-233/234	8.5×10^{2}	3.2×10^{-11}	6.5×10^{-8}	8.5×10^{2}	3.8×10^{0}	7.5×10^{-8}	6.8×10^{-7}
Uranium-235	3.4×10^{1}	9.4×10^{-10}	1.8×10^{-6}	3.4×10^{1}	1.5×10^{-1}	8.7×10^{-8}	7.4×10^{-7}
Uranium-236[f]	3.4×10^{0}	6.8×10^{-9}	1.4×10^{-5}	3.4×10^{0}	1.5×10^{-2}	6.3×10^{-8}	5.8×10^{-7}
Plutonium-238	4.4×10^{-1}	2.5×10^{-11}	5.0×10^{-8}	4.4×10^{-1}	2.0×10^{-3}	3.0×10^{-11}	2.7×10^{-10}
Plutonium-239/240	7.8×10^{3}	2.5×10^{-11}	4.9×10^{-8}	7.8×10^{3}	3.5×10^{1}	5.3×10^{-7}	4.7×10^{-6}
Americium-241	3.6×10^{1}	1.4×10^{-10}	2.9×10^{-7}	3.6×10^{1}	1.6×10^{-1}	1.4×10^{-8}	1.3×10^{-7}
Americium-243	3.5×10^{3}	1.3×10^{-9}	2.5×10^{-6}	3.5×10^{3}	1.6×10^{1}	1.2×10^{-5}	1.1×10^{-4}
Curium-243-244	8.9×10^{-1}	6.4×10^{-10}	1.3×10^{-6}	8.9×10^{-1}	4.0×10^{-3}	1.6×10^{-9}	1.4×10^{-8}
					Total	**1.1×10^{-3}**	**9.8×10^{-3}**

(a) Data from Table 2.4 of Snyder et al. (2014a).
(b) Data from DOE (2002).
(c) Conservative dose rate is assumed to be from 1 m^3 (35 ft^3) of contaminated water.
(d) Conservative dose rate is assumed to be from 1 m^2 (10.8 ft^2) of contaminated soil with soil density of 224 kg m^2 (14 lb/ft^2) to a depth of 15 cm (6 in.) (Napier 2006).
(e) Maximum of the bi-weekly or semi-annual average measurement (Snyder et al. 2014a).
(f) Radium-226 dose rate factor used as a conservative alpha surrogate.
(g) Cobalt-60 dose rate factor used as conservative beta surrogate.
Conversion factors: 1 Ci = 3.7 x 10^10 Bq. 1 Gy = 100 rad.

4.4.2 Radiation Protection of Biota – PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory

Environmental media pathways were evaluated during the development of MSL's DQOs in support of radiological emissions monitoring. Potential media exposure pathways such as air, soil, water, and food were considered in conjunction with potential releases of radioactive contamination to the air pathway. The DQO process determined that, because of the low probability of potential air emissions and the absence of radiological emissions via liquid pathways or directly to land areas, no environmental monitoring would be required. Because emission levels at MSL are very low, it would be impossible to differentiate actual emissions from background levels in nearby locations such as Sequim Bay and those from food sources (Barnett et al. 2012b). Reported emissions from MSL are conservatively estimated, because neither environmental surveillance nor stack sampling is required. These conservatively estimated emissions are also adequate to demonstrate protection of the public and of biota; therefore, biota monitoring for radionuclides both near and distant from MSL is not conducted.

Routine operations were conducted at MSL facilities during CY 2013—there were no unplanned radiological emissions. The external dose rates for operations in CY 2013 were less than 4×10^{-5} rad/d (4×10^{-6} mGy/d) from contaminated water to aquatic animals and terrestrial plants and less than 4×10^{-4} rad/d (4×10^{-5} mGy/d) from contaminated soil to riparian and terrestrial animals (Table 4.6). These conservative dose rates are well below dose rate limits, which are based on the PNNL-reported total radionuclide emissions for CY 2013 (Snyder et al. 2014b). Assumptions are that all of the radioactive material is concentrated into either 1 m^3 (35 ft^3) of contaminated water or 1 m^2 (10.8 ft^2) of contaminated soil with a soil density of 224 kg m^2 (14 lb/ft^2) to a depth of 15 cm (6 in) (Napier 2006). The screening-level dose coefficients used are found in DOE-STD-1153-2002 (DOE 2002). The resulting water and soil concentrations are very conservative and used for basic screening and simplicity of calculation for comparison to the adopted biota dose rate limits.

4.5 Unplanned Radiological Releases

No radiological releases to the environment exceeded permitted limits at the PNNL Campus or MSL in 2013.

4.6 Environmental Radiological Monitoring – PNNL Campus

BG Fritz

A particulate air-sampling network was established in 2010 to monitor radioactive particulates in ambient air near the PNNL Campus (Figure 4.1). The first full calendar year of air monitoring was 2011. The air monitoring locations were reevaluated in 2012 (Barnett et al. 2012a) due to the expanded footprint of DOE-permitted radiological operations locations (i.e., the addition of the LSL2 and RTL facilities). The current particulate air-sampling network consists of four samplers (Figure 4.1).

Table 4.6. Screening-Level Dose Rates for the PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory, Calendar Year 2013

Nuclide[a]	Particulate Emissions[a] (Bq/yr)	Screening Level for 1 rad/d Dose Rate[b] (Gy/yr per Bq/m^3)	Screening Level for 0.1 rad/d Dose Rate[b] (Gy/yr per Bq/kg)	Radionuclide Concentration in 1 m^3 Water[c] (Bq/m^3)	Radionuclide Concentration in 1 m^2 Soil[d] (Bq/kg)	Dose Rate for Aquatic Animals and Terrestrial Plants (mGy/d)	Dose Rate for Riparian and Terrestrial Animals (mGy/d)
Gross α[e]	1.5×10^{2}	6.8×10^{-9}	1.4×10^{-5}	1.5×10^{2}	6.8×10^{-1}	2.8×10^{-6}	2.6×10^{-5}
Gross β[f]	8.3×10^{1}	6.6×10^{-9}	1.3×10^{-5}	8.3×10^{1}	3.7×10^{-1}	1.5×10^{-6}	1.3×10^{-5}
					Total	**4.3×10^{-6}**	**3.9×10^{-5}**

(a) Data from Table 3.3 Snyder et al. (2014b).
(b) Data from DOE (2002).
(c) Conservative dose rate is assumed to be from 1 m^3 (35 ft^3) of contaminated water.
(d) Conservative dose rate is assumed to be from 1 m^2 (10.8 ft^2) of contaminated soil with soil density of 224 kg m^2 (14 lb/ft^2) to a depth of 15 cm (6 in.) (Napier 2006).
(e) Radium-226 dose rate factor used as conservative alpha surrogate.
(f) Cobalt-60 dose rate factor used as conservative beta surrogate.



Figure 4.1. Air-Sampling Stations for the PNNL Campus

During 2013, collection of air samples occurred at four sampling stations: PNL-1, PNL-2, PNL-3, and PNL-4. In June 2013, the fourth air-sampling station, PNL-4, was relocated from southwest of the Battelle baseball field to directly south of the PNNL shipping and receiving facility (Figure 4.1). PNL-4 provides ambient monitoring for the southern extent of the PNNL Campus (Barnett et al. 2012a). Similar to previous years, an adjustment factor was calculated for samples collected at the PNL-4 location. This adjustment factor was necessary to account for the mid-year relocation of PNL-4; concentrations measured at the temporary location were adjusted using modeling to reflect what the concentration would have been at the new location. Given the short distance of the move, the adjustments were small. Table 4.7 includes only the adjusted results.

Table 4.7. Summary of 2013 Air-Sampling Results for PNNL

Radionuclide[(a)]	Location[(b)]	No. of Samples	No. of Detections	Average ± 2 SD (pCi/m^3)		
Gross Alpha	PNL-1	26	20	7.9×10^{-4}	±	1.5×10^{-3}
	PNL-2	26	21	8.9×10^{-4}	±	1.5×10^{-3}
	PNL-3	26	21	6.8×10^{-4}	±	1.1×10^{-3}
	PNL-4	26	19	7.6×10^{-4}	±	1.4×10^{-3}
	Yakima	26	23	8.1×10^{-4}	±	1.7×10^{-3}
Gross Beta	PNL-1	26	26	2.5×10^{-2}	±	3.7×10^{-2}
	PNL-2	26	26	2.6×10^{-2}	±	4.5×10^{-2}
	PNL-3	26	26	2.1×10^{-2}	±	2.5×10^{-2}
	PNL-4	26	26	2.4×10^{-2}	±	3.5×10^{-2}
	Yakima	26	26	2.1×10^{-2}	±	3.9×10^{-2}
Cobalt-60	PNL-1	2	0	-1.4×10^{-4}	±	2.5×10^{-4}
	PNL-2	2	0	1.4×10^{-4}	±	1.8×10^{-5}
	PNL-3	2	0	1.1×10^{-4}	±	1.2×10^{-4}
	PNL-4	2	0	-1.4×10^{-4}	±	2.8×10^{-4}
	Yakima	2	0	1.1×10^{-4}	±	2.7×10^{-4}
Uranium-233/234	PNL-1	2	2	4.1×10^{-5}	±	2.6×10^{-5}
	PNL-2	2	2	4.7×10^{-5}	±	3.0×10^{-5}
	PNL-3	2	2	3.9×10^{-5}	±	1.9×10^{-5}
	PNL-4	2	2	5.4×10^{-5}	±	2.2×10^{-5}
Uranium-234[(c)]	Yakima	2	2	3.5×10^{-5}	±	1.0×10^{-5}
Plutonium-238	PNL-1	2	0	1.4×10^{-7}	±	2.6×10^{-6}
	PNL-2	2	0	-1.6×10^{-7}	±	4.5×10^{-7}
	PNL-3	2	0	4.7×10^{-7}	±	1.3×10^{-6}
	PNL-4	2	0	-3.3×10^{-7}	±	1.3×10^{-7}
	Yakima	2	0	-9.3×10^{-8}	±	2.6×10^{-7}
Plutonium-239/240	PNL-1	2	0	1.0×10^{-6}	±	8.3×10^{-7}
	PNL-2	2	0	6.4×10^{-7}	±	1.8×10^{-6}
	PNL-3	2	1	3.2×10^{-6}	±	9.0×10^{-6}
	PNL-4	2	0	9.5×10^{-7}	±	5.4×10^{-7}
	Yakima	2	0	1.4×10^{-6}	±	3.6×10^{-6}
Americium-241[(d)]	PNL-1	2	0	8.0×10^{-7}	±	3.6×10^{-6}
	PNL-2	2	0	-5.1×10^{-7}	±	4.8×10^{-7}
	PNL-3	2	0	-2.7×10^{-6}	±	1.0×10^{-5}
	PNL-4	2	0	-8.8×10^{-7}	±	4.6×10^{-6}
	Yakima	0	0		NA	

Table 4.7. (contd)

Radionuclide	Location[(a)]	No. of Samples	No. of Detections	Average ± 2 SD (pCi/m3)		
Americium-243	PNL-1	2	0	-1.6×10^{-6}	±	2.2×10^{-6}
	PNL-2	2	0	8.6×10^{-7}	±	4.4×10^{-6}
	PNL-3	2	0	2.4×10^{-6}	±	1.5×10^{-6}
	PNL-4	2	0	-4.1×10^{-7}	±	4.8×10^{-6}
	Yakima	0	0		NA	
Curium-243/244	PNL-1	2	0	7.9×10^{-7}	±	1.9×10^{-6}
	PNL-2	2	0	5.9×10^{-7}	±	3.5×10^{-6}
	PNL-3	2	0	4.1×10^{-6}	±	2.2×10^{-6}
	PNL-4	2	0	-1.3×10^{-6}	±	1.2×10^{-6}
	Yakima	0	0		NA	

(a) The half-life for each radionuclide can be found in Appendix A, Table A.7.
(b) PNL-4 was relocated in June 2013
(c) Hanford Site Monitoring Data from the Yakima location reported as uranium-234, not uranium-233/234
(d) Americium-241 values reported are for the analyses done by the more sensitive alpha spectroscopy method.
To convert pCi/m^3 to Bq/m^3, multiply pCi by 0.037.
NA = not analyzed.
SD = standard deviation.

Airborne particulate radionuclides are sampled and analyzed at all PNNL monitoring stations. Particulate air samples are routinely analyzed for gross alpha activity, gross beta activity, gamma-emitting isotopes, uranium isotopes (uranium-234,[5] uranium-235, and uranium-238), and plutonium isotopes (plutonium-238 and plutonium-239/240). In addition, americium isotopes (americium-241 and americium-243) and curium-243 are analyzed.

The Hanford Site has a single background monitoring station in Yakima, Washington. The Yakima station, which is approximately 75 km (47 mi) in the general upwind direction of both the PNNL Campus and the Hanford Site, is considered to be unaffected by either DOE operation, so it is used as a background (or reference) location for the PNNL Campus monitoring program.

In 2013, there was no indication that any PNNL activities resulted in increased ambient air concentrations at the air-sampling locations (Table 4.7). For the isotopic analyses, only uranium-233/234 samples and a single plutonium-239/240 sample were measured at detectable concentrations, making meaningful evaluation difficult. The lack of detectable concentrations support the results of stack effluent monitoring, and demonstrate that emissions from the PNNL Campus are low, and have minimal potential for dose to members of the public.

[5] Uranium-234 is a naturally occurring radionuclide. It is co-reported with uranium-233 by the analytical laboratory because the emission peaks overlap.

4.7 Environmental Radiological Monitoring – PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory

BG Fritz

Emissions at MSL are low, the radionuclide inventory is relatively small, and radiological impact estimates are well below regulatory limits, even when highly overestimating assumptions are applied (Barnett et al. 2012b). The emissions at MSL have historically met requirements for dose limit compliance based on estimates from the COMPLY Code (EPA 1989). COMPLY is applicable to sites with low levels of releases (i.e., releases that result in a MEI dose below the minor emissions unit limit of 0.1 mrem/yr [0.001 mSv/yr; Barnett et al. 2012b]). For this reason, a particulate air-sampling network has not been established at MSL.

4.8 Future Radiological Monitoring

BG Fritz

One future change to the radiological monitoring program is the addition of a PNNL-operated background monitoring station, anticipated for CY 2014 or 2015. This will eliminate the dependence on the Hanford Site background station, guarantee that samples collected from the background are representative of PNNL Campus background levels, and ensure that samples are analyzed with methods and for isotopes consistent with samples collected at the other PNNL Campus air-sampling locations.

5.0 Environmental Nonradiological Program Information

The Effluent Management Group within the PNNL Environmental Protection and Regulatory Programs Division establishes or provides reference to already established discharge limits for toxic and radiological effluents to air and water. Specific effluent management services include establishing monitoring and sampling programs to characterize effluents from PNNL facilities including MSL, verifying compliance with effluent standards and controls, assisting facility operations, and monitoring compliance with air and water permits.

Effluent Management provides the interface between regulatory agencies and PNNL to prepare and submit required environmental permitting documentation, and reports spills and releases to regulatory agencies. A detailed description of the responsibilities assigned to the Effluent Management Group and interactions with other PNNL organizations is provided in the internal PNNL Effluent Management Quality Assurance (QA) Plan (Ballinger and Beus 2013). The ALARA principle is applied to effluent activities to minimize potential effects of emissions to the public and the environment.

5.1 Liquid Effluent Monitoring

TW Moon, EA Raney, and MY Ballinger

The PNNL Campus operates under three industrial wastewater discharge permits that regulate the discharge of process wastewater to the City of Richland sanitary sewer system. Permit CR-IU005 regulates the wastewater discharges from EMSL, Permit CR-IU011 regulates wastewater discharges from the PSF, and Permit CR-IU001 regulates wastewater discharged from other PNNL Campus facilities. All waste streams regulated by these permits are reviewed by PNNL staff and evaluated relative to compliance with the applicable permit prior to their discharge. Sampling and monitoring of these waste streams are in accordance with the permits and results are reported as required to the City of Richland.

Process wastewater from MSL is discharged to an onsite wastewater treatment plant and then directly discharged to Sequim Bay under the authorization of Washington State Department of Ecology NPDES Permit No. WA0040649. This permit identifies effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for this facility. Monitoring data required by the NPDES permit are listed in Table 5.1 for 2013. One grab sample was taken each month from Outfall 008 and analyzed for the parameters identified in Table 5.1 to meet permit monitoring requirements. There were no regulated discharges from Outfall 007 during this time period. Almost all parameters were measured at concentrations below the Method Reporting Limit.

The Washington State Department of Ecology has issued a permit for non-contact cooling water discharged from the Richland Research Complex cooling ponds (ST-9251) through the irrigation system that requires a grab sample of the water to be analyzed once per season for pH, conductivity, and total dissolved solids. PNNL is in compliance with all applicable sampling and monitoring requirements (one grab sample with pH of 7.8, conductivity of 208 µS/cm, and total dissolved solids of 104 mg/L).

Table 5.1. PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory 2013 NPDES Monitoring Results for Outfall 008[(a)]

Parameter	Quantity Found Below Method Reporting Limit	Method Reporting Limit	Maximum Value
Maximum Flow (gpd)	NA	NA	46,000
Bromoform (µg/L)	6	1[(b)]	7.4
Chlorine, Total Residual (µg/L)	12	50[(b)]	<50
Nitrate as Nitrogen (mg/L)	0	0.05	0.31
Antimony (µg/L)	1	0.5	0.70
Arsenic (µg/L)	2	5	<5
Beryllium (µg/L)	2	0.2	<0.2
Cadmium (µg/L)	2	0.2	<0.2
Chromium (µg/L)	1	2	2.7
Copper (µg/L)	6	1	7.8
Lead (µg/L)	8	0.2	0.4
Mercury (µg/L)	2	0.2	<0.2
Nickel (µg/L)	2	2	<2
Selenium (µg/L)	1	10	11
Silver (µg/L)	2	0.2	<0.2
Thallium (µg/L)	2	0.2	<0.2
Zinc (µg/L)	6	5	22
pH[(c)]	NA	NA	7.8

(a) There were no regulated discharges from Outfall 007 during this time period.
(b) The highest Method Reporting Limit reported for all months is listed.
(c) pH limits of 6–9 standard units are specified in the current permit.
gpd = gallons per day.

5.2 Air Effluent

BG Fritz

PNNL is not a large source of nonradiological air emissions. Past emissions include GHGs, ozone-depleting substances (primarily refrigerants), hazardous air pollutants, and criteria air pollutants. The air-effluent program does not monitor any stacks for nonradiological constituents, and compliance is ensured by complying with regulatory standards for equipment and permit conditions. Complying typically involves activities such as using clean fuels and monitoring fuel use, adhering to required operating hours for boilers and diesel engines, and adhering to maintenance and operating requirements. The permit applications contain emission estimates based on vendor data (e.g., emission rate/hour), so monitoring of run time or fuel use is an acceptable method of determining permit compliance. In addition, reviews of research and facility construction/renovation projects are conducted to ensure they comply with all applicable requirements. Nonradiological atmospheric effluent is tracked and reported according to standards established by the Global Reporting Initiative (Table 5.2). The Global Reporting Initiative is a non-profit organization that promotes economic, environmental, and social sustainability by providing companies and organizations with a comprehensive sustainability reporting framework that is extensively used around the world.

PNNL's approach to reducing ozone-depleting substances includes administrative controls implemented through procedures for maintenance, repair, and disposal, as well as minimizing procurement of Class I ozone-depleting substances for new and replacement refrigeration systems. Over the last 10 years, Laboratory usage of Class I ozone-depleting substance has decreased approximately 30 percent.

Table 5.2. PNNL Campus Nonradiological Atmospheric Emissions for 2013 Reported in Accordance with the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Standards

GRI Indicator	Indicator Title	2013 Emissions	Units
EN16	Total direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions by weight	49,856	metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent
EN17	Other relevant indirect greenhouse gas emissions by weight	24,685	metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent
EN19	Emissions of ozone-depleting substances by weight:		
	Ozone-depleting substance R12	0.00907	metric tons
	Ozone-depleting substance R22	0.001489	metric tons
	Ozone-depleting substance R123	0	metric tons
	Ozone-depleting substance 403B	0	metric tons
	Ozone-depleting substance 414B	0	metric tons
	Emissions of ozone-depleting substances in CFC-11 Equivalent	0.011	metric tons
E20	NO_x, So_x, and other significant air emissions by type and weight:		
	Nitrogen oxides	4108	kilograms
	Sulfur dioxide	34	kilograms
	Volatile organic compounds	938	kilograms
	Hazardous air pollutants	363	kilograms
	Particulate matter	469	kilograms
	Carbon monoxide	6,288	kilograms

To convert metric tons to U.S. tons multiply by 1.1.
To convert kilograms to pounds multiply by 2.2.

5.3 Soil Monitoring

TW Moon, EA Raney, and MY Ballinger

Water from the Richland Research Complex cooling ponds supplements irrigation system water on the PNNL Campus. During the summer months, a blue dye is added to the cooling ponds to prohibit algae growth. The application of water from the cooling ponds to agricultural land on the campus is considered an industrial application. PNNL staff sample and analyze the surrounding soils as required by Washington State Department of Ecology state waste discharge permit ST-9251. In 2013, representative soil samples were collected from four different sites that receive the application of irrigation water, and the samples were analyzed for common soil parameters in accordance with requirements of the permit. All of the data appear to be characteristic of soils from agricultural fields and landscape areas and no anomalies were noted by the analytical laboratory. Table 5.3 provides the results of the soil analyses. PNNL is in compliance with all sampling and monitoring requirements of the discharge permit. No other sampling of soils at either the PNNL Campus or MSL is required for environmental compliance.

Table 5.3. Richland Research Complex Cooling Ponds Soil Sample Results, 2013[(a)]

Parameter	Minimum Value	Maximum Value
Depth (in.)	12	36
Moisture (%)	9.74	10.63
Exchangeable sodium (%)	1.05	1.49
Cation-exchange capacity (meq/100 g)	7.2	8.9
Organic matter (%)	0.75	1.57
Total Kjeldahl nitrogen (mg/kg)	413	922
Nitrate as nitrogen (mg/kg)	1.7	13.0
Ammonia as nitrogen (mg/kg)	9.0	16.4
Total phosphorus (mg/kg)	635	801
Conductivity 1:1 (mmhos/cm)	0.20	0.51
Sodium (meq/100 g)	0.09	0.13
Calcium (meq/100 g)	5.20	6.62
Magnesium (meq/100 g)	1.3	1.6
Potassium (mg/kg)	72	187
Sulfate (mg/kg)	16	21
pH 1:1	6.6	7.2
Redoximorphic features	Absent	Absent

(a) A total of eight samples from four locations were analyzed in 2013.

6.0 Groundwater Protection Program

TW Moon, EA Raney, and MY Ballinger

Groundwater under the PNNL Campus is monitored routinely through seven groundwater monitoring wells. The Hanford Site groundwater monitoring report (DOE-RL 2013a) indicates that five contaminants (uranium, tritium, trichloroethylene, cis-1,2-dichloroethene [a degradation product of trichloroethylene], and nitrate) are found at levels that exceed drinking water standards in parts of the Hanford 300-FF-5 Operable Unit. Under the PNNL Campus, the contaminants either were not detectable or were present in concentrations well below drinking water standards, with the exception of nitrate, which exceeded drinking water standards. The nitrate plume underlying the PNNL Campus and much of north Richland (Figure 6.1) originates from offsite agricultural and industrial activities and is not identified as a contaminant of concern for the 300-FF-5 Operable Unit. In addition, uranium concentrations in Hanford Site wells west of the PNNL Campus exceeded the 30 μg/L drinking water standard in 2012 (DOE-RL 2013a).

The BSF/CSF facility uses a novel technology for heating and cooling the building that relies on a ground-source heat pump. Water is pumped from four extraction wells, passed through a non-contact heat exchanger, and returned to the aquifer through four injection wells. In February 2011, the Washington State Department of Ecology issued a water right for the nonconsumptive use of groundwater for the ground-source heat pump, allowing the withdrawal and use of groundwater by the four extraction wells at flow rates up to 7,200 L/min (1,900 gpm) and requiring injection of the water back to the aquifer.

Because the water is re-injected back into the ground, the Washington State Department of Ecology issued a temporary state waste discharge permit (ST-9274) to have the groundwater monitored for temperature changes and potential influence on pollutants from underground contamination plumes. Sampling and monitoring focuses on contaminants found in regional contaminant plumes that might be drawn toward the ground-source heat pump during groundwater withdrawal, including uranium, tritium, nitrate, and trichloroethylene, and on potential increases in the temperature of groundwater that will reach the Columbia River. The groundwater is sampled and analyzed in accordance with the sampling and analysis plan for the ground-source heat pump (Fritz and Moon 2010). The discharge permit requires sampling and analysis of seven groundwater monitoring wells that are downgradient from the injection site in addition to the extraction and injection wells. Three of the monitoring wells located on the PNNL Site were already components of the Hanford Site monitoring network. The sampling data are reported monthly to the Washington State Department of Ecology. Table 6.1 provides a summary of the monitoring results for the BSF/CSF ground-source heat pump for 2013. PNNL is in compliance with all sampling and monitoring requirements of the discharge permit, and results show no concern with respect to the ground-source heat pump water affecting movement of the contaminant plumes. No other groundwater sampling at either the PNNL Campus or MSL is required for environmental compliance.



Figure 6.1. Nitrate Plume Beneath Portions of the PNNL Campus (modified from DOE-RL 2013a)

Table 6.1. Biological Science Facility/Computational Sciences Facility Ground-Source Heat Pump Monitoring Results, 2013

Parameter	Number of Samples Analyzed	Quantity Found Below Method Reporting Limit	Method Reporting Limit	Minimum Reported Value	Maximum Reported Value
Injection Wells					
Flow (gpd)	NA	NA	NA	788	1819
Temperature (ºC)	NA	NA	NA	20.9	31.5
pH (pH units)	4	NA	NA	7.6	7.8
Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	4	NA	NA	8.3	8.9
Conductivity (µS/cm)	4	NA	NA	794	817
Turbidity (NTU)	2	2	0.2	<0.2	0.09
Total dissolved solids (mg/L)	2	0	10	508	515
Nitrate-nitrite (mg/L)	2	0	0.5	24.4	25.8
Uranium (µg/L)	2	0	0.02	6.9	7.6
Tritium (pCi/L)	2	2	1,000	ND	ND
Trichloroethylene (µg/L)	2	2	5	ND	ND
Monitoring Wells Downgradient of the Injection Wells					
Temperature (ºC)	NA	NA	NA	15.8	19.5
pH (pH units)	28	NA	NA	7.2	7.4
Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	28	NA	NA	1.7	9.3
Conductivity (µS/cm)	28	NA	NA	574	817
Turbidity (NTU)	14	7	0.2	<0.2	1.4
Total dissolved solids (mg/L)	14	0	10	367	516
Nitrate-nitrite (mg/L)	14	0	0.5	13.3	25.2
Uranium (µg/L)	14	0	0.02	3.4	6.8
Tritium (pCi/L)	14	14	1,000	ND	ND
Trichloroethylene (µg/L)	14	14	5	ND	ND

gpd = gallons per day.
NA = not applicable.
ND = non-detectable.
NTU = nephelometric turbidity unit.

7.0 Quality Assurance

MY Ballinger and CP Beus

Environmental sampling and monitoring activities were performed under PNNL's Environmental Management and Operations Program. These activities included sampling of wastewater, radiological air emissions, and ambient air and were subject to the PNNL QA program, which implements the requirements of DOE Order 414.1D, "Quality Assurance." Sampling is conducted by the Effluent Management Group under QA plans that describe the specific elements that apply to each activity. The QA plans address requirements in DOE Order 414.1D and EPA QA/G-5 (EPA 2002). The plans were approved by the PNNL QA organization that monitors compliance with the plan. Work performed through contracts or statements of work, such as sample analyses, must meet the same QA requirements. Potential suppliers of calibrated equipment and services were audited before service contracts were approved and awarded, or materials were purchased that could have a significant impact on quality.

Radiological environmental monitoring activities for the PNNL Campus were determined using the DQO process (Barnett et al. 2012a) described in the EPA *Guidance on Systematic Planning Using the Data Quality Objectives Process* (EPA 2006). The DQO process is a series of logical steps that guide a team to establish performance and acceptance criteria, which serve as the basis for designing a plan for collecting data of sufficient quality and quantity to support the goals of the study. The DQO process resulted in a determination and documentation of the environmental sampling and monitoring requirements necessary to comply with applicable regulations. Results of the DQO process were implemented, with QA requirements integrated into the *Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Effluent Management Quality Assurance Plan* (Ballinger and Beus 2013). The QA plan contains and references specific QA requirements for individual activities including environmental sampling and monitoring.

Wastewater sampling and monitoring at the PNNL Campus were performed to meet requirements in permits issued by the City of Richland for discharges to the sewer and by the Washington State Department of Ecology for discharges to the ground. QA requirements for these activities were incorporated into the *Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Effluent Management Quality Assurance Plan* (Ballinger and Beus 2013), which included specific requirements such as sampling locations, quality objective criteria, analytical methods, and detection limits.

The MSL uses trace quantities of radioactive material. Potential radioactive air emissions are permitted under a RAEL, and compliance is demonstrated through calculated emissions with no sampling or monitoring required. Wastewater sampling and monitoring are performed to comply with the NPDES permit for MSL. QA requirements specific to effluent monitoring at the MSL have been integrated into the *Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Effluent Management Quality Assurance Plan* (Ballinger and Beus 2013).

7.1 Sample Collection Quality Assurance

Samples were collected by personnel trained to conduct sampling according to approved and documented procedures. Sampling protocols include use of appropriate sampling methods and equipment, a defined sampling frequency, specified sampling locations, and protocols for sample handling, storage, packaging, and shipping to maintain sample integrity. Chain-of-custody processes were used to track transfer of samples from the point of collection to the analytical laboratory.

QA program requirements are integrated into the statement of work for subcontracted analytical laboratories, and include analysis of method blanks to evaluate sources of contamination, analysis of field or laboratory duplicates to evaluate method precision, and analysis of laboratory control samples/blank spike samples to assess accuracy, which may also include matrix spikes and/or surrogates.

All wastewater samples are analyzed using EPA-approved methods. Some samples are required to be analyzed at the time of sample collection because of short limits on holding. These analyses (e.g., pH, conductivity, dissolved oxygen) are performed using controlled procedures to meet quality control (QC) requirements and to demonstrate compliance with method requirements. Each analytical data package is validated prior to using and reporting data. In all cases where quality issues were identified that resulted in invalid data (e.g., missed holding times, laboratory blanks, spikes, or duplicates do not meet QC criteria), the issue was documented and resampling was required.

7.2 Quality Assurance Analytical Results

Five laboratories were used for analyses of environmental samples (i.e., wastewater, stack air emissions, and ambient air) from the PNNL Campus and MSL during 2013: 1) radiological air emission samples were analyzed by the PNNL Nuclear Chemistry and Engineering Group, 2) ambient air samples were analyzed for radioactivity by General Engineering Laboratories (GEL), LLC in Charleston, South Carolina, 3) wastewater samples were analyzed by ALS Environmental in Kelso, Washington, and Twiss Analytical Laboratories in Poulsbo, Washington, and 4) wastewater samples from MSL were analyzed for chlorine by an in-house accredited laboratory due to the 15-min sample holding time. Analyses were performed according to a documented statement of work or contract that described the activities necessary to ensure that the analysis results were of high and verifiable quality. These activities included calibrating and performance testing of analytical equipment, implementing a QA program; maintaining analytical and support equipment and facilities; handling, protecting, and analyzing samples; checking data traceability, validity, and quality; recording all analytical data; and communicating and reporting to the Effluent Management Group.

In 2013, the Nuclear Chemistry and Engineering Group and GEL analyzed all airborne filter samples for radioactivity according to the criteria in their respective statements of work and contracts. Both laboratories participated in a QC program that included internal QC measurements that provide estimates of precision and accuracy of the data. Both laboratories also participated in the Mixed-Analyte Performance Evaluation Program (MAPEP) intercomparison program, which provides an evaluation of laboratory performance. The MAPEP provided standard samples of environmental media, including air filters, containing specific amounts of one or more radionuclides unknown to the participating laboratory. After analysis, the results were compared for accuracy by determining if each result was within a stated acceptance range of a reference value. In 2013, GEL participated in two MAPEP studies (MAPEP 28 and 29 [2012]); 100 percent of the results for radiological analysis of air filters were within acceptable control limits. GEL also participated in Multi-Media Radiochemistry Proficiency Testing studies in 2013, and all results were within the acceptable range for air filter radionuclide analyses. GEL is audited annually by the DOE Consolidated Audit Program, which provides added confidence in the data reported by the laboratory. The Nuclear Chemistry and Engineering Group participated in MAPEP 29 and also partially in MAPEP 28 (gross alpha and gross beta only); 94 percent of the results were within the acceptable control limits.

QC samples (e.g., blanks, spiked samples, and sample duplicate pairs) were prepared by the contracted analytical laboratory and analyzed as required in the contract and statement of work. The Nuclear Chemistry and Engineering Group analyzed a blank and an instrument control sample against known standards for each batch of routine samples analyzed for alpha and beta activity. In addition, a spiked sample and a blank were included with each batch of composite analyses and analyzed for specific isotopes in addition to alpha and beta activity. Similar QC samples were analyzed by GEL (Table 7.1). The QC samples from both laboratories indicated that the sample batches had no measurable contamination from sample preparation activities, and no issues were identified in the sample preparation process.

Table 7.1. Summary of Quality Control Results Used for Air Filter Analyses, 2013

Quality Control Sample Type	Analytes	Number of Samples	Results Within Control Limits
General Engineering Laboratories, LLC Air Filter Analyses:			
Laboratory blanks	Gross alpha, gross beta, Am-241, Am-243, Be-7, Cm-243/244, Co-60, Cs-134, Cs-137, Eu-152, Eu-154, Eu-155, K-40, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, Ru-106, Sb-125, U-233234, U-235, U-238	35	76%[(a)]
Duplicate sample pairs	Am-241, Am-243, Be-7, Cm-243/244, Co-60, Cs-134, Cs-137, Eu-152, Eu-154, Eu-155, K-40, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, Ru-106, Sb-125, U-233/234, U-235, U-238	3	100%[(b)]
Matrix spike samples	Am-241, Am-243, Cm-243/244, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, U-233/234, U-235, U-238	2	100%[(c)]
Laboratory control samples	Am-241, Am-243, Be-7, Cm-243/244, Co-60, Cs-134, Cs-137, Eu-152, Eu-154, Eu-155, K-40, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, Ru-106, Sb-125, U-233/234, U-235, U-238	9	100%[(d)]
Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Nuclear Chemistry and Engineering Group:			
Laboratory blanks	Gross alpha, gross beta, Am-241, Am-243, Cm-243/244, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, U-233	2	100%[(a)]
Matrix spike samples	Gross alpha, gross beta, Pu-241, Sr-90	2	100%[(c)]

(a) Percentage of results either below minimum detectable activity (MDA) or below reporting limits. Gross beta analyses were the only results outside of the control limits, but the MDA was below reporting limits for all gross beta analyses.
(b) The relative percent difference between the sample and duplicate result is less than 20%, or the duplicate error ratio is less than 3.
(c) Control limit ±25%.
(d) Percentage of results within control limits for spiked analytes and either below MDA or below reporting limits for unspiked analytes.

ALS Environmental, Twiss Analytical Laboratories, and an in-house laboratory at MSL analyzed all wastewater samples from the PNNL Campus and MSL during 2013. Both analytical laboratories are accredited by the Washington State Department of Ecology (C544 and 560, respectively) for the analysis of wastewater samples. To receive accreditation, a laboratory must implement a QA plan, perform periodic proficiency testing, and be periodically inspected by the Washington State Department of Ecology to ensure that it is operating within regulatory and QA requirements. Both laboratories are also accredited by the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference Institute, which requires adherence to a uniform and robust laboratory program that has been implemented consistently nationwide. All wastewater analyses are performed using approved *Clean Water Act* methods specified by the EPA in "Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants" (40 CFR 136). QA and QC requirements in the contract with PNNL include the measurement or assessment of accuracy, precision, reliability, representativeness, completeness, and comparability. These measurements are reviewed for each analytical data package to verify that data are valid. Analytical methods, method detection limits, holding times, sample containers, and preservation must meet 40 CFR 136 requirements, and are verified for each sample collected. As mentioned in Section 7.1, resampling is required when an analysis fails to meet QC criteria or DQOs and the data are considered invalid.

7.3 Data Management and Calculations

QA is integrated into data management processes and calculations through documents such as QA plans, a data management plan, and a variety of procedures. Parameters for dose calculations are documented as a component of the PNNL environmental monitoring plan (Snyder et al. 2011). A procedure identifies the process for developing, testing, maintaining, and using spreadsheets to perform calculations that support or relate to a regulatory compliance, permit, or safety requirement. Procedures also contain the basis for parameters and methods used in estimating environmental releases as well as checklists used to verify and validate analytical results.

8.0 References

10 CFR 820. "Procedural Rules for DOE Nuclear Activities." *Code of Federal Regulations*, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

10 CFR 830. "Nuclear Safety Management." *Code of Federal Regulations*, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

10 CFR 835. "Occupational Radiation Protection." *Code of Federal Regulations*, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

10 CFR 1021. "National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Procedures." *Code of Federal Regulations*, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

40 CFR 52. "Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans." *Code of Federal Regulations*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

40 CFR 60. "Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources." *Code of Federal Regulations*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

40 CFR 61. "Protection of the Environment/National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP)." *Code of Federal Regulations*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

40 CFR 63. "National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories." *Code of Federal Regulations,* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

40 CFR 68. "Chemical Accident Prevention Provisions." *Code of Federal Regulations*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

40 CFR 82. "Protection of Stratospheric Ozone." *Code of Federal Regulations*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

40 CFR 136. "Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants." *Code of Federal Regulations*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

40 CFR 300. "National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan." *Code of Federal Regulations*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

40 CFR 355. "Emergency Planning and Notification." *Code of Federal Regulations*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

40 CFR 370. "Hazardous Chemical Reporting: Community Right-to-Know." *Code of Federal Regulations*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

40 CFR 372. "Toxic Chemical Release Reporting: Community Right-to-Know." *Code of Federal Regulations*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

40 CFR 761. “Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) Manufacturing, Processing, Distribution in Commerce, and Use Prohibitions: Records and Monitoring.” *Code of Federal Regulations*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

50 CFR 17. “Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants.” *Code of Federal Regulations,* U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C.

42 FR 26951. May 24, 1977. Executive Order 11988: “Floodplain Management.” *Federal Register*, Office of the President, Washington, D.C.

42 FR 26961. May 24, 1977. Executive Order 11990: “Protection of Wetlands.” *Federal Register*, Office of the President, Washington, D.C.

52 FR 2923. January 23, 1987. Executive Order 12580: “Superfund Implementation.” *Federal Register*, Office of the President, Washington, D.C.

61 FR 26256. May 24, 1996. “Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Revised Critical Habitat for the Marbled Murrelet." *Federal Register*, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.

64 FR 58910. November 1, 1999. “Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Determination of Threatened Status for Bull Trout in the Coterminous United States. *Federal Register*, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.

70 FR 37160. June 28, 2005. “Endangered and Threatened Species: Final Listing Determinations for 16 ESUs of West Coast Salmon, and Final 4(d) Protective Regulations for Threatened Salmonid ESUs.” *Federal Register*, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C.

70 FR 52630. September 2, 2005. “Endangered and Threatened Species; Designation of Critical Habitat for 12 Evolutionarily Significant Units of West Coast Salmon and Steelhead in Washington, Oregon, and Idaho; Final Rule.” *Federal Register*, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C.

71 FR 17757. April 7, 2006. “Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants: Threatened Status for Southern Distinct Population Segment of North American Green Sturgeon.” *Federal Register*, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C.

72 FR 3919. January 24, 2007. Executive Order 13423: “Strengthening Federal Environmental, Energy, and Transportation Management.” *Federal Register*, Office of the President, Washington, D.C.

72 FR 26722. May 11, 2007. “Endangered and Threatened Species: Final Listing Determination for Puget Sound Steelhead. *Federal Register*, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C.

74 FR 42605. August 24, 2009. “Listing Endangered and Threatened Species: Change in Status for the Upper Columbia River Steelhead Distinct Population Segment.” *Federal Register*, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C.

74 FR 52117. October 5, 2009. Executive Order 13514: “Federal Leadership in Environmental, Energy, and Economic Performance.” *Federal Register*, Office of the President, Washington, D.C.

75 FR 3424. January 21, 2010. "Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; 12-Month Finding on a Petition to Remove the Marbled Murrelet (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*) from the List of Threatened and Endangered Wildlife." *Federal Register*, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.

75 FR 13012. March 18, 2010. "Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants: Threatened Status for Southern Distinct Population Segment of Eulachon." *Federal Register*, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C.

75 FR 22276. April 28, 2010. "Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants: Threatened Status for the Puget Sound/Georgia Basin Distinct Population Segments of Yelloweye and Canary Rockfish and Endangered Status for the Puget Sound/Georgia Basin Distinct Population Segment of Bocaccio Rockfish." *Federal Register*, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C.

75 FR 63898. October 18, 2010. "Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Revised Designation of Critical Habitat for the Bull Trout; Final Rule." *Federal Register*, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.

76 FR 9309. February 17, 2011. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; 90-Day Finding on a Petition to List the Sand-Verbena Moth as Endangered or Threatened." *Federal Register*, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.

77 FR 61938. October 11, 2012. "Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Listing Taylor's Checkerspot Butterfly and Streaked Horned Lark and Designation of Critical Habitat; Proposed Rule." *Federal Register*, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.

78 FR 2726. January 14, 2013. "Endangered and Threatened Species; Designation of Critical Habitat for Lower Columbia River Coho Salmon and Puget Sound Steelhead; Proposed Rule." *Federal Register*, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C.

78 FR 47635. August 6, 2013. "Endangered and Threatened Species; Designation of Critical Habitat for Yelloweye Rockfish, Canary Rockfish and Bocaccio of the Puget Sound/Georgia Basin." *Federal Register*, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C.

78 FR 61451. October 3, 2013. "Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Determination of Endangered Status for the Taylor's Checkerspot Butterfly and Threatened Status for the Streaked Horned Lark; Final Rule." *Federal Register,* U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.

78 FR 61506. October 3, 2013. "Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Designation of Critical Habitat for Taylor's Checkerspot Butterfly and Streaked Horned Lark; Final Rule." *Federal Register,* U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.

Ackerman R, KC Reid, JD Gallison, and ME Roe. 1985. *Archaeology of Heceta Island: A Survey of 1 Timber Harvest Units in the Tongass National Forest, Southern Alaska.* Center for Northwest Archaeology, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington.

American Indian Religious Freedom Act. 1978. Public Law 95-341, as amended, 42 USC 1996 et seq. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www.cr.nps.gov/local-law/fhpl_IndianRelFreAct.pdf.

American Indian Religious Freedom Act Amendments of 1994. 1994. Public Law 103-344, 108 Stat. 3124, 103 HR 4155. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www.fs.fed.us/spf/tribalrelations/documents/policy/statutes/American_Indian_Religous_Freedom_Act.pdf.

Ames K and H Maschner. 1999. *Peoples of the Northwest Coast: Their Archaeology and Prehistory.* Thames and Hudson, London and New York.

Antiquities Act of 1906. 1906. Ch. 3060, 34 Stat. 225, 16 USC 431 et seq. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www.nps.gov/history/local-law/anti1906.htm.

Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1974. 1974. Public Law 93-291, as amended, 16 USC 469 et seq. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www.cr.nps.gov/local-law/FHPL_ArchHistPres.pdf.

Archaeological Resources Protection Act of 1979. 1979. Public Law 96-95, as amended, 16 USC 470aa et seq. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www.cr.nps.gov/local-law/FHPL_ArchRsrcsProt.pdf.

Atomic Energy Act of 1954. 1954. Chapter 724, 60 Stat. 755, 42 USC 2011 et seq. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://epw.senate.gov/atomic54.pdf.

Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act. 1940. Public Law 87-884, as amended, 16 USC 688 et seq.

Ballinger MY and CP Beus. 2013. *Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Effluent Management Quality Assurance Plan*. EM-QA-01, Rev. 9, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland, Washington.

Bard J and R McClintock. 1996. *A Historical Context Statement for the Ethnographic/Contact Period (Lewis and Clark 1805-Hanford Engineer Works 1943) at the Department of Energy's Hanford Site, Benton County, Washington*. CH2M HILL, Richland, Washington.

Barnett JM, KM Meier, SF Snyder, BG Fritz, TM Poston, and EJ Antonio. 2012a. *Data Quality Objectives Supporting Radiological Air Emissions Monitoring for the PNNL Site.* PNNL-19427, Rev. 1, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington. Accessed May 14, 2013, at http://www.pnnl.gov/main/publications/external/technical_reports/PNNL-19427rev1.pdf.

Barnett JM, KM Meier, SF Snyder, EJ Antonio, BG Fritz, and TM Poston. 2012b. *Data Quality Objectives Supporting Radiological Air Emissions Monitoring for the Marine Sciences Laboratory, Sequim Site.* PNNL-22111, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Battelle-Northwest. 1967. "Architect Selected for Sequim." *Staff Bulletin*, Volume IV, Number 2, May 22, 1967. Richland, Washington.

BCAA (Benton Clean Air Agency). 2011. "Regulation 1 of the Benton Clean Air Agency." Kennewick, Washington. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://bentoncleanair.org/uploads/BCAA%20Reg%201%20December%202011.doc.

Becker JM and MA Chamness. 2012. *2011 Annual Ecological Survey: Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Site*. PNNL-21164, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland Washington. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www.pnnl.gov/main/publications/external/technical_reports/PNNL-21164.pdf.

Benson JR, JV Jermann, and DE Lewarch. 1989. *Cultural Resources Inventory of the Proposed Yakima Firing Center Expansion Area East-Central Washington*. URS Consultants, Sacramento, California.

Cannon A. 1991. *The Economic Prehistory of Namu*. Archaeology Press, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia.

Chamness MA, JL Downs, CM Perry, and SD Powell. 2010. *Ecological Survey of the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Site*. PNNL-20199, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Chatters JC. 1980. *Cultural Resources of the Columbia Basin Project: An Inventory of Selected Parcels.* University of Washington Reconnaissance Report No. 32, Seattle, Washington.

Clallam County. 2013. "Shoreline Environmental Designation Maps: 02 Sequim Bay Vicinity." Available at: http://www.clallam.net/LandUse/smp_NOV2012draft.html.

Clean Air Act. 1986. Public Law 88-206, as amended, 42 USC 7401 et seq. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www.epa.gov/air/caa/.

Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. 1990. Public Law 101-549, as amended, 42 USC 7401 et seq. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www.epa.gov/air/caa/overview.txt.

Clean Water Act. 1977. Public Law 95-217, as amended, 33 USC 1251 et seq. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www2.epa.gov/laws-regulations/summary-clean-water-act.

Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972. 1972. Public Law 89-454, Title III, as added Public Law 92-583, 86 Stat. 1280, 16 USC 1451 et seq.

Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendments of 1990. 1990. Public Law 101-508, Title VI, Subtitle C (§ 6201 et seq.), 104 Stat. 1388–299.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA). 1980. Public Law 96-510, as amended, 42 USC 9601 et seq. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://epw.senate.gov/cercla.pdf.

Cowardin LM, V Carter, FC Golet, and ET LaRoe. 1979. *Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States*. FWS/OBS-79/31, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. Available at http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Documents/Classification-of-Wetlands-and-Deepwater-Habitats-of-the-United-States.pdf.

Croes D. 1989. "Prehistoric Ethnicity on the Northwest Coast of North America: An Evaluation of Style in Basketry and Lithics." *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 8:101–130.

Dickson CE. 1999. *McNary Reservoir Cultural Resource Inventory Survey*. Report prepared for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Walla Walla District by the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation Cultural Resources Protection Program, Pendleton, Oregon.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy). 2001. Memorandum from JK Erickson, DOE-RL to LJ Powell, dated February 21, 2001, regarding "Approval of Application for Authorized Limits for the Release of Small Volume Laboratory Liquid Samples from Radiological Control." Richland, Washington.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy). 2002. *A Graded Approach for Evaluating Radiation Doses to Aquatic and Terrestrial Biota*. DOE-STD-1153-2002, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. Accessed June 26, 2014, at http://www.doeal.gov/SWEIS/DOEDocuments/027%20DOE%20STD-1153-2002.pdf.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy). 2007. Memorandum from RM Kilbury, DOE-Pacific Northwest Site Office to M Kluse, dated June 18, 2007, regarding "Approval of Application for Authorized Limits for the Release of Small Volume Laboratory Liquid Samples from Radiological Control." Richland, Washington.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy). 2010. Memorandum from S. Chu, Secretary of Energy, to Heads of Departmental Elements, dated June 1, 2010, regarding "Installation of Cool Roofs on Department of Energy Buildings." Washington, D.C. Available at http://www.eere.energy.gov/pdfs/chu_memo_installation_cool_roofs_doe_buildings.pdf.

DOE Order 231.1B. 2011. "Environmental, Safety and Health Reporting." U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. Accessed June 26, 2014, at https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives/0231.1-BOrder-b/at_download/file.

DOE Order 232.2. 2011. "Occurrence Reporting and Processing of Operations Information." U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. Accessed June 3, 2014, at https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives/0232.2-BOrder/view.

DOE Order 414.1D. 2005. "Quality Assurance." U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. Accessed June 26, 2014, at https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives/0414.1-BOrder-d/at_download/file.

DOE Order 435.1. 1999. "Radioactive Waste Management." U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. Accessed June 3, 2014, at https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives/0435.1-BOrder/at_download/file.

DOE Order 435.1, Chg 1. 2001. "Radioactive Waste Management." U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. Accessed June 3, 2014, at https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives/0435.1-BOrder-c1/at_download/file.

DOE Order 436.1. 2011. "Departmental Sustainability." U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. Accessed May 30, 2014, at https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives/0436.1-BOrder/view.

DOE Order 440.1A. 1998. "Worker Protection Management for DOE Federal and Contractor Employees." U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. Accessed June 3, 2014, at https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives/0440.1-BOrder-a/at_download/file.

DOE Order 450.1A. 2008. "Environmental Protection Program." U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. Accessed June 26, 2014, at https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives/0450.1-BOrder-a/view.

DOE Order 458.1. 2011. "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment." U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Health, Safety and Security, Washington, D.C. Accessed June 3, 2014, at https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives-documents/0458.1-BOrder-admc1.

DOE Order 458.1 Chg 1. 2011. "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment." U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Health, Safety and Security, Washington, D.C. Accessed June 3, 2014, at https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives/0458.1-BOrder-admc2/at_download/file.

DOE Order 458.1 Chg 2. 2011. "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment." U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Health, Safety and Security, Washington, D.C. Accessed June 3, 2014, at https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives/0458.1-BOrder-admc2/at_download/file.

DOE Order 458.1 Chg 3. 2013. "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment." U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Health, Safety and Security, Washington, D.C. Accessed June 3, 2014, at https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives-documents/0458.1-BOrder-AdmChg3.

DOE Order 5400.5, Chg 2. 1993. "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment." U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. Accessed June 3, 2014, at https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives/5400.05-BOrder-c2/at_download/file.

DOE Order 5820.2A. 1988. "Radioactive Waste Management." U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. Accessed June 3, 2014, at https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives/5820.2-BOrder-a/at_download/file.

DOE Policy 141.1. 2001. "Department of Energy Management of Cultural Resources." U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. Accessed June 3, 2014, at https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives/0141.1-APolicy/at_download/file.

DOE/PNSO (U.S. Department of Energy Pacific Northwest Site Office). 2008. *Pacific Northwest Site Office Cultural and Biological Resources Management Plan.* DOE/PNSO Guide 11, Revision 2, Richland, Washington. Accessed June 3, 2014, at http://reading-room.labworks.org/edocs/doe_pnso_guide_11.pdf.

DOE/PNSO (U.S. Department of Energy Pacific Northwest Site Office). 2013. "DOE-Battelle Prime Contract for the Management and Operation of Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, DE-AC05-76RL01830." U.S. Department of Energy, Pacific Northwest Site Office, Richland, Washington. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://doeprimecontract.pnnl.gov/.

DOE-RL (U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office). 1997. *Hanford Site Manhattan Project and Cold War Era Historic District Treatment Plan.* DOE-RL-97-56, Richland, Washington.

DOE-RL (U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office). 2001. *Hanford Site Biological Resources Management Plan*. DOE-RL-96-32, Rev. 0, Richland, Washington. Accessed June 26, 2014, at http://pdw.hanford.gov/arpir/index.cfm/viewDoc?accession=0084173.

DOE-RL (U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office). 2002. *Standardized Stratigraphic Nomenclature for the Post-Ringold-Formation Sediments Within the Central Pasco Basin*. DOE-RL-2002-39, Rev. 0, Richland, Washington.

DOE-RL (U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office). 2012. *Hanford Site Environmental Report for Calendar Year 2011*. DOE-RL-2011-119, Revision 0, Richland, Washington.

DOE-RL (U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office). 2013a. *Hanford Site Groundwater Monitoring Report for 2012*. DOE-RL-2013-22, Revision 0, Richland, Washington. Accessed May 14, 2014, at http://www.hanford.gov/c.cfm/sgrp/GWRep12/html/gw12_Start-PDF.htm.

DOE-RL (U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office). 2013b. *Threatened and Endangered Species Management Plan: Salmon, Steelhead, and Bull Trout*. DOE-RL-2000-27, Revision 1, Richalnd, Washington. Accessed July 24, 2014 at http://www.hanford.gov/files.cfm/DOE_RL_2000_27_Rev1.pdf.

DOE-RL (U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office). 2013c. *2011 Hanford Site Polychlorinated Biphenyl Annual Document Log*. DOE-RL-2013-27, Revision 0, Richland, Washington. Accessed May 14, 2014, at http://pdw.hanford.gov/arpir/index.cfm/docDetail?accession=0088353.

DOE-RL (U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office). 2013d. *2011 Hanford Site Polychlorinated Biphenyl Annual Report.* DOE-RL-2013-26, Revision 0, Richland, Washington. Accessed May 14, 2014, at http://pdw.hanford.gov/arpir/index.cfm/docDetail?accession=0088353.

DOE-RL (U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office). 2014a. *Hanford Site Environmental Report for Calendar Year 2013*. DOE-RL-2013-47, Revision 0, Richland, Washington.

DOE-RL (U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office). 2014b. *Calendar Year 2013 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report*. DOE-RL-2014-17, Revision 0, Richland, Washington. Accessed May 14, 2014, http://pdw.hanford.gov/arpir/index.cfm/viewDoc?accession=0085870.

Duncan JP, MY Ballinger, BG Fritz, HT Tilden, II, GA Stoetzel, JM Barnett, J Su-Coker, A Stegan, TW Moon, JM Becker, EA Raney, MA Chamness, and KM Mendez. 2013. *Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Annual Site Environmental Report for Calendar Year 2012*. PNNL-22691, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Dungeness River Audubon Center. 2007. "Native Plants of Railroad Bridge Park." Available at http://www.dungenessrivercenter.org/floraandfauna.html.

Dungeness River Audubon Center. 2010. "Birds of the North Olympic Peninsula: Sequim Dungeness Christmas Bird Count Data." Available at http://www.dungenessrivercenter.org/BirdsoftheNorthOlympicPeninsula.html.

Dungeness River Audubon Center. 2014a. "Terrain and Climate: Rainshadow Effect." Available at http://www.dungenessrivercenter.org/terrainclimate.html.

Dungeness River Audubon Center. 2014b. "Amphibians and Reptiles of the Dungeness Watershed." Available at http://www.dungenessrivercenter.org/AmphibiansandReptiles.html.

Ecology (Washington State Department of Ecology). 2007. "Washington State Ecoregions." Available at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/services/gis/maps/state/state.htm.

Ecology (Washington Department of Ecology). 2014. "Puget Sound Shorelines." Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/pugetsound/species/smelt.html.

Ecology, EPA, and DOE (Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy). 1989. *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*. Document No. 89-10, as amended, Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy, Olympia, Washington. Available at http://www.hanford.gov/?page=81.

Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986. 1986. Public Law 99-499, as amended, 42 USC 11001 et seq. Accessed June 26, 2014, at http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/USCODE-2010-title42/html/USCODE-2010-title42-chap116.htm.

Endangered Species Act of 1973. Public Law 100-478, as amended, 16 USC 1531 et seq. Accessed June 26, 2014, at http://epw.senate.gov/esa73.pdf.

Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007. 2007. Public Law 110-140, 121 Stat. 1492.

EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1989. *User's Guide for the COMPLY Code*. EPA 520/1-89-003, Office of Radiation and Indoor Air, Washington, D.C.

EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2002. *EPA Guidance for Quality Assurance Project Plans EPA QA/G-5*. EPA/240/R-02/009, Office of Environmental Information, Washington, D.C. Accessed June 26, 2014, at http://www.epa.gov/quality/qs-docs/g5-final.pdf.

EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2006. *Guidance on Systematic Planning Using the Data Quality Objective Process (EPA QA/G-4)*. EPA/240/B-06/001, Office of Environmental Information, Washington, D.C. Accessed June 26, 2014, at http://www.epa.gov/QUALITY/qs-docs/g4-final.pdf.

EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2013. *Primary Distinguishing Characteristics of Level III Ecoregions of the Continental United States*. Available at www.epa.gov/wed/pages/ecoregions/level_iii.htm.

EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) and DOE-RL (U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office). 2013. *Hanford Site 300 Area Record of Decision for 300-FF-2 and 300-FF-5, and Record of Decision Amendment for 300-FF-1*. Available at http://pdw.hanford.gov/arpir/index.cfm/viewDoc?accession=0087180.

Fagan BM. 2001. *Ancient North America: The Archaeology of a Continent*. Thames & Hudson, New York, New York.

Federal Facility Compliance Act of 1992. 1992. Public Law 102-386, as amended, 106 Stat. 1505 et seq. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www.labtrain.noaa.gov/ppguide/ffpp_55.htm.

Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act. 1975. Public Law 94-51, as amended, 7 USC 136 et seq. Accessed June 26, 2014, at http://www.epa.gov/oecaagct/lfra.html.

Federal Water Pollution Control Act. 1948. Public Law 92-500, 86 Stat. 816 et seq. (33 USC 1251 et seq.).

First War Powers Act of 1941. 1941. 55 Stat. 838, ch. 593, 50 USC App. 601 et seq.

Franklin JF and CT Dyrness. 1988. *Natural Vegetation of Oregon and Washington*. Oregon State University Press, Corvallis, Oregon.

Freedman VL, RD Mackley, SR Waichler, JA Horner, TW Moon, DR Newcomer, DJ DeSmet, KA Lindsey, and JJ Porcello. 2010. *Hydrogeologic Evaluation of a Ground-Source Cooling System at the BSF/CSF on the Battelle Campus: Final Report*. PNNL-18463, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www.pnl.gov/main/publications/external/technical_reports/PNNL-18463final.pdf.

Fridland P. 1985. *Prosser 1910-20 Going Back.* Ye Galleon Press, Fairfield, Washington.

Fritz BG and TW Moon. 2010. *Biological Sciences Facility/Computational Sciences Facility Ground-Source Heat Pump Sampling and Analysis Plan*. Battelle, Pacific Northwest Division, Richland, Washington.

Galm JR, GD Hartmann, RA Masten, and GO Stephenson. 1981. *A Cultural Resources Overview of the Bonneville Power Administration's Mid-Columbia Project, Central Washington*. Eastern Washington University, Cheney, Washington.

Green GS. 1975. *Prehistoric Utilization in the Channeled Scablands of Eastern Washington*. Washington State University, Pullman, Washington.

Hamilton EL and SF Snyder. 2011. *Hanford Site Regional Population—2010 Census*. PNNL-20631, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Haynes RR. 2007. *Zosteraceae*. In Flora of North America Editorial Committee, eds. 1993+. *Flora of North America North of Mexico*. 16+ vols. New York and Oxford. Vol. 22.

Hays DW and RL Milner. 2004. Peregrine Falcon. In E Larsen, JM Azerrad, and N Nordstrom, eds., *Management Recommendations for Washington's Priority Species—Volume IV: Birds*. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, Washington.

Hazardous Waste Management Act of 1976. 1976. RCW 70.105, as amended. *Revised Code of Washington*, Olympia, Washington. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://apps.leg.wa.gov/rcw/default.aspx?cite=70.105.

Historic Sites Act of 1935. 1935. 49 Stat. 666, 16 USC 461 et seq.

Homestead Act of 1862. 1862. 12 Stat. 392, ch. 75.

ISO 14001:2004(E). 2004. *Environmental Management Systems – Requirements with Guidance for Use*. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland.

LandScope Washington. 2014. "Puget Trough Vegetation." Accessed May 19, 2014, at http://www.landscope.org/washington/natural_geography/ecoregions/puget_trough/vegetation/.

Larsen EM, E Rodrick, and ER Milner. 1995. *Management Recommendations for Washington's Priority Species—Volume I: Invertebrates*. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, Washington.

Larson KB and JL Downs. 2009. *2009 Baseline Ecological Survey: Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Site.* PNNL-18939, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Lindsey KA. 1995. *Miocene- to Pliocene-Aged Suprabasalt Sediments of the Hanford Site, South-Central Washington*. BHI-00184, Bechtel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Magnuson–Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act. 1976. 90 Stat. 331, as amended, 16 USC 1801, et seq. Accessed June 26, 2014, at http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/sfa/magact/.

MAPEP 28. 2012. *Mixed-Analyte Performance Evaluation Program: MAPEP Test Session 28.* U.S. Department of Energy, Radiological and Environmental Sciences Laboratory, Idaho Falls, Idaho.

MAPEP 29. 2012. *Mixed-Analyte Performance Evaluation Program: MAPEP Test Session 29.* U.S. Department of Energy, Radiological and Environmental Sciences Laboratory, Idaho Falls, Idaho.

Marceau TE, DW Harvey, DC Stapp, SD Cannon, CA Conway, DH DeFord, BJ Freer, MS Gerber, JK Keeting, CF Noonan, and G Weisskopf. 2003. *Hanford Site Historic District History of the Plutonium Production Facilities 1943-1990.* DOE-RL-97-1047, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972. 1972. 86 Stat. 1027, as amended, 16 USC 1361 et seq. Accessed June 26, 2014, at http://www.fws.gov/laws/lawsdigest/MARMAM.HTML.

Migratory Bird Treaty Act. 1918. 40 Stat. 755, as amended, 16 USC 703 et seq. Accessed June 26, 2014, at http://www.fws.gov/laws/lawsdigest/migtrea.html.

Moline MA, DL Woodruff, and NR Evans. 2007. "Optical Delineation of Benthic Habitat Using an Autonomous Underwater Vehicle." *Journal of Field Robotics* 2(6): 461-471.

Morgan V. 1996. *Results of Cultural Resources Survey and Testing for the Washington State Department of Transportation's Planned SR 101 Sequim Bypass Project, Clallam County, Washington, NADB#1344078*. Archaeological and Historical Services, Eastern Washington University, Cheney, Washington.

Morgan V, R Bruce, J Creighton, and S Emerson. 2001. *A Cultural Resources Overview for the Priest Rapids Hydroelectric Generation Project (FERC Project No. 2114), Grant, Chelan, Douglas, Kittitas, and Yakima Counties, Washington*. Grant County Public Utility District, Ephrata, Washington.

MRC (Clallam County Marine Resources Commission). 2014. "Resource Concerns and Priorities for the Clallam County Marine Resources Commission." Accessed May 19, 2014, at http://www.clallam.net/ccmrc/concernspriorities.html#washington.

Napier B. 2006. *Alternative Conceptual Models for Assessing Food Chain Pathways in Biosphere Models*. NUREG/CR-6910, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, Washington, D.C.

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). 1969. Public Law 91-190, as amended, 42 USC 4321 et seq. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://ceq.hss.doe.gov/nepa/regs/nepa/nepaeqia.htm.

National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA). 1966. Public Law 89-665, as amended, 16 USC 470 et seq. Accessed June 26, 2014, at http://www.achp.gov/docs/nhpa%202008-final.pdf.

Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act of 1990. 1990. Public Law 101-601, as amended, 25 USC 3001 et seq. Accessed June 26, 2014, at http://www.cr.nps.gov/local-law/FHPL_NAGPRA.pdf.

NatureServe. 2014. *An Online Encyclopedia of Life:* Sand-Verbena Moth (*Copablepharon fuscum*). Version 7.1. Available at http://www.natureserve.org/explorer/.

NDBC (National Data Buoy Center). 2014. "Station 46110 – Sequim Buoy." Accessed May 15, 2014, at http://www.ndbc.noaa.gov/station_page.php?station=46110.

Nelson CM. 1969. *The Sunset Creek Site (45-KT-28) and Its Place in Plateau Prehistory*. Report of Investigations No. 47, Washington State University, Laboratory of Anthropology, Pullman, Washington. Available at http://research.wsulibs.wsu.edu/xmlui/bitstream/handle/2376/2050/ARI%2047%20verson%205.pdf?sequence=1.

Newcomer DR. 2007. *Groundwater Monitoring at the 1100-EM-1 Operable Unit*. PNNL-16528, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www.pnl.gov/main/publications/external/technical_reports/PNNL-16528.pdf.

Nonindigenous Aquatic Nuisance Prevention and Control Act of 1990. 1990. Public Law 101-646, as amended, 16 USC 4701 et seq.

NWCB (Washington State Noxious Weed Control Board). 2010. "Noxious Weed List." Available at http://www.nwcb.wa.gov/.

Peterson RE, EJ Freeman, CJ Murray, PD Thorne, MJ Truex, VR Vermeul, MD Williams, SB Yabusaki, JM Zachara, JL Lindberg, and JP McDonald. 2005. *Contaminants 1023 of Potential Concern in the 300-FF-5 Operable Unit: Expanded Annual Groundwater Report 1024 for Fiscal Year 2004*. PNNL-15127, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www.pnl.gov/main/publications/external/technical_reports/PNNL-15127.pdf.

PNNL (Pacific Northwest National Laboratory). 2013. *FY2014 Site Sustainability Plan: Taking Steps Toward the Future*. PNNL-23027, Richland, Washington. Available at http://sustainable.pnnl.gov/docs/2014Sustainability_Plan.pdf.

Poston TM, JP Duncan, and RL Dirkes**.** 2011. *Hanford Site Environmental Report for Calendar Year 2010*. PNNL-20548, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www.pnnl.gov/main/publications/external/technical_reports/PNNL-20548.pdf.

RCW (*Revised Code of Washington*) 17.10. 1997. "Noxious Weeds – Control Boards." Olympia, Washington.

Reidel SP, KA Lindsey, and KR Fecht. 1992. *Field Trip Guide to the Hanford Site*. WHC-MR-0391, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML0901/ML090120386.pdf.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (RCRA). 1976. Public Law 94-580, as amended, 42 USC 6901 et seq. and 42 USC 6927(c) et seq. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www2.epa.gov/laws-regulations/summary-resource-conservation-and-recovery-act.

Rice DG. 1980. *Overview of Cultural Resources on the Hanford Reservation in South Central Washington State*. Report submitted to the U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. 1899. Ch. 425, 30 Stat. 1121 et seq.

Russell J. 1971. *Jimmy Come Lately – History of Clallam County*. Clallam County Historical Society, Port Angeles, Washington.

Sackschewsky, M, C Lindsey, J Nugent, D Salstrom, and R Easterley. 2013. *Hanford Site Rare Plant Monitoring Report for Calendar Year 2013*. HNF-56799, Mission Support Alliance, Richland, Washington. Available at http://www.hanford.gov/files.cfm/HNF-56799_-_Rev_00.pdf.

Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974. 1974. Public Law 93-523, as amended, 42 USC 201 et seq. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://water.epa.gov/lawsregs/rulesregs/sdwa/index.cfm.

Salstrom D, R Easterly, C Lindsey, and J Nugent. 2012. *Rare Plant Monitoring Report for Calendar Year 2011*. HNF-52260, Rev. 1. Mission Support Alliance, Richland, Washington. Available at http://www.hanford.gov/files.cfm/HNF-52260_-_Rev_01.pdf.

Schalk R. 1988. *The Evolution and Diversification of Native Land Use Systems on the Olympic Peninsula.* Institute for Environmental Studies, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington.

Sharpe J. 1999. *Pre-Hanford Agricultural History: 1900-1943*. BHI-01326, Rev. 0, Bechtel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Sharpe J. 2000. *Chinese Gold Miners of the Mid-Columbia Region, Phase I and Phase II*. BHI-0421, Rev. 0, Bechtel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Sharpe J. 2001. *History of River Transportation on the Hanford Reach*. BHI-01561, Rev. 0, Bechtel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Sharpe J and TE Marceau. 2001. *Archaeological Excavation Report for Extraction Well C3662 in Support of the 100-KR-4 Pump and Treat Project.* U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations, Richland, Washington.

Shoreline Management Act of 1971. 1971. Title 90, "Water Rights Environment," Chapter 90.58, *Revised Code of Washington*.

Snyder SF, KM Meier, JM Barnett, LE Bisping, TM Poston, and K Rhoads. 2011. *Department of Energy – Office of Science Pacific Northwest Site Office Environmental Monitoring Plan for the DOE-SC PNNL Site*. PNNL-20919, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Snyder SF, JM Barnett, and LE Bisping. 2014a. *Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Campus Radionuclide Air Emissions Report for Calendar Year 2013* PNNL-20436-4, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Snyder SF, JM Barnett, and MY Ballinger. 2014b. *Marine Sciences Laboratory Radionuclide Air Emissions Report for Calendar Year 2013*. PNNL-22342-2, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA). RCW 43.21C, as amended. *Revised Code of Washington*, Olympia, Washington. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://apps.leg.wa.gov/rcw/default.aspx.

Stinson DW, JW Watson, and KR McAllister. 2007. *Washington State Status Report for the Bald Eagle*. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, Washington. Available at http://wdfw.wa.gov/wlm/diversty/soc/status/baldeagle/baldeagle_status07.pdf.

Stuart T. 2006. Taylor's Checkerspot Butterfly (*Euphydryas editha taylori*) Habitat Survey Report. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, Washington.

Stuart T. 2007. Taylor's Checkerspot Butterfly (*Euphydryas editha taylori*) Survey Report. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, Washington.

Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986. 1986. Public Law 99-499, as amended, 42 USC 9601 et seq. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://epw.senate.gov/sara.pdf.

Suttles W. 1991. "1991 Central Coast Salish." In: *Handbook of North American Indians Volume 7: Northwest Coast*. Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C.

Swanson EH. 1962. *The Emergence of Plateau Culture*. Occasional Papers of the Idaho State College Museum No. 8, Pocatello, Idaho.

Thomas BE, LA Goodman, and TD Olsen. 1999. *Hydrogeologic Assessment of the Sequim-Dungeness Area, Clallam County, Washington*. USGS Water Resources Investigation Report 99-4048, Washington, D.C.

Thoms AV, SJ Bobalick, K Bohm, TR Metzger, D Olson, and SR Samuels. 1983. *Archaeological Investigations in Upper McNary Reservoir: 1981-1982*. Washington State University, Pullman, Washington.

Thorne PD, MA Chamness, FA Spane, Jr., VR Vermeul, and WD Webber. 1993. *Three-Dimensional Conceptual Model for the Hanford Site Unconfined Aquifer System, FY 93 Status Report*. PNL-8971, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Toxic Substances Control Act. 1976. Public Law 94-469, as amended, 15 USC 2601 et seq. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www.epa.gov/agriculture/lsca.html.

USCB (U.S. Census Bureau). 2014a. "State and County Quick Facts: Benton County." Washington, D.C. Accessed May 16, 2014, at http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/53/53005.html.

USCB (U.S. Census Bureau). 2014b. "State and County Quick Facts: Franklin County." Washington, D.C. Accessed May 16, 2014, at http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/53/53021.html.

USCB (U.S. Census Bureau). 2014c. "State and County Quick Facts: Clallam County." Washington, D.C. Accessed May 16, 2014, at http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/53/53009.html.

USCB (U.S. Census Bureau). 2014d. "State and County Quick Facts: Sequim (city)." Washington, D.C. Accessed May 16, 2014, at http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/53/5363385.html.

USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2007. *National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines*. Washington, D.C. Available at: http://www.fws.gov/pacific/ecoservices/documents/NationalBaldEagleManagementGuidelines.pdf.

USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2013. "Endangered Species: Glossary." Washington, D.C. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/glossary/.

USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014. "National Wetlands Inventory." Washington, D.C. Accessed June 26, 2014, at: http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/.

WAC 16-228. 2014. "General Pesticide Rules." *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington.

WAC 16-750-011. 2013. "State Noxious Weed List—Class B Noxious Weeds." *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington.

WAC 16-750-015. 2013. "State Noxious Weed List—Class C Noxious Weeds." *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington.

WAC 173-218. 2008. "Underground Injection Control Program." *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington.

WAC 173-303. 2009. "Dangerous Waste Regulations." *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington.

WAC 173-303-145. 2009. "Spills and Discharges into the Environment." *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington.

WAC 173-360. 2012. "Underground Storage Tank Regulations." *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington.

WAC 173-400. 2012. "General Regulations for Air Pollution Sources." *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington.

WAC 173-460. 2009. "Controls for New Sources of Toxic Air Pollutants." *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington.

WAC 173-480. 2007. "Ambient Air Quality Standards and Emission Limits for Radionuclides." *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington.

WAC 173-491. 2007. "Emission Standards and Controls for Sources Emitting Gasoline Vapors." *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington.

WAC 222-10-041. 2006. "Northern Spotted Owls." *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington.

WAC 232-12-297. 2003. "Endangered, Threatened, and Sensitive Wildlife Species Classification." *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington.

WAC 246-221-190. 2003. "Disposal by Release into Sanitary Sewerage Systems." *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington.

WAC 246-247. 2014. "Radiation Protection—Air Emissions." *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington.

Walker D, Jr. (ed.). 1998. *Handbook of North American Indians: Plateau*. Volume 12, Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C.

Washington Clean Air Act. RCW 70.94, as amended. *Revised Code of Washington*. Olympia, Washington. Accessed June 26, 2014, at http://apps.leg.wa.gov/rcw/default.aspx.

Washington Pesticide Application Act. RCW 17.21, as amended. *Revised Code of Washington.* Olympia, Washington. Accessed June 26, 2014, at http://apps.leg.wa.gov/rcw/default.aspx.

Washington Pesticide Control Act. RCW 15.58, as amended. *Revised Code of Washington.* Olympia, Washington. Accessed June 26, 2014, at http://apps.leg.wa.gov/rcw/default.aspx.

WDFW (Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2008. "Priority Habitats and Species List." Olympia, Washington. Accessed May 19, 2014, at http://wdfw.wa.gov/conservation/phs/list/.

WDFW (Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2014a. "Species of Concern in Washington." Olympia, Washington. Accessed May 19, 2014, at http://wdfw.wa.gov/conservation/endangered/.

WDFW (Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2014b. "Washington Surf Smelt Fact Sheet." Olympia, Washington. Accessed May 19, 2014, at http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/01219/wdfw01219.pdf.

WDFW (Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2014c. "Priority Habitats and Species." Olympia, Washington. Accessed May 19, 2014, at http://wdfw.wa.gov/mapping/phs/.

WDNR (Washington State Department of Natural Resources). 2013. *Copablepharon fuscum* (sand-verbena moth) and *Abronia latifolia* (yellow sand-verbena) Washington State Surveys. Prepared for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Western Washington Fish and Wildlife Office Region 1.

WDNR (Washington State Department of Natural Resources). 2014. Washington Natural Heritage Program Plant Ranks. Accessed May 19, 2014, at http://www1.dnr.wa.gov/nhp/refdesk/lists/plantrnk.html.

Williams BA, BN Bjornstad, R Schalla, and WD Webber. 2000. *Revised Hydrogeology for the Suprabasalt Aquifer System, 200-East Area and Vicinity, Hanford Site, Washington*. PNNL-12261, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www.pnl.gov/main/publications/external/technical_reports/PNNL-12261.PDF.

Williams BA, CF Brown, W Um, MJ Nimmons, RE Peterson, BN Bjornstad, DC Lanigan, RJ Serne, FA Spane, and ML Rockhold. 2007. *Limited Field Investigation Report for Uranium Contamination in the 300-FF-5 Operable Unit at the 300 Area, Hanford Site, Washington*. PNNL-16435, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www.pnl.gov/main/publications/external/technical_reports/PNNL-16435.pdf.

WNWCB (Washington Noxious Weed Control Board). 2010. *Class B Noxious Weeds*. Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://www.nwcb.wa.gov/.

WWF (World Wildlife Fund). 2014. "Puget Lowland Forests." Accessed May 19, 2014, at http://worldwildlife.org/ecoregions/na0524.

WWHCWG (Washington Wildlife Habitat Connectivity Working Group). 2014. "Washington Connected Landscapes Project: Analysis of the Columbia Plateau Ecoregion." Accessed May 19, 2014, at http://waconnected.org/columbia-plateau-ecoregion/.

Appendix A

Helpful Information

Appendix A

Helpful Information

The following information is provided to assist the reader in understanding this report. Included here is information about scientific notation, units of measure, radioactivity units, radiological dose units, chemical and elemental nomenclature, and greater than or less than symbols. Definitions of technical terms can be found in Appendix B.

A.1 Scientific Notation

Scientific notation is used to express very large or very small numbers. For example, the number 1 billion could be written as 1,000,000,000 or, by using scientific or E notation, written as 1×10^9 or 1.0E+09. Translating from scientific notation to a more traditional number requires moving the decimal point either left or right from its current location. If the value given is 2.0×10^3 (or 2.0E+03), the decimal point should be moved three places to the **right,** so that the number would then read 2,000. If the value given is 2.0×10^{-5} (or 2.0E-05), the decimal point should be moved five places to the **left,** so that the result would be 0.00002.

A.2 Units of Measure

The primary units of measure used in this report follow the International System of Units and are metric. Table A.1 summarizes and defines the terms and corresponding symbols (metric and non-metric). A conversion table is also provided in Table A.2.

A.3 Radioactivity Units

Much of this report deals with levels of radioactivity in various environmental media. Radioactivity in this report is usually discussed in units of **curies** (**Ci**), with conversions to **becquerels** (**Bq**), the International System of Units measure (Table A.3). The curie is the basic unit used to describe the amount of activity present, and activities are generally expressed in terms of curies per mass or volume (e.g., picocuries per liter). One curie is equivalent to 37 billion disintegrations per second or is a quantity of any radionuclide that decays at the rate of 37 billion disintegrations per second. One becquerel is equivalent to one disintegration per second. Nuclear disintegrations produce spontaneous emissions of alpha or beta particles, gamma radiation, or combinations of these. Table A.4 includes selected conversions from curies to becquerels.

Table A.1. Names and Symbols for Units of Measure

Symbol	Name	Symbol	Name
Temperature		**Concentration**	
°C	degree Celsius	ppb	parts per billion
°F	degree Fahrenheit	ppm	parts per million
Time		ppmv	parts per million by volume
d	day	**Length**	
hr	hour	cm	centimeter (1×10^{-2} m)
min	minute	ft	foot
sec	second	in.	inch
yr	year	km	kilometer (1×10^{3} m)
Rate		m	meter
cfs (or ft^3/sec)	cubic feet per second	mi	mile
cpm	counts per minute	mm	millimeter (1×10^{-3} m)
gpm	gallon per minute	µm	micrometer (1×10^{-6} m)
mph	mile per hour	**Area**	
mR/hr	milliroentgen per hour	ha	hectare (1×10^{4} m^2)
mrem/yr	millirem per year	km^2	square kilometer
Volume		mi^2	square mile
cm^3	cubic centimeter	ft^2	square foot
ft^3	cubic foot	**Mass**	
gal	gallon	g	gram
L	liter	kg	kilogram (1×10^{3} g)
m^3	cubic meter	mg	milligram (1×10^{-3} g)
mL	milliliter (1×10^{-3} L)	µg	microgram (1×10^{-6} g)
yd^3	cubic yard	lb	pound

Table A.2. Conversion Table

Multiply	By	To Obtain	Multiply	By	To Obtain
cm	0.394	in.	in.	2.54	cm
m	3.28	ft	ft	0.305	m
km	0.621	mi	mi	1.61	km
kg	2.205	lb	lb	0.454	kg
L	0.2642	gal	gal	3.785	L
m^2	10.76	ft^2	ft^2	0.093	m^2
ha	2.47	acres	acre	0.405	ha
km^2	0.386	mi^2	mi^2	2.59	km^2
m^3	35.31	ft^3	ft^3	0.0283	m^3
m^3	1.308	yd^3	yd^3	0.7646	m^3
pCi	1,000	nCi	nCi	0.001	pCi
µCi/mL	10^9	pCi/L	pCi/L	10^{-9}	µCi/mL
Ci/m^3	10^{12}	pCi/m^3	pCi/m^3	10^{-12}	Ci/m^3
mCi/cm^3	10^{15}	pCi/m^3	pCi/m^3	10^{-15}	mCi/cm^3
nCi/m^2	1.0	mCi/km^2	mCi/km^2	1.0	nCi/m^2
Ci	3.7×10^{10}	Bq	Bq	2.7×10^{-11}	Ci
pCi	0.037	Bq	Bq	27	pCi
rad	0.01	Gy	Gy	100	rad
rem	0.01	Sv	Sv	100	rem
ppm	1,000	ppb	ppb	0.001	ppm
°C	(°C × 9/5) + 32	°F	°F	(°F -32) ÷ 9/5	°C
oz	28.349	g	g	0.035	oz
ton	0.9078	tonne	tonne	1.1	ton

Table A.3. Names and Symbols for Units of Radioactivity

Symbol	Name	Symbol	Name
Ci	curie	Bq	becquerel (2.7×10^{-11} Ci)
mCi	millicurie (1×10^{-3} Ci)	kBq	kilobecquerel (1×10^3 Bq)
µCi	microcurie (1×10^{-6} Ci)	MBq	megabecquerel (1×10^6 Bq)
nCi	nanocurie (1×10^{-9} Ci)	mBq	millibecquerel (1×10^{-3} Bq)
pCi	picocurie (1×10^{-12} Ci)	GBq	gigabecquerel (1×10^9 Bq)
fCi	femtocurie (1×10^{-15} Ci)	TBq	terabecquerel (1×10^{12} Bq)
aCi	attocurie (1×10^{-18} Ci)		

Table A.4. Conversions for Radioactivity Units

aCi	fCi	fCi	pCi	pCi	nCi	nCi	µCi	µCi	mCi	mCi	Ci	Ci	kCi
27	1	27	1	27	1	27	1	27	1	27	1	27	1
1	37	1	37	1	37	1	37	1	37	1	37	1	37
µBq	µBq	mBq	mBq	Bq	Bq	kBq	kBq	MBq	MBq	GBq	GBq	TBq	TBq

A.4 Radiological Dose Units

Radiological dose in this report is usually written in terms of effective dose equivalent and reported numerically in units of millirem (mrem), with the metric units millisievert (mSv) or microsievert (µSv) following in parenthesis or footnoted.

Millirem (millisievert) is a term that relates a given amount of absorbed radiation energy to its biological effectiveness or risk (to humans). For perspective, a dose of 0.01 millirem (1 millisievert) would have a biological effect roughly the same as received from 1 day's exposure to natural background radiation. An acute (short-term) dose to the whole body of 100 rem (1 sievert) would likely cause temporary radiation sickness in some exposed individuals. An acute dose of over 500 rem (5 sievert) would soon result in death in approximately 50 percent of those exposed. Exposure to lower amounts of radiation (10 mrem [100 µSv] or less) produces no immediate observable effects, but long-term (delayed) effects are possible. The average person in the United States receives an annual dose from exposure to naturally produced radiation of approximately 300 mrem (3 mSv). Medical and dental x-rays and air travel add to this total. Table A.5 includes selected conversions from rem to sievert.

Also used in this report is the term **rad**, with the corresponding unit **gray** (**Gy**) in parentheses or footnoted. The rad (gray) is a measure of the energy absorbed by any material, whereas a rem relates to both the amount of radiation energy absorbed by humans and its consequence. The gray can be converted to rad by multiplying by 100. The conversions in Table A.5 can also be used to convert grays to rads.

Table A.5. Conversions for Radiological Dose Units

µSv	µSv	µSv	µSv	µSv	mSv	mSv	mSv	Sv
0.01	0.1	1	10	100	1	10	100	1
1	10	100	1	10	100	1	10	100
µrem	µrem	µrem	mrem	mrem	mrem	rem	rem	rem

The names and symbols for units of radiation dose used in this report are listed in Table A.6.

Additional information about radiation and dose terminology can be found in Appendix B. A list of the radionuclides discussed in this report, their symbols, and their half-lives are included in Table A.7.

Table A.6. Names and Symbols for Units of Radiation Dose or Exposure

Symbol	Name
mrad	millirad (1×10^{-3} rad)
mrem	millirem (1×10^{-3} rem)
µrem	microrem (1×10^{-6} rem)
Sv	sievert (100 rem)
mSv	millisievert (1×10^{-3} Sv)
µSv	microsievert (1×10^{-6} Sv)
Gy	gray (100 rad)
mGy	milligray (1×10^{-3} rad)

Table A.7. Radionuclides and Their Half-Lives[(a)]

Symbol	Radionuclide	Half-Life	Symbol	Radionuclide	Half-Life
^{3}H	tritium	12.35 yr	^{140}Ba	barium-140	12.75 d
^{7}Be	beryllium-7	53.3 d	^{152}Eu	europium-152	13.33 yr
^{14}C	carbon-14	5,730 yr	^{154}Eu	europium-154	8.8 yr
^{24}Na	sodium-24	14.96 h	^{155}Eu	europium-155	4.96 yr
^{40}K	potassium-40	1.28×10^{9} yr	^{208}Po	polonium-208	2.90 yr
^{51}Cr	chromium-51	27.70 d	^{210}Pb	lead-210	22.3 yr
^{54}Mn	manganese-54	312.5 d	^{212}Pb	lead-212	10.64 h
^{55}Fe	iron-55	2.7 yr	^{220}Rn	radon-220	55.6 sec
^{59}Fe	iron-59	44.53 d	^{222}Rn	radon-222	3.82 d
^{59}Ni	nickel-59	7.5×10^{4} yr	^{226}Ra	radium-226	1600 yr
^{57}Co	cobalt-57	272 d	^{228}Ra	radium-228	5.75 yr
^{60}Co	cobalt-60	5.27 yr	^{228}Th	thorium-228	1.91 yr
^{63}Ni	nickel-63	96 yr	^{229}Th	thorium-229	7340 yr
^{65}Zn	zinc-65	243.9 d	^{230}Th	thorium-230	7.54×10^{4} yr
^{82}Br	bromine-82	35.3 h	^{232}Th	thorium-232	1.41×10^{10} yr
^{85}Kr	krypton-85	10.72 yr	U or uranium	natural uranium	$\sim 4.5 \times 10^{9}$[(b)]
^{89}Sr	strontium-89	50.53 d	^{233}U	uranium-233	1.59×10^{5} yr
^{90}Sr	strontium-90	29.12 yr	^{234}U	uranium-234	2.45×10^{5} yr
^{88}Y	yttrium-88	106.7 d	^{235}U	uranium-235	7.04×10^{8} yr
^{90}Y	yttrium-90	64.0 h	^{236}Np	neptunium-236	1.54×10^{5} yr
^{95}Zr	zirconium-95	63.98 d	^{237}Np	neptunium-237	2.14×10^{6} yr
^{99}Tc	technetium-99	2.13×10^{5} yr	^{238}U	uranium-238	4.47×10^{9} yr
^{103}Ru	ruthenium-103	39.28 d	^{238}Pu	plutonium-238	87.74 yr
^{106}Ru	ruthenium-106	368.2 d	^{239}Pu	plutonium-239	2.41×10^{4} yr
^{109}Cd	cadmium-109	462.6 d	^{240}Pu	plutonium-240	6.54×10^{3} yr
^{113}Sn	tin-113	115.1 d	^{241}Pu	plutonium-241	14.4 yr
^{125}Sb	antimony-125	2.77 yr	^{242}Pu	plutonium-242	3.76×10^{5} yr
^{129}I	iodine-129	1.57×10^{7} yr	^{244}Pu	plutonium-244	8.0×10^{7} yr
^{131}I	iodine-131	8.04 d	^{241}Am	americium-241	432.2 yr
^{132}I	iodine-132	2.30 h	^{243}Am	americium-243	7,380 yr
^{133}Xe	xenon-133	5.24 d	^{243}Cm	curium-243	28.5 yr
^{134}Cs	cesium-134	2.06 yr	^{244}Cm	curium-244	18.11 yr
^{137}Cs	cesium-137	30.0 yr	^{245}Cm	curium-245	8,500 yr
^{137m}Ba	barium-137m	2.55 min			

(a) From EPA 402-R-99-01 and Table of Nuclides at http://atom.kaeri.re.kr/ton/
(b) Natural uranium is a mixture dominated by uranium-238; thus, the half-life is $\sim 4.5 \times 10^{9}$ years.

A.5 Chemical and Elemental Nomenclature

Many of the chemical contaminants discussed in this report are listed in Table A.8 along with their chemical (or elemental) names and their corresponding symbols.

Table A.8. Elemental and Chemical Constituent Nomenclature

Symbol	Constituent	Symbol	Constituent
Ag	silver	K	potassium
Al	aluminum	LiF	lithium fluoride
As	arsenic	Mg	magnesium
B	boron	Mn	manganese
Ba	barium	Mo	molybdenum
Be	beryllium	NH_3	ammonia
Br	bromine	NH_4^+	ammonium
C	carbon	N	nitrogen
Ca	calcium	Na	sodium
CaF_2	calcium fluoride	Ni	nickel
CCl_4	carbon tetrachloride	NO_2^-	nitrite
Cd	cadmium	NO_3^-	nitrate
$CHCl_3$	trichloromethane	Pb	lead
Cl^-	chloride	PO_4^{-3}	phosphate
CN^-	cyanide	P	phosphorus
Cr^{+6}	chromium (hexavalent)	Sb	antimony
Cr	chromium (total)	Se	selenium
CO_3^{-2}	carbonate	Si	silicon
Co	cobalt	Sr	strontium
Cu	copper	$SO\text{-}_4^{-2}$	sulfate
F^-	fluoride	Ti	titanium
Fe	iron	Tl	thallium
HCO_3^-	bicarbonate	V	vanadium
Hg	mercury		

A.6 Greater Than (>) or Less Than (<) Symbols

Greater than (>) or less than (<) symbols are used to indicate that the actual value may either be larger than the number given or smaller than the number given. For example, >0.09 would indicate that the actual value is greater than 0.09. A symbol pointed in the opposite direction (<0.09) would indicate that the number is less than the value presented. A symbol used with an underscore (≤ or ≥) indicates that the actual value is less than or equal to or greater than or equal to the number given, respectively.

A.7 Standard Deviation

The standard deviation (SD) of sample data relates to the variation around the mean of a set of individual sample results. If differences in analytical results occur among samples, then two times the standard deviation (or ±2 SD) implies that 95 percent of the time, a re-count or re-analysis of the same sample would give a value somewhere between the mean result minus two times the standard deviation and the mean result plus two times the standard deviation.

A.8 Reference

EPA 402-R-99-01. 1999. "Cancer Risk Coefficients for Environmental Exposure to Radionuclides." Appendix G in *Federal Guidance Report 13*, KF Eckerman, RW Leggett, CB Nelson, JS Puskin, and ACB Richardson, Office of Radiation and Indoor Air, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

Appendix B

Glossary

Appendix B

Glossary

This glossary contains selected words and phrases used in this report that may not be familiar to the reader. Words appearing in *italic* type within a definition are also defined in this glossary.

alpha particle – A positively charged particle composed of two protons and two neutrons ejected spontaneously from the nuclei of some *radionuclides*. It has low penetrating power and short range. The most energetic alpha particle will generally fail to penetrate the skin. Alpha particles are hazardous when an alpha-emitting *isotope* is introduced into the body.

aquifer – Underground sediment or rock that stores and/or transmits water.

background radiation – *Radiation* in the natural environment, including cosmic rays from space and *radiation* from naturally occurring radioactive elements in the air, in the earth, and in human bodies. It also includes *radiation* from global fallout from historical atmospheric nuclear weapons testing. In the United States, the average person receives approximately 300 *millirem* of background radiation per year.

Battelle Land–Richland – Battelle privately owned land and supporting infrastructure (pump houses, access roads, parking lots, etc.) located in Richland, Washington, in proximity to the PNNL Site.

Battelle Land–Sequim – Battelle privately owned land and supporting infrastructure (pump houses, access roads, parking lots, docks, etc.) located in Sequim, Washington, and associated with the PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory area.

becquerel (Bq) – Unit of activity or amount of a radioactive substance (also *radioactivity*) equal to one nuclear transformation per second (1 Bq = 1 disintegration per second). Another unit of *radioactivity*, the *curie*, is related to the becquerel: $1 \text{ Ci} = 3.7 \times 10^{10} \text{ Bq}$.

beta particle – A negatively charged particle (essentially an electron) emitted from a nucleus during radioactive *decay*. Large amounts of beta particles may cause skin burns and are harmful if they enter the body. Beta particles are easily stopped by a thin sheet of metal or plastic.

biological half-life – The time required for one-half of the amount of a *radionuclide* to be expelled from the body by natural metabolic processes, excluding radioactive *decay*, following ingestion, inhalation, or absorption.

collective dose – Sum of the *total effective dose equivalents* for individuals composing a defined population. Such units are *person-rem* or *person-sievert*.

composite sample – Sample formed by mixing discrete samples taken at different times or from different locations.

confined aquifer – An *aquifer* bounded above and below by less permeable layers. *Groundwater* in the confined aquifer is under a pressure greater than atmospheric pressure.

curie (Ci) – A unit of *radioactivity* equal to 37 billion (3.7×10^{10}) nuclear transformations per second (*becquerels*).

decay – The decrease in the amount of any radioactive material (disintegration) with the passage of time. See *radioactivity*.

decay product – The atomic nucleus or nuclei that are left after radioactive transformation of a radioactive material. Decay products may be radioactive or nonradioactive (stable). They are informally referred to as daughter products. See *radioactivity*.

derived concentration guide – Concentrations of *radionuclides* in air and water that an individual could continuously consume, inhale, or be immersed in at average annual rates and not receive an *effective dose equivalent* of greater than 100 *millirem* per year.

dispersion – Process whereby *effluents* or *emissions* are spread or mixed when they are transported by *groundwater*, surface water, or air.

dose equivalent – Product of the absorbed dose, a quality factor, and any other modifying factors. The dose equivalent is a quantity for comparing the biological effectiveness of different kinds of *radiation* on a common scale. The unit of dose equivalent is the *rem*.

dose rate – The rate at which a dose is delivered over time (e.g., *dose equivalent* rate in *millirem* per hour [mrem/hr]).

effective dose equivalent – The sum of products of *dose equivalent* to selected tissues of the body and appropriate tissue weighting factors. The tissue weighting factors put doses to various tissues and organs on an equal basis in terms of health *risk*.

effluent – Liquid material released from a facility.

effluent monitoring – Sampling or measuring specific liquid *effluent* streams for the presence of pollutants.

emission – Gaseous stream released from a facility.

exposure – The interaction of an organism with a physical agent (e.g., *radiation*) or a chemical agent (e.g., arsenic) of interest. Also used as a term for quantifying x- and *gamma-radiation* fields.

fission – The splitting or breaking apart of a nucleus into at least two other nuclei, accompanied with a release of a relatively large amount of energy.

gamma radiation – High-energy electromagnetic *radiation* (photons) originating in the nucleus of decaying *radionuclides*. Gamma radiation is substantially more penetrating than *alpha* or *beta particles*.

grab sample – A short-duration sample (e.g., air, water, and soil) that is grabbed from the collection site.

groundwater – Subsurface water that is in the pores of sand and gravel or in the cracks of fractured rock.

gray (Gy) – Unit of *absorbed dose* in the International System of Units (SI) equal to the absorption of 1 joule per kilogram. The common unit of *absorbed dose*, the *rad*, is equal to 0.01 Gy.

half-life – Length of time in which a radioactive substance will lose one-half of its *radioactivity* by *decay*. Half-lives range from a fraction of a second to billions of years, and each *radionuclide* has a unique half-life.

high-level waste – Highly radioactive waste material resulting from the reprocessing of spent nuclear fuel, including liquid waste produced directly in reprocessing and any solid material derived from such liquid waste that contains *fission products* and other *radioisotopes* in sufficient concentrations to require permanent isolation.

isotopes – *Nuclides* of the same chemical element with the same number of protons but a differing number of neutrons.

isotopic plutonium – Any of two or more atoms of the chemical element *plutonium* with the same atomic number and position in the periodic table and nearly identical chemical behavior but with different atomic mass numbers and different physical properties. Plutonium-239 is produced by neutron *irradiation* of uranium-238.

isotopic uranium – Any of two or more atoms of the chemical element uranium with the same atomic number and position in the periodic table and nearly identical chemical behavior but with different atomic mass numbers and different physical properties. Uranium exists naturally as a mixture of three *isotopes* of mass 234, 235, and 238 in the proportions of 0.006 percent, 0.71 percent, and 99.27 percent, respectively.

low-level waste – Radioactive waste that is not high-level radioactive waste, spent nuclear fuel, *transuranic waste*, byproduct material, or naturally occurring radioactive material.

maximally exposed individual – A hypothetical member of the public residing near the Hanford Site who, by virtue of location and living habits, would reasonably receive the highest possible *radiation* dose from materials originating from the site.

millirem – A unit of *radiation dose equivalent* that is equal to one one-thousandth (1/1000) of a *rem*.

minimum detectable activity – Smallest amount or concentration of a chemical or radioactive material that can be reliably detected in a sample.

mitigation – Prevention or reduction of expected *risks* to workers, the public, or the environment.

mixed waste – A U.S. Environmental Protection Agency or state-designated dangerous, extremely hazardous, or acutely hazardous waste that contains both a nonradioactive hazardous component and a radioactive component.

monitoring – As defined in DOE Order 5400.5, Chg 2, the collection and analysis of samples or measurements of liquid *effluent* and gaseous *emissions* for purposes of characterizing and quantifying contaminants, assessing *radiation exposure* to the public, and demonstrating compliance with regulatory standards.

nuclide – A particular combination of neutrons and protons. A *radionuclide* is a radioactive nuclide.

operable unit – A discrete area for which an incremental step can be taken toward comprehensively addressing site problems. The cleanup of a site can be divided into a number of operable units, depending on the complexity of the problems associated with the site.

outfall – End of a drain or pipe that carries wastewater or other *effluent* into a ditch, pond, or river.

person-rem or person-sievert (person-Sv) – Unit of *collective dose*. 1 person-Sv = 100 person-rem.

plutonium – A heavy, radioactive, metallic element consisting of several *isotopes*. One important *isotope* is plutonium-239, which is produced by the irradiation of uranium-238. Routine analysis cannot distinguish between the plutonium-239 and plutonium-240 *isotopes*; hence, the term plutonium-239/240 as used in this report is symbolic of the presence of one or both of these *isotopes* in the analytical results.

PNNL Campus – Includes a mix of public and private land and facility ownership, it includes core campus and non-core campus areas.

PNNL Core Campus – A mix of DOE Office of Science-owned land (PNNL Site) and Battelle-owned land north of Battelle Boulevard framed by Stevens Drive to the west and mostly George Washington Way to the east.

PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory – Referred to as MSL, it includes the DOE-contracted elements in use on Battelle Land–Sequim.

PNNL Non-Core Campus – A mix of public and private land and facilities, it includes land to the south and east of the PNNL Core Campus.

quality assurance – Actions that provide confidence that an item or process meets or exceeds a user's requirements and expectations.

quality control – Comprises all actions necessary to control and verify the features and characteristics of a material, process, product, or service to specified requirements. Quality control is an element of *quality assurance*.

rad – The unit of *absorbed dose*. 1 rad = 0.01 *gray* (Gy).

radiation – The energy emitted in the form of photons or particles (e.g., *alpha* and *beta particles*) such as that from transforming *radionuclides*. For this report, radiation refers to ionizing types of radiation; not radiowaves, microwaves, radiant light, or other types of non-ionizing radiation.

radioactivity – Property possessed by *radioisotopes* emitting *radiation* (such as *alpha* or *beta particles*, or high-energy *photons*) spontaneously in their *decay* process; also, the *radiation* emitted.

radioisotope – An unstable *isotope* of an element that *decays* or disintegrates spontaneously, emitting *radiation* (Shleien 1992).

radionuclide – An atom that has a particular number of protons (Z), a particular number of neutrons (A), and a particular atomic weight (N = Z + A) that happens to emit *radiation*. Carbon-14 is a radionuclide but carbon-12, which is not radioactive, is referred to simply as a *nuclide*.

rem – A unit of dose equivalent and effective dose equivalent.

remediation – Reduction (or cleanup) of known *risks* to the public and environment to an agreed-upon level.

risk – The probability that a detrimental health effect will occur.

shrub-steppe – A drought-resistant shrub and grassland ecosystem.

sievert (Sv) – The unit of *dose equivalent* and its variants in the International System of Units (SI). The common unit for *dose equivalent* and its variants, the *rem*, is equal to 0.01 Sv.

sitewide categorical exclusion – A category of proposed actions (activities), as defined at 40 CFR 1508.4 and listed in Appendix A or B to subpart D of 10 CFR 1021, that are “sitewide” in nature and extent, and for which neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is normally required. The spatial application of the proposed actions is detailed within the sitewide categorical exclusion.

surveillance – As defined in DOE Order 5400.5, Chg 2, the collection and analysis of samples of air, water, soil, foodstuffs, biota, and other media, and the measurement of external radiation for purposes of demonstrating compliance with applicable standards, assessing exposures to the public, and assessing effects, if any, on the local environment.

total effective dose equivalent – The sum of committed *effective dose equivalent* from the intake of radioactive material and dose equivalent from exposure to external radiation. Unit: rem or sievert.

total uranium – The sum of concentrations of the isotopes uranium-234, uranium-235, and uranium-238.

transuranic element – An element with an atomic number greater than 92 (92 is the atomic number of uranium).

transuranic waste – Waste containing more than 100 nanocuries (10-9 *curies*) per gram of alpha-emitting transuranic isotopes (half-lives greater than 20 years).

tritium – The heaviest radioactive isotope of hydrogen (hydrogen-3) with a 12.3-year half-life.

unconfined aquifer – An aquifer containing groundwater that is not confined above by relatively impermeable rocks. The pressure at the top of the unconfined aquifer is equal to that of the atmosphere. At the Hanford Site, the unconfined aquifer is the uppermost aquifer and is most susceptible to contamination from site operations.

vadose zone – Underground area from the ground surface to the top of the water table or aquifer.

volatile organic compounds – Lightweight organic compounds that vaporize easily; used in solvents and degreasing compounds as raw materials.

water table – The top of the unconfined aquifer.

References

10 CFR 1021. 2014. "National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Procedures." *Code of Federal Regulations*, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. Accessed May 30, 2014, at http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=2227baa5193c54a00a387b7d765608d4&node=10:4.0.3.5.14&rgn=div5.

40 CFR 1508.4. 2012. "Categorical Exclusion." *Code of Federal Regulations*, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. Accessed August 13, 2013, at http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title40-vol34/pdf/CFR-2012-title40-vol34-sec1508-4.pdf.

DOE Order 5400.5. Chg 2. 1993. "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment." U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

Shleien B. (ed.). 1992. *The Health Physics and Radiological Health Handbook, Revised Edition*. Scinta, Inc., Silver Spring, Maryland.

Appendix C

Plant and Animal Species Found on the PNNL Campus

Table C.1. Plant Species Observed on the PNNL Campus in 2013

Species Name	Common Name	State Status	Federal Status	Noxious Weed Class
Achillea millefolium	yarrow			
Achnatherum hymenoides	Indian ricegrass			
Acroptilon repens	Turkestan knapweed			B
Agropyron cristatum	crested wheatgrass			
Ambrosia acanthicarpa	bur ragweed			
Amsinckia lycopsoides	fiddleneck			
Artemisia tridentata	big sagebrush			
Asparagus officinalis	asparagus			
Astragalus caricinus	buckwheat milkvetch			
Balsamorhiza careyana	Carey’s balsamroot			
Bassia scoparia	summer cyperus			B
Bromus tectorum	cheatgrass			
Cardaria draba	whitetop			C
Centaurea diffusa	tumble knapweed			B
Centaurea solstitialis	yellow starthistle			B
Chondrilla juncea	rush skeletonweed			B
Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus	green rabbitbrush			
Comandra umbellata ssp. pallida	bastard toadflax			
Conyza canadensis	horseweed			
Crepis atribarba ssp. originalis	slender hawksbeard			
Elymus elymoides ssp. elymoides	bottlebrush grass			
Elymus lanceolatus ssp. lanceolatus	thickspike wheatgrass			
Epilobium brachycarpum	tall willowherb			
Ericameria nauseosa ssp. nauseosa var. speciosa	gray rabbitbrush			
Eriogonum niveum	snow buckwheat			
Erodium cicutarium	storksbill			
Hesperostipa comata	needle-and-thread grass			
Holosteum umbellatum	jagged chickweed			
Hymenopappus filifolius	Columbia cutleaf			
Lactuca serriola	prickly lettuce			
Leymus cinereus	giant wildrye			
Machaeranthera canescens	hoary aster			
Malus pumila	apple			
Melilotus officianalis	sweetclover			
Oenothera pallida	pale evening primrose			
Opuntia polyacantha	starvation pricklypear			
Phacelia hastata	whiteleaf scorpionweed			
Phlox longifolia	longleaf phlox			

Table C.1. (contd)

Species Name	Common Name	State Status	Federal Status	Noxious Weed Class
Melilotus officianalis	sweetclover			
Oenothera pallida	pale evening primrose			
Opuntia polyacantha	starvation pricklypear			
Phacelia hastata	whiteleaf scorpionweed			
Phlox longifolia	longleaf phlox			
Poa bulbosa	bulbous bluegrass			
Poa secunda	Sandberg's bluegrass			
Pseudoroegneria spicata	bluebunch wheatgrass			
Psoralidium lanceolatum	dune scurfpea			
Pteryxia terebinthina var. terebinthina	turpentine spring parsley			
Purshia tridentata	bitterbrush			
Salsola tragus	Russian thistle			
Sisymbrium altissimum	Jim Hill's tumble mustard			
Sphaeralcea munroana	Munro's globemallow			
Sporobolus cryptandrus	sand dropseed			
Stephanomeria paniculata	stiff wirelettuce			
Tragopogon dubius	yellow salsify			
Tribulus terrestris	puncturevine			B

Noxious Weed Class:
A = Eradication required
B = Prevent spread and contain or reduce existing populations
C = Weeds widespread, control methods available but not normally required.

Table C.2. Bird Species Observed on the PNNL Campus in 2013

Species Name	Common Name	State Status	Federal Status
Branta canadensis	Canada goose		
Carpodacus mexicanus	house finch		
Circus cyaneus	northern harrier		
Sturnella neglecta	western meadowlark		
Zenaida macroura	mourning dove		
Zonotrichia leucophrys	white-crowned sparrow		

Table C.3. Mammal Species Observed on the PNNL Campus in 2013

Species Name	Common Name	State Status	Federal Status
Canis latrans	coyote		
Lepus californicus	black-tailed jackrabbit	SC	
Odocoileus hemionus	mule deer		
small mammal	unknown/unidentified small mammal		
Sylvilagus nutalli	mountain cottontail		
Thomomys talpoides	northern pocket gopher		

Appendix D

Plant and Animal Species Found in the Vicinity of the PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory

Table D.1. Plant Species Observed in the Vicinity of the PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory, 2013

Species Name	Common Name	State Status	Federal Status	Noxious Weed Class
Abies grandis	grand fir			
Acer circinatum	vine maple			
Acer macrophyllum	bigleaf maple			
Achillea millefolium	western yarrow			
Alnus rubra	red alder			
Arbutus menziesii	Pacific madrone			
Arctostaphylos uva-ursi	kinnikinnick			
Carex spp.	sedge			
Cirsium arvense spp.	Canada thistle			C
Cytisus scoparius	Scotch broom			B
Dactylis glomerata	orchard grass			
Dipsacus fullonum	Fuller's teasel			C
Distichlis spicata var. *spicata*	seashore saltgrass			
Draba verna	whitlow grass			
Elymus spp.	wildrye			
Epilobium angustifolium	fireweed			
Equisetum spp.	horsetail			
Gaultheria shallon	salal			
Grindelia integrifolia	Puget Sound gumweed			
Holodiscus discolor	oceanspray			
Ilex aquifolium	English holly			M
Juncus spp.	rush			
Leucanthemum vulgare	oxeye daisy			C
Mahonia aquifolium	tall Oregon grape			
Mahonia nervosa	dull Oregon grape			
Oemleria cerasiformis	Indian plum			
Plantago major	broadleaf plantain			
Polystichum munitum	sword fern			
Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas fir			
Pteridium aquilinum	bracken fern			
Ribes sanguineum	red-flowering currant			
Rosa gymnocarpa	baldhip rose			
Rosa nootkana	Nootka rose			
Rubus discolor	Himalayan blackberry			C
Rubus ursinus	trailing blackberry			
Rumex occidentalis	western dock			
Salicornia virginica	American glasswort			
Salix spp.	willow			
Sambucus racemosa ssp. *pubens* var. *arborescens*	red elderberry			
Spirea douglasii ssp. *douglasii*	hardhack			

Table D.1. (contd)

Species Name	Common Name	State Status	Federal Status	Noxious Weed Class
Symphoricarpos albus	snowberry			
Taraxacum officianale	common dandelion			
Thuja plicata	western red cedar			
Tsuga heterophylla	western hemlock			
Urtica dioica	stinging nettle			

Noxious Weed Class:
A = Eradication required.
B = Prevent spread and contain or reduce existing populations.
C = Weeds widespread, control methods available but not normally required.
M = Monitor list

Table D.2. Bird Species Observed in the Vicinity of the PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory, 2013

Species Name	Common Name	State Status	Federal Status
Accipiter cooperii	Cooper's hawk		
Anas platyrhynchos	mallard		
Branta canadensis	Canada goose		
Ardea herodias	great blue heron	Monitor	
Bubo virginianus	great horned owl		
Bucephala albeola	bufflehead		
Buteo jamaicensis	red-tailed hawk		
Calypte anna	Anna's hummingbird		
Cardellina pusilla	Wilson's warbler		
Carpodacus mexicanus	house finch		
Catharus ustulatus	Swainson's thrush		
Cepphus columba	pigeon guillemot		
Certhia americana	brown creeper		
Circus cyaneus	northern harrier		
Cistothorus palustris	marsh wren		
Coccothraustes vespertinus	evening grosbeak		
Colaptes auratus	northern flicker		
Columba livia	rock dove (pigeon)		
Corvus brachyrhynchos	American crow		
Corvus corax	common raven		
Cyanocitta stelleri	Steller's jay		
Empidonax hammondii	Hammond's flycatcher		
Euphagus cyanocephalus	Brewer's blackbird		
Falco peregrinus	peregrine falcon	Sensitive	Species of Concern
Haliaeetus leucocephalus	bald eagle	Sensitive	Species of Concern

Table D.2. (contd)

Species Name	Common Name	State Status	Federal Status
Hirundo rustica	barn swallow		
Histrionicus histrionicus	harlequin duck		
Junco hyemalis	dark-eyed junco		
Larus glaucescens x L. occidentalis	Olympic gull		
Megaceryle alcyon	belted kingfisher		
Melanitta perspicillata	surf scoter		
Melospiza melodia	song sparrow		
Oreothlypis celata	orange-crowned warbler		
Mergus serrator	red-breasted merganser		
Molothrus ater	brown-headed cowbird		
Passerculus sandwichensis	savannah sparrow		
Passerella iliaca	fox sparrow		
Petrochelidon pyrrhonota	cliff swallow		
Phalacrocorax penicillatus	Brandt's cormorant		
Pheucticus melanocephalus	black-headed grosbeak		
Picoides pubescens	downy woodpecker		
Picoides villosus	hairy woodpecker		
Pipilo maculatus	spotted towhee		
Podilymbus podiceps	pied-billed grebe		
Poecile atricapillus	black-capped chickadee		
Poecile rufescens	chestnut-backed chickadee		
Psaltriparus minimus	bushtit		
Regulus calendula	ruby-crowned kinglet		
Regulus satrapa	golden-crowned kinglet		
Selasphorus rufus	rufous hummingbird		
Setophaga coronata	yellow-rumped warbler		
Sitta canadensis	red-breasted nuthatch		
Spinus pinus	pine siskin		
Spinus tristis	American goldfinch		
Stelgidopteryx serripennis	Northern rough-winged swallow		
Tachycineta thalassina	violet-green swallow		
Thryomanes bewickii	Bewick's wren		
Troglodytes pacificus	Pacific wren		
Turdus migratorius	American robin		
Zenaida macroura	mourning dove		
Zonotrichia leucophrys	white-crowned sparrow		

Table D.3. Mammal Species Observed in the Vicinity of the PNNL Marine Sciences Laboratory, 2013

Species Name	Common Name	State Status	Federal Status
Canis latrans	coyote		
Odocoileus hemionus columbianus	black-tailed deer		
Sorex spp.	Shrew		
Tamiasciurus douglasii	Douglas squirrel		

Distribution

Federal Offices	
U.S. Department of Energy Headquarters	
JM Blaikie	john.blaikie@science.doe.gov
AC Lawrence	andrew.lawrence@eh.doe.gov
BA Moore	beth.moore@em.doe.gov
RL Natoli	ross.natoli@hq.doe.gov
GS Podonsky	glenn.podonsky@hq.doe.gov
WH Roege	william.roege@hq.doe.gov
T Traceski	thomas.traceski@hq.doe.gov
GA Vazquez	gustavo.vazquez@hq.doe.gov
A Wallo III	andrew.wallo@hq.doe.gov
DOE Office of Science Pacific Northwest Site Office	
JL Carlson	Jeffery.Carlson@pnso.science.doe.gov
JW Christ	joe.christ@pnso.science.doe.gov
JK Erikson	julie.erickson@pnso.science.doe.gov
TM McDermott	tom.mcdermott@pnso.science.doe.gov
T Pietrok	theodore.pietrok@pnso.science.doe.gov
R Snyder	roger.snyder@pnso.science.doe.gov
CJ Swafford-Bennett	carrie.swafford-bennett@pnso.science.doe
DOE-Richland Operations Office	
PK Call	paula.call@rl.doe.gov
TW Ferns	thomas.ferns@rl.doe.gov
DE Jackson	dale.jackson@rl.doe.gov
DL Kreske	Diori.Kreske@rl.doe.gov
MK Marvin	marla.marvin@rl.doe.gov
MD Silberstein	mark.silberstein@rl.doe.gov
DOE Office of River Protection	
DW Bowser	Dennis_W_Bowser@orp.doe.gov
Mission Support Alliance	
JW Cammann	Jerry_W_Cammann@rl.gov
DJ Rokkan	Donald_J_Rokkan@rl.gov
AF Shattuck	Ann_F_Shattuck@rl.gov
DD Teel	Darci_D_Teel@rl.gov
SA Thompson	Suzette_A_Thompson@rl.gov

Distribution

Washington Closure	
JA Lerch	Jeffrey.lerch@whc-rcc.com
Washington River Protection Solutions	
TG Beam	Thomas_G_Beam@rl.gov
SG McKinney	Steve_G_McKinney@rl.gov
KA Peterson	Kirk_A_Peterson@rl.gov
CH2M HILL	
KM Mendez	Keith_M_Mendez@rl.gov
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	
N Helm, Manager	helm.nancy@epa.gov
R Rosnick	Rosnick.reid@Epa.gov
D Zhen	zhen.davis@epa.gov

Tribes

Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation	
SG Harris, Director	stuart.harris@ctuir.org
Hoh Indian Tribe of the Hoh Indian Reservation	
M Lopez, Chairwoman	maria959856@yahoo.com
Jamestown S'Kallam Tribe of Washington	
WR Allen, Chairman	rallen@jamestowntribe.org
Gideon Cauffman	gcauffman@jamestowntribe.org
Lower Elwha Tribal Community of the Lower Elwha Reservation	
FG Charles, Chairwoman	frances.charles@elwha.nsn.us
Makah Indian Tribe of the Makah Indian Reservation	
TJ Greene, Chairman	tj.greene@makah.com
Nez Perce Tribe	
G Bohnee	gabeb@nezperce.org
Port Gamble Indian Community of the Port Gamble Reservation	
JC Sullivan, Chairman	jeromys@pgst.nsn.us

Distribution

Tribes (contd)	
Quileute Tribe of the Quileute Reservation	
C Woodruff, Chairman	chas.woodruff@quileutenation.org
Wanapum Band	
R Buck, Leader	rbuck@gcpud.org
Yakama Nation	
R Jim	russell@ynerwm.com
P Rigdon	pridgon@yakama.com
State Representatives	
S Brown	sharon.brown@leg.wa.gov
B Chandler	bruce.chandler@leg.wa.gov
L Haler	larry.haler@leg.wa.gov
J Hargrove	mark.hargrove@leg.wa.gov
M Hewitt	mike.hewitt@leg.wa.gov
J Honeyford	jim.honeyford@leg.wa.gov
B Klippert	brad.klippert@leg.wa.gov
T Nealey	terry.nealey@leg.wa.gov
S Tharinger	steve.tharinger@leg.wa.gov
K Van De Wege	kevin.vandewege@leg.wa.gov
M Walsch	maureen.walsh@leg.wa.gov
Washington State Offices	
Washington State Department of Ecology	
R Skinnarland	rski461@ecy.wa.gov
K Wood	kwoo461@ecy.wa.gov
Washington State Department of Health	
PJ Martell, Manager	John.Martell@doh.wa.gov
SD Berven	Shawna.Berven@doh.wa.gov
A Grumbles	Anine.Grumbles@doh.wa.gov
E McCormick	Ernest.McCormick@doh.wa.gov
T Rogers	Thomas.Rogers@doh.wa.gov
J Schmidt	John.Schmidt@doh.wa.gov
RJ Utley	Randell.Utley@doh.wa.gov

Distribution

Regional Offices	
Benton Clean Air Agency	
RB Priddy	robin.priddy@bentoncleanair.org
Olympic Region Clean Air Agency	
F McNair	fran.mcnair@orcaa.org
County Offices	
Clallam County Commissioners	
M Chapman	mchapman@co.clallam.wa.us
J McEntire	jmcentire@co.clallam.wa.us
M Doherty	mdoherty@co.clallam.wa.us
Clallam County Department of Community Development	
S Gray, Planning Manager	sgray@co.clallam.wa.us
Clallam County Health & Human Services	
I Burks, Director	tbruce@co.clallam.wa.us
Benton County Commissioners	
J Delvin	commissioners@co.benton.wa.us
S Small	commissioners@co.benton.wa.us
J Beaver	commissioners@co.benton.wa.us
Franklin County Commissioners	
R Koch	rkoch@co.franklin.wa.us
R Miller	rmiller@co.franklin.wa.us
B Peck	bpeck@co.franklin.wa.us
City Offices	
City of Richland	
C Johnson, City Manager	cjohnson@ci.richland.wa.us
City of Sequim	
S Burkett, City Manager	sburkett@ci.sequim.wa.us
Libraries	
Richland Public Library	reference@richland.lib.wa.us
DOE Public Reading Room	doe.reading.room@pnnl.gov
Sequim Branch Library	Emily Sly 630 North Sequim Avenue Sequim, WA 98382

Distribution

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory	
CM Andersen	cameron.andersen@pnnl.gov
BG Anderson	betty.anderson@pnnl.gov
EJ Antonio	e.antonio@pnnl.gov
MY Ballinger	marcel.ballinger@pnnl.gov
JM Barnett	matthew.barnett@pnnl.gov
JM Becker	james.becker@pnnl.gov
LE Bisping	lynn.bisping@pnnl.gov
CP Beus	clark.beus@pnnl.gov
MA Chamness	mickie.chamness@pnnl.gov
SD Cooke	Steven.Cooke@pnnl.gov
EG Damberg	eric.damberg@pnnl.gov
CJ Duchsherer	cheryl.duchsherer@pnnl.gov
JP Duncan	joanne.duncan@pnnl.gov
RJ Ford	robert.ford@pnnl.gov
TJ Fortman	timothy.fortman@pnnl.gov
BG Fritz	bradley.fritz@pnnl.gov
TL Gervais	todd.gervais@pnnl.gov
MD Hughes	dwight.hughes@pnnl.gov
M Kluse	mkluse@pnnl.gov
GL Koller	greg.koller@pnnl.gov
KB Larson	Kyle.Larson@pnnl.gov
KL Lowry	kami.lowry@pnnl.gov
KM McDonald	Kent.Mcdonald@pnnl.gov
TW Moon	tom.moon@pnnl.gov
MJ Moran	mike.moran@pnnl.gov
CJ Nichols	curt.nichols@pnnl.gov
BE Opitz	brian.opitz@pnnl.gov
GW Patton	gw.patton@pnnl.gov
MR Peterson	michelle.peterson@pnnl.gov
RM Pierson	richard.pierson@pnnl.gov
EA Raney	Elizabeth.Raney@pnnl.gov
MR Sackschewsky	Michael.sackschewsky@pnnl.gov
MH Schlender	mike.schlender@pnnl.gov
RD Sharp	Reed.Sharp@pnnl.gov
SF Snyder	sandra.snyder@pnnl.gov

Distribution

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (contd)	
JA Stegen	Amanda.Stegen@pnnl.gov
JA Stephens	John.Stephens@pnnl.gov
MJ Stephenson	Michael.Stephenson@pnnl.gov
GA Stoetzel	greg.stoetzel@pnnl.gov
J Su-Coker	jennifer.sucoker@pnnl.gov
HT Tilden II	harold.tilden@pnnl.gov

Pacific Northwest
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Proudly Operated by **Battelle** *Since 1965*

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

902 Battelle Boulevard
P.O. Box 999
Richland, WA 99352
1-888-375-PNNL (7665)

www.pnnl.gov